

PROCEEDINGS OF THE PLAGUE COMMISSIONER.

(1898)

MISCELLANEOUS.

No. 636

Dated 11. 11. 98.

Despatched 16. 11. 98

[Despatch Abstract.]

Departures from Guntakal

Stating, with remarks, that the giving of passes to ——— whether to places within Annapur or to other districts should be left to the Passport issuing officers, and that no Passports need be given to unaccredited persons.

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.	No.	Date.
626	10-11-98		
186	12-10-98		
27-11-98 ded m. 1/2		11/11/98	
27-11-98 ded m. 1/2		11/11/98	

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.	No.	Date.
C-ko 325.	229		

Sp 16/11

Prop. No 636 d/11-11-98

In the Comm. proceedings No 186. d 12. 1. 98
 a mistake was made by inadvertence
 of course pass ports are not given at
 Guntakal to persons starting from there
 but are given at the Railway Station of
 destination. After consulting the Medical Officer
 & returning it with the Medical Officer
 Guntakal, the Plague Comm. considers
 that no passes sh. be given to persons
 leaving Guntakal whether going into
 the District or to other districts. It sh.
 be left to the Station Masters & the
 pass port issuing officers to issue
 pass ports to all persons coming from
 Guntakal as an infected place.
 The Bangalore District has claimed as
 infected it is not so actually except
 at Guntakal.

With regard to inoculation the
 Com. is referred to G. Order No 1423A
 dated 8/4/98 Pass ports need not be given to
 inoculated persons in future and
 a pass port is not a

The Colls of Amantapur
 To the Colls of Bellary

16 xi 98

The original of Progs No 636
is submitted. The Adl. Inferred
Regulations seem to make a
distinction between passports &
passes — passports to be given
to persons arriving to persons from an
infected place outside the Presidency
~~of~~ passes to persons leaving
an infected place in a district
of the Madras Presy.

Procs No 186 of 12-10-72
in which persons leaving Guatemala
were ordered to be given passports
is submitted.

Long
1671/12

Orders will shortly issue, exempting
 inoculated persons from the obligation
 to take out passports & to present
 themselves for ten days' inspection.
 2. With reference to ~~the~~ the question
 raised in para 2 of the Collis letter,
 he is informed that persons travelling
 from ~~one place to another~~ ~~within~~
~~the affected~~ an infected place to
 another in the same Dk should be
 given passes ~~with passports~~ under
 Act of 29th July 29th & not passports under
 original Act of 17th. ~~It is true~~ The whole
 Dk of Anantapur has been declared
 infected, the Dykes being to check a
 general exodus ^{to other Dks} ~~from the Dk~~, but within
 the Dk itself, the system of passes
 need not be enforced except in the case
 of persons leaving a place actually
 infected with plague at the time. And
 Care must be taken that advantage is
 not taken of this concession to rebook
 9/11/11 to stations where the District H.O. is

Cho 1028.

Order No 636 of 11-11-98.

As the rules stand
at present, a certificate
of inoculation exempts
the holder, unless apparently
attacked by plague, from
segregation and detention
when travelling, and
not from observation
as stated by the caller.

~~Persons coming from infected parts, whether they hold inoculation certificates or not, should be kept under "observation" for the $\frac{7}{8}$ usual period of 10 days.~~

2. With reference to the question raised in para 2 of the letter, the collector is informed that a general pass as is contemplated in rule 32, printed at page 38 of the Otago Regulations

may be given to agriculturists
and others having occasion
to make daily or frequent
journeys by road, rail
or boat, within the D.C.
of Annapolis.

Local & Munl. (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 1028



From

The Collector of
Annapolis

Order.

Re No. 668

Dated 3-11-98

Received 5-11-98

Registered 5-11-98

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Requesting to be informed
whether passports should
be issued to persons
holding inoculation
certificates &c

To the Collector of Annapolis

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Ms. 3,011-5-98.

DEPARTMENT.

Re No. 668

FROM

Bannatyne Mackod Esq., M.A., Barr-at-Law

COLLECTOR OF

Assafoyer.

TO

THE

Plague Commission.

Madras.

SIR,

G.O. to P. 379 of 17-18 September 1898

states that the person holding an insula-
tion certificate is exempted from segrega-

tion unless he is actually attacked with

deletion

Plague & also from observation when travelling

Subject to disinfection of clothes.

The Station Master Royel cheruvu enquire
whether he is to issue passports for

persons holding insolation certificate
As the G.O. quotes above is not clear on

the point I request your instructions
in the matter.

(2) I have the honour also to enquire if passports are issued to persons travelling from one station to another within this district since the whole district has been declared infected according to rule 32 printed on page 35 of the Plague Regulations. I think a general pass will do, and the persons at their places of destination within the district need not be given regular passports & required to appear before the local medical officer or other authority for 10 days. This, I submit, causes much trouble & inconvenience specially to the parties & to some extent to the officers concerned. It interferes with the daily occupations of the persons as in some cases they have to go long distances to the Hospital & wait there for examination. Again according to rule 17(i) printed on page 14 it is only persons arriving from

infected areas are required to take passports. I therefore think that persons travelling from one place to another within the infected area need not obtain passports.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

Manmohan Nath
Collector

DBR

189

DEPARTMENT.

FROM

THE COLLECTOR OF

TO

THE

Dated

Despatched

189

Received

No.

ENCLOSURES.

SUBJECT.

When given for copying

When copied

When examined

When signed

189