3-7-11

1 Abstract

Local & Munl. (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 586 M.

DATED 16-3-98.

INDEXED.

DESPATCHED

[Despatch Abstract.]

Munl: limits

Agreeing with the Sub Collector and the Collector of North Arcot in considering that the extension of the —— of Gudiyattam proposed by the Sanitary Commnr is undesirable, ~~&~~ but approving the inclusion of the Jogimatam burial ground within the same & requesting the Collr to submit the necessary notification

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
G.O.	2129	M	98				

Extension of the municipal limits of G

[L. & M.]
300—2-12-97.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in Proceedings :—

Again G.O., No. , dated 189 .

Again G.O., No. , dated 189 .

Current No. 4328 M of 1898, dated 12th December 1897 from the Collector of N

„ „ „ 189 , „ , „

„ „ „ 189 , „ , „

Misc ORDER. No. 586 M Misc

The Government
with the Sub Collector and t
in considering that the exte
of the municipal limits of G
proposed by the Sanitary Com
in paragraphs 1 and 9 of his
notes recorded in G.O. No 1237
1st August 1895, is undesirabl
inclusion of the Jogimatam
ground within municipal
as suggested by the Sub Collec
paragraph 4 of his letter, is
approved, and the Collector
ed to submit the necessary
notification under section
Act

(From Orders).

A

To
The Chairman M. C. Gudiyattam
.. Collector of North Arcot with a plan returned
.. Sanitary Commissioner

V. R.
12/3/98

19/3/98

1

[G./25]
250—7-4-97.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 4328-M

SUBJECT.

Extension of the municipa
limits of Gudiyattam.

H-M

FOR ORDERS.

para. 1 of G.O. No 1237-M. / 1-8-95. A

In his notes on th
inspection of the Gudiyattam m
nicipality recorded in G.O. No 123
dated 1st August 1895, the Sanitar
Commissioner proposed that Kul
Chadukarai, and Nattam villag
and the Railway Station migh
be included within the Gudiyat
municipal limits and stated tha
"unless the approaches to the mu-
"nicipality, especially the railwa
"route, be so included, the counci
"would always experience difficu
"in arranging as to cholera pre-
"ventive measures." He also remar
that a graveyard situated on th
banks of the river (Kavundinya) to the south
of the slaughter-house was retaine
in a most repulsive condition,
the whole surroundings being strewn

Vide para. 9. ibid.

G.O

human bones and kept in
wholesome state from human
l matter and overgrowth of
lly pear. He considered it essential
this graveyard, ~~which is the~~
~~ground for the town~~ should also
included within municipal limits,
accordingly proposed the ex-
ion of the boundaries on both
les of the river to the south to a
tance of 2000 yards. The question
closing this graveyard was then
der correspondence between him
d the municipality, but the
nitary Commissioner observed that
s need not affect the proposal to
xtend the limits, as he considered
t desirable for other sanitary pur-
poses as well, that the banks and
e river bed should be under
municipal control. The municipal
Council was thereupon requested
to report the action which it proposed
to take in regard to the extension
of the municipal limits — vide para
1(1) of G.O. No 1237-M, dated 1st August

page flagged C.

1895. The council stated in reply
that "the limits of the town need
"not be extended, considering the

B
vide resolution in G.O. No 1246-M / 27-7-96.

"financial circumstances d as
"municipality and the heavlation
"that has to be incurred of limit
"ing the limits as proposed inal
notes on the inspection of o, the
in 1896 (vide G.O. No 901-M, da posal,

D.

April 1897), the Sanitary Com, re-
finding that his proposal inits,
tend the municipal limits able
been carried out, again su
the extension. The municip that
then stated that, consider by
financial position and the b-
expense involved in the exits,
of the boundaries in purch ial
the cultivable lands near k

vide pages 2 & 7 of G.O. No 901-M / 13-4-97

E.

it could not afford to exten atain
limits as proposed. The Co the
thereupon requested to repo e-

para. 1(b) of the above G.O. F.

proposal to extend the mu o
limits and as to why it was aims
as stated by the municipa e
to purchase the cultivable a-
near the river for such ex
With his endorsement rea
the Collector submits a repo e
Sub-Collector on the subjec
with a map showing the
extension, which howeve
the Railway Station, a

17/8/98

3 miles distaint from the
hi limits of the municipality
io. rural tract between, and
l nosal to include it within
lly al limits is therefore con-
tis ^the Collector^ to be absurd. With reference
inclusion of Kalloor, Chedukarai,
ichattam villages, the Sub Collector
ac that "the extension includes
ion ccupied and unoccupied
es of the extent of 340.62 acres
tancerring an assessment of
closure-o, that the probable revenue
der, may be derived from the
d the illages in the shape of
nitary al taxes &c is only about
s nee against an estimated ex-
xtend ure in them of Rs 1260, on
t desir sanitation, education &c,
oses as t the proposal did not
e river ith the Council's approval,
municip pected receipts are in-
Council to cover the charges.
o report 2. The municipality of
to take ttam is a small one
of the limited income of between
(1) of G and Rs 16,000, and almost
895. The le of this sum is spent
that "the ear. The closing balance
not be 7, was Rs 393 or only 2.6
per cent

Vide the Collector's endorsement.

