

Date.

Number
of copies

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Local & Munl. (1898.) Department.


CURRENT No. 384 *h*

Ref again for no 996m, dated 27-4-97.
 for no 1678m, 25-6-97.
 + for no 2141m, 21-10-97.

From

Sec
 misc
 Order

No. 593 misc & 16/3/98



The proposals contained
 in paragraphs 1 and 5 of the Collector's

letter will be considered in the Dated 14th January 1898.

Judicial Department.

1.2
 10/3/98

11
 11398

Received 24-1-98

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submitting the report called for
 as to the conservancy of
 Langula River and pro-
 posing that watchers may
 be appointed to watch the
 river on both sides to prevent
 nuisances.

To The Judicial Department for
 counter initials.

Mr
 12/3/98

11
 11398

To The Judicial Department.
 " Collr of Langula.
 " Chairman, M.C. Chicacole.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
fo	2141	hch	1-10-97
"	1478	hch	25-6-97
"	996	hch	27-4-97

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Ganjam Collector's office
 Dis No 44 of 98
 Dated camp Beshampur 14th January 1898.

From

H. D. Taylor Esquire
 Acting Collector, Ganjam

To

The Secretary to Government
 Local and Municipal Departments
 Madras.

Sir, With reference to Government order No. 2141 dated October 1st 1897 directing me to report in consultation with the Collector of Vizagapatam, what practical steps should be taken regarding the conservancy of the Langulya River which flows between the Chicacole Town and Surratipeta, a village in the Vizagapatam District, I have the honor to state that the Principal Assistant Collector Vizagapatam suggests that the three small villages, Hayatnagarani, Surratipeta and Farulheppeta on the Vizagapatam side of the river, which are said to be about 25 or 30 miles away from the Headquarters of a Deputy

Lahildas of Vizagapatani, and wherein
no man of any influence is said to
reside, should be included in the
jurisdiction of the Chicacole Sub-
Magistrate for nuisance cases, that
the Town Nuisances Act should be
extended to them, and that the
Chicacole Chairman should be allowed
to keep watchers along the river.

The Collector of Vizagapatani writes
that he is unable to offer any
useful suggestion on the subject and
that Mr. Edwin's proposal for a
divided jurisdiction over the villages
in question is impracticable.

2. I also think it would be
impossible and inexpedient to
include the villages in question within
the Chicacole Municipality.

3. The only course open seems to be
the appointment of not less than
two watchers on either bank,
for the purpose of charging such
persons as may be found committing
nuisance either on the bank

The maintenance
of two watchers for this purpose
has been sanctioned
the budget for 1899

Sub-magistrates, who should be
directed to fine them heavily so
that the offence may not be
repeated. The imposition of a
few heavy fines should produce
the desired effect.

4. The fact that special steps to
prevent such nuisance will be
taken, may be notified in the
Gazette of this District.

5. The provisions of the Town
Nuisances Act might be held to
apply to the bed and banks of
the river for one mile above
Chicacole.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

H. Braidwood
For ag. Collector
Ganjapur

See
the above

be about
the purpose

Date

Number
of copies

To whom issued

Initials

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed 16/3 } 189 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, DATED
189 ,

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

BACK PAPERS.


G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Local & Munl. (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 672 M



From

The Collector of
CoimbatoreSecy
misc

Order No. 594 Munis of 16/3/98

The Government agrees
with the Collector.R.O. No. 1066(d) of 1897.
RevV.R.
19/3/98AT
15 3 85Dated 1st February 1898

Received 4-2-98

Registered 4-2-98

Enclosures Three

Spare copies.

SUBJECT.

Forwarding for the orders of
Government copy of a letter
from the Chairman of the
Coimbatore Municipality
claiming as belonging to the
Council, a portion of Variporam
with a sketch returned by the
Village.

To The Collector of
The Coimbatore

P.S.D.

Council, a portion of Variporam.

that with a sketch returned by the
Village.

Dupl-18/8/98 Village.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Co	477	Hy 98.	
Sp	1716	Public	15-12-89
Sp	413	HL	13-4-89

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Copy of Resolution of the Municipal Council Coimbatore, dated 2^d December 1897.

10. Read reminder from the Collector of Coimbatore R.O.C. No. 1066 (D) Rev. of '97 of 5th Nov. 1897 for letter No. 1066 (a) Rev. of 27th Apr. '97, informing that there is no thing in § 23 of the St. Municipalities Act to show that the strip of land applied for by Mr. Fraser belongs to the Municipality.

Resolution:—The Council adheres to the opinion that the land belongs to it. It adopts a public highway and as such became vested in the Municipal Council by virtue of provisions of Section 11 of the Town's Improvement Act. In this view the Council is supported by G. O. No 1716 dated the 15th December 1889. A small strip of land lying to the South of the one forming the subject of reference was once before granted to Mr. Stanes on an application made therefor by him. Land similar to this near the Spinning & Weaving Company's premises was alienated to the said Company with the sanction of Government (vide G.O. No 413 M. Dis dated 13th April 1889).

The Jamaudeen a mahomedan had encroached upon and taken wrongful possession of a piece of land on the other side of the drain. The Municipal Council filed a suit in O. S. 607 of 1895 on the file of the Coimbatore District Munsiff's Court for recovery of possession thereof. The defendant pleaded a grant by the Revenue authorities. The plea was rejected and a decree passed in favor of the Municipality. This decision was upheld by the District Court in Appeal Suit No. A. S. 291 of 1896.

Any subsequent change introduced by the legislature in Section 23 of Act 4 of 1884 cannot affect or interfere with the rights which became vested in the Municipal Council, long before the change was made, by virtue of provisions of sections of prior enactments corresponding to Section 23 of Act 4 of 1884.

Signed) H. Rama Row
Chairman.

(True Extract)

2/12/1898

Copy of letter from Mr. H. Rama Row Avergal, Chairman of the Municipal Council, Coimbatore, to the Collector of Coimbatore No. 917 dated 15th December 1897.

In continuation of this office No. 835 dated the 13th November last, I have the honor to forward for your information an extract from the Proceedings of the Municipal Council, Coimbatore, dated the 2^d Inst expressing its opinion that the strip of land applied for by Mr. Fraser belongs to the Municipality.

(True Copy)

for Acting Collector.

Rob No. 1066(d) of 1897. *Combatue* DISTRICT COLLECTOR'S OFFICE,
Rev

1st February 1898.

FROM

A. Butterworth Esq

acting COLLECTOR OF THE

TO

Combatue DISTRICT,

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

Local & Municipal DEPARTMENT,

Madras.

SIR,

Cm = 4772
of 98.

In Continuation of my letter dated
26th January 1898 Rob No 2537 + 4066^A of 1897
I have the honour to forward for the ^{Rev} orders
of Government a copy of a letter dated the
15th December 1897. No 917 addressed to me
by the Chairman of the *Combatue* Municipi-
pality

Office note this

2. The question is whether a certain strip
of land marked yellow in the annexed plan
(to be kindly returned) belongs to Government
or to the Municipal Council. The land forms
part of Survey No 117 in *Combatue* Village
which is 'Vari' (stream) foramboke. The
Council claims it on the grounds that the
strip adjoins a road & that it is a drain.
Between the strip and the road lies a deep
broad channel & as my predecessors pointed
out to the Council, that channel is
certainly not a drain in the sense in which
the word is used in the District Municipalities

3. The delay in forwarding the Chairman's letter is due to the intervention of the holidays and the necessity for getting copies of the Civil decisions referred to by the Council.

Lin

Your most obedient Servant.

for Acting Collector

183

189

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading