

Local & Munl. (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 631 M

DATED 23-3-98

INDEXED. *SL*

[Despatch Abstract.]

*Municipal Landset. Recording the explanation
of points noticed in the report — for
1898-99.*

SL

BACK PAPERS.


G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Local & Munl. (189 8) Department.

CURRENT No.



From

The Chairman Municipal Council Angola.

N
Order No. 631 minus 8 23/3/98

Recorded, the necessary corrections having been made.

V.A.
21/3/98
S.R.
98
22.3

No. 9/0

Dated 6th March/898.

Received 8. 3 98

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submitting explanation
Called for in official
Memorandum no 244 dated
3rd March/898.

11/3/98
15/3/98
24/3

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

MUNICIPAL OFFICE ONGOLE.

DATED... 6th March 1898.

DESPATCHED... 5th March 1898.

No. 910

ENC.

From

M. R. Ry.

C. Lakshminarasimha Rao Puntulu Garu,

Chairman, Municipal Council,

ONGOLE.

To

The Secretary to Government,

Local and Municipal Department,

MADRAS.

Sir,

With reference to Official Memo
randum no 244 dated 3rd March 1898,

I have the honor to submit explanation
as follows:-

Clause 2 The income according to
the revised estimate on account of Private
Drainage for 1897-98 is Rs 580. This
amount was adopted for the budget
1898-99. The sum of Rs 560 shown in
Statement IV A under this item

was

was only a mistake committed
in this office. In columns 2, 4 and 5 of
statement IV A Rs 560 may be corrected
as Rs 580. Similar corrections may also
be made in the totals of these columns.

2. Necessary corrections have
been made in the statements and
report of this Office as advised in
the Memorandum.

I have the honor to be
Sir,

Your obedient servant

Wm. A. R. W. R.

to the Chairman

Points noticed in the Original

CN. 37th

Dec 24 4/3-3-9

The following points are noticed
in the Chairman's letter and the
statements submitted with it, in
addition to ^{the error} those pointed out
in paras. 2 and 4, respectively, of
the Subfolios and the Collos endorse-
ments:-

(1) In columns 2 to 5 of statements
I & II and columns 5 & 6 of
statement VI, round figures have
not been adopted in some cases.

(2) In column 2 of statement I,
the estimated income from private
sewerage fees is given as Rs 580,
whereas according to statements IV
& IV-B it is Rs 560.

(3) In column 2 of statement II,
the cost of the establishment enter-
tained for cleaning private cess-
pools should have been shown
under a separate manuscript head
below Grant 3. 5. (b) Private sewerage
and not under Grant 4. 9. other charges

Vide para 128 of the checklist