Vide page [illegible] of G.O. No. 901 M
13-[illegible]

para 11(b) of the schedule

per cent of the receipts, and as according to present calculation the proposed extension of the limits will involve a net additional expenditure of about Rs 500, the Sanitary Commissioner's proposal to include the villages, above referred to, within municipal limits, does not appear to be desirable or expedient.

3. The Sub Collector states that the burial ground referred to by the Sanitary Commissioner is already within municipal limits, but that there is another burial ground on the southern bank of the river known as the Joginatam burial ground, which is outside the municipal boundaries, and he recommends that field No. 180 of the Thalayatam village which contains this burial ground may also be included within the municipal area. Regarding the extension of the boundaries on both sides of the river, however, he states that there is no necessity whatever for the acquisition of the cultivable lands near the river and that the municipal council's resolution on

this matter was passed under a mistaken impression that the Sanitary Commissioner suggested the removal of the objectionable burial ground referred to by him, and that other lands should be acquired for a new burial ground and a pathway to it; but he considers at the same time that a length of 2000 yards on either side of the river will be too considerable a distance to be adequately looked after by the municipality and that the Police cannot properly patrol over such an extensive area. He, however, says that if this difficulty is not considered valid, the proposed extension may be approved, as it will involve the inclusion of only Nattam and Chadukarai villages. The extension of the boundaries on both sides of the river to the south to a distance of 2000 yards is of doubtful expediency. As already stated above, the villages of Nattam and Chadukarai are separated from Gudiyattam by a large extent of wet and dry lands and the inconvenience caused to the owners by bringing these lands within municipal limits must be very great. Besides the additional municipal income whic may be derived by the proposed extension, will be utterly inadequat to meet the expenditure on roads, &c, in the newly added portion, and in the present crippled state of its finances the municipality can ill afford to supplement these funds. Moreover, the reasons urged by the Sanitary Commissioner in paragraph 1 of his inspection notes recorded in G.O. No 1237 M, dated 1st August 1895, for including these villages within municipal limits are not convincing. In these circumstances, it is submitted that the proposed extension of the municipal limits may not be approved. There is however no objection to the inclusion within municipal limits of the Joginatam burial ground, and the Collector may be requested to send the necessary draft notification under section 4-A of the Act, for this purpose.

Vide. para. 6 of the Sub-Collector's letter.

A.

Shewn S. of black line in sketch.
H.T.

V.R.
29/1/98

H.T.
3.3.98

There seems to have been much delay about the submission of this report

R.S.
4/3/98

5/3

IR

Local & Munl. (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 4328M

From The Collector of N. Arcot.

Order

No. [illegible] of 97.
16. DEC. 1897
LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT

Dated 12. 12. 97.

Received 16-12-1897

Registered 16-12-1897

Enclosures One plan (sent separately)

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submitting reply to para 6 of G.O. No 901. M. 13.4.97 regarding the extension of municipal limits of the Gudiyatam Municipality

Cy 24/1

20/12

Engineers

17/8/98

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

North Arcot Sub Collector's office
Camp Gudiyatam
Dated 2nd November 1897.
Dis No $\frac{3148}{Rev}$ of 97.

From

V. Venugopaul Chetti Esquire B.A.
Sub Collector of North Arcot.

To

The Collector of
North Arcot District
Chittoor.

Sir,

With reference to your foot-note Refer Cur Eng No 1006 dated 11th May 1897 appended to G.O. No 901. M dated 13th April 1897, and your further reference dated 1st September 1897 on my reference Dis No 2077 dated 17th August 1897, I have the honor to submit the following report in full regarding the extension of the Municipal limits of Gudiyatam.

Engineer's 17/8/98

2. The Sanitary Commissioner, in his notes of inspection embodied in G.O. No 1237 Mn dated 1st August 1895 made two proposals for the extension of the Municipal limits.

Flag A.

(a) To include Kallur, Chedukarai, and Nattam villages and the Railway station so that the approaches of the Municipality especially the Railway route may come within Municipal limits and the Council may be free to make arrangements for Cholera preventive measures.

Vide para 1 of the inspection notes in the G.O. above referred to.

(b) To extend the boundaries on both sides of the river to the South for a distance of 2000 yards to bring the present Hindu burial ground South of the Slaughter house within Municipal ~~limits~~ limits.

Vide para 9(a) of the inspection notes

3. Proposal (a), excluding the portion of the suggestion to include the Railway station, includes occupied and unoccupied lands of Talayattam, Chedukarai, Kondasamudram, Indiyatam Kallur and Sevoor Kallur to the extent of 340.62 acres bearing an assessment of Rs 1382-5-. The Municipal revenue derivable in the shape of Taxes on arts, buildings lands &c is estimated to be Rs 762 against Rs 1260 proposed to be expended on roads, Sanitation Education &c.

The proposal however did not meet with the approval of the Council as the expended receipts are inadequate to cover the proposed expenditure.

4. Proposal (b) of the Sanitary Commissioner suggests extension of limits to the South for a distance of 2000 yards so as to bring the present Hindu graveyard to the South of

Engineers

17/8/98

Slaughter house within Municipal limits. The graveyard referred to here is already within Municipal limits but another one on the Southern bank of the river used by Hindus is out of Municipal limits. The survey number of this field is 180 of Thalayattam village. It is called "Jogimadam" burial ground. The Commissioner must have been wrongly informed that the graveyard South of the Slaughter house was out of Municipal limits. Field No 180 of Thalayattam containing "Jogimadam" burial ground may now be included within Municipal limits.

5. I have to state that there is no necessity whatever for the acquisition of cultivable lands near the river. The resolution of the Municipal Council, on which Government have demanded an explanation, is very meagre. The Council were apparently under the impression that the Sanitary Commissioner suggested the removal of the Hindu burial ground South of the Slaughter house and accordingly intended that cultivable lands should be acquired for a new burial ground and for a pathway ~~for~~ to it. This intention they did not clearly express in their resolution.

That the present Sanitary Commissioner did not and does not suggest the removal of the above burial ground is clear from his letter No 2216/S to the Chairman Municipal Council, Gudiyatam dated 29th October 1897. It appears from this that his object in recommending the inclusion of 2000 yards towards the South on either side of the river was to include the present burial grounds within Municipal limits so as to keep them clean and to prevent fresh ones being started in

Engineers 17/8/98

proximity to them and to have river banks prompt under Municipal Control. The Council appear to have mixed up the present Sanitary Commissioner's recommendation with those of the Sanitary Commissioner of 1891 in para 8 embodied in G.O. No 799 M dated 26th May 1891. The Sanitary Commissioner of 1891 suggested that all burial grounds near habitations or drinking water supplies should be closed and new sites selected in suitable localities out of the town. The result was that the council passed the vague resolution dated 17. 2. 1897.

Flag. F.

6. A length of 2000 yards on either side of the river seems to me too considerable a distance to be adequately looked after by the Municipality. The Police cannot properly patrol ~~on~~ over such an extensive area. If this difficulty is not considered valid, the recommendation may be adopted. It only involves the inclusion of Nattam and Chedukarai villages.

7. With reference to other queries raised in your letter I have the honour to state as follows:—

Query No III

Portions of Kundasamudram are already within Municipal limits. A portion of Kundasamudram wet lands has been newly added to the extension so as to make the Railway Station road the northern boundary up to the limits of Chedukarai villages. The reason for adding the lands of Thalayatam is that in giving effect to the proposed extension, these lands come within Municipal limits. These lands (Talayatam) lie on the western side of the road leading to Nattam, a hamlet of the village.

Query No IV

The amount of Rs 60, appearing against Kallur in the statement of expenditure, is as surmised by you, to meet the cost of the establishment of School to be opened at that place for children of School going age. At present the children of the village come to Indiyatam which is about one mile from Kallur.

8 A fresh map has now been prepared giving the position of the two Hindu and one Muhamedan grave yards chiefly used. The other instructions regarding the preparation of the map given in your letter under reference have also been attended to.

The extension of the Municipal limits has repeatedly been disapproved of by the Municipal Council as the crippled financial resources do not permit of the maintenance of establishment required therefor and I agree with them. If an extension is to be carried out at all, it is quite enough to limit it to Nattam and Chedukarai villages (vide para 6).

10 If the removal of the two Hindu burial grounds (1) South of Slaughter

Engineer 17/8/98

house and (2) Japeenadam is urged with reference to Sanitary Commissioner's remarks of 1891, it must form the subject of a separate correspondence and not be mixed up with the question of extension of limits.

11 Copies of Councils' resolution and other papers ~~are~~ as per list are enclosed.

I have &c.

(Sd) V. Venugopaul Chetti
Ag. Sub Collector.

Disposal No. 2551 Eng of 97 4328 M
DATED

True Copy.

Submitted to Secretary to Government Local and Municipal Department with reference to para 6 of G.O. No $\frac{901. M}{13.4.97}$. I concur with the Sub Collector's. ~~proposal~~ The proposal to include the Railway station is absurd. It is about 3 miles distant from the present limits, with a rural tract between. The "proposed limits" on the plan sent do not include this proposal. The Railway station is further away and is not shewn on the plan.

Despatched 15.12.97
Dated

N. Arcot Collector's Office
Camp Palmaneer 12.12.97.

Collector.

Copied by Cud.
Sealy — V.Bn

t.

given for copying
copied
examined
signed 18/3
189 .

AGAIN G.O., No. , DATED
189 .

RENT No.

CLOSURES TO C. No.

DER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. .. A A A A A A A

TTER OF GOVERNMENT.

TEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

Enquiries 17/3/98