

Local & Munl. (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 498 M

DATED 5-3-98.

INDEX *56*

DESPATCHED

[Despatch Abstract.]

Remission

Agreeing with the Chairman of the Munl. Council, Palni, that it would be improper to grant any — of the amount of decree passed against the light Contractor for his default to take up the light contract of 1895-96 in the Municipality.

BACK PAPERS.


G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Local & Munl. (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. *669 M*



From

*The Chairman
Municipal Council
Palni.*

Secy.

Mis.

Order.

N. 498 N. 5/3/98

No. 29

The sanction of Government is not necessary for granting the remission referred to.

Dated 15th February 1898

Received 4-2-98

Registered 4-2-98

Enclosures Two

Spare copies

*The for agrees with
the Chairman that it
would be improper to
grant any remission.*

*The Chairman's letter
has been forwarded through
the Col.*

Note: For a precedent, please see *33 & D*

G.O. No. 800. M. dated 9th May 1892. C.

*No difference between
the two orders & decrees?*

*To the Chairman M. C. Palni.
10/3/98*

SUBJECT.

*Submitting
No. 1 dated 28 April 1896 sub-
mitting for the order of Govern-
ment a copy of resolution of
the Council requesting sanc-
tion for the remission of
a portion of a decree amount-
to a light Contractor.*

2/16/1

14/2

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	235	h	27-1-96
	1500	h	16-9-95

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Enclosure No 1 to letter No 2941-295

copy of letter No 1 dated 28 April 1896 from the Chairman, Municipal Council, Calcutta to the Chief Secretary to Government, Local and Municipal Department, Madras

In March 1895, when the Contract of supplying oil and lighting the 105 lights in the town for 1895-96, was sold by the Council, one Syed Maniagare Rowther bid for Annas 9.9 a light a month, and he deposited Rs 50 for the due fulfilment of the Contract. But afterwards, he refused to execute the Munchilikka and take up the Contract and represented to the Council that he was under the impression when he bid for the Contract, he was simply to supply oil and light the lights and that he was not responsible for any loss or damage to the lights, Chimneys &c. It was stipulated at the time of the sale that the Contract included the supply of Chimneys, and lights that were damaged or lost.

2. The Council therefore Cancelled his Contract and ordered the forfeiture of his deposit money Rs 50, (Vide para 22 of this office letter No 8 dated 3rd June 1895 embodied in G.O No 1500 dt dated 16 Sept 1895). The Contract was resold and the lowest bid amount offered was Rs 9.9 a light a month, and thus the loss to the Council over the first bid amount ~~was~~ for the year amounted to Rs 157.80

Mag B

3. A suit was filed against the defaulter for this sum. In view of the deposit of Rs 50 made by him at the time of the sale, and a decree has been obtained against him for about Rs 130 in spite of the Contention put forward by him.

4. Now the defaulter applied to the Council for the remission of the decree amount on the ground that he has already forfeited Rs 50, the deposit and has spent about Rs 50 in opposing the suit.

5. The majority of the Council is of opinion that a third of the decree amount may be remitted. I do not agree. Copy of the resolution is enclosed.

6. I therefore request that sanction of Government may be obtained for granting the remission.

I have &c

(Signed) G. S. Pannaswami

Chairman

(True Copy)

P. Vasudatnam Pillai

Chairman Delegate

Enclosure no 2 to letter, no 29 of 1-2-96

Proceeding - passed by the Municipal Council, Palni, at a meeting held on the 25 April 1896.

Present: M.R. G. S. Pannaswami B.A.

Chairman

" Mr. Saketharamaiah Iyer

Tahsil Dar Councilor

" Mahomed Badiyuddin Sahib Aol

" R. Vaidyanathan Aol

" C. Ranga Rao Aol

" Mahomed Hussein Doster Aol

" S. Kaganiah Jover Aol

" Venkataswami Chettiar Aol

No 5 Read petition of Syed Hanifagana Rowther requesting that the amount of decree passed against him in favor of the Council for his default to take up the light Contract of 1895-96, which he bid for, may be remitted.

Resolved by a majority that one third of the decree amount be remitted. Considering the circumstances of the case, one of the Councilors Mr. Mahomed Badiyuddin Sahib and Chairman dissenting.

(Signed) G. S. Pannaswami

Chairman

(True Extract)

P. Vasudatnam Pillai

Chairman Delegate

Municipal Office. Palni
15th February 1898

No 29

From

The Chairman,
Municipal Council
Palni,

To

The Secretary to Government
Local and Municipal Department
Madras

Sir, Referring to G.O. No 235 u. d. dated 27th January 1898, I have the honor to forward herewith for the orders of Government Copies of the Chairman's letter No 1 dated 28th April 1896, and the resolution of the Council No 5 dated 25th April 1896.

I have the honor to be
Sir

Yours most obedient Servant
P. Vasuiah Pillai
Chairman or Delegate

2
689
1898

When given for copying
 When copied 5-2-98 189
 When examined
 When signed 6/3

READ AGAIN G.O., No. , DATED
 189

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
 A = Copy in full.
 B = Not to be copied.
 P = Copy abstract only.
 Q = Copy heading only.

[Faint handwritten notes on the left page, including "The Commission" and "the Secretary to the Commission"]