

23-10-15

L. & M.
2,000-28-10

Local & Munl. (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 32 L

DATED 5-1-98

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Administration report - Recording explanation
of points noticed in the — of the Madras
D. Bd. for 1896-97.

BACK PAPERS.


G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Local & Munl. (189) Department.

CURRENT No. 3133 L



From The District, District, Madras.

CV
Misc:

Order No 32 Luis d 5/1/98

Recorded, the necessary
corrections having been made

VR
4/1/98

4 1 98

No. 1390

Dated 27th November 97

Received

Registered

Enclosures (1)

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

L. 7 Administration Report
for 1896-97: Replying to the
Government Memorandum
asking for explanation
in reference to certain
items in the —

11/1/98

11/1/98

11/1/98

No. 1390

27th November 1897.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

From

J. J. Esquire,
President, District Board,

Madura.

To

The Secretary to Government,

Local and Municipal Department,

Madras.

Sir,

In reply to Government Memorandum No. 957, dated 15th September 1897, asking for explanation in reference to items 6, 14, 16, 17 & 18 mentioned therein, I have the honor to report as follows:—

Item 6. The President of the Ramnad Taluk Board reports that the figure shown in Statement II, viz Rs 1082, is correct and that the excess of Rs 61 shown in Statement II appertained to the Kilakarai dispensary, the error having arisen from the cost of the "Medicines and surgical instruments" being wrongly debited to the Tirumadanai dispensary instead of to the Kilakarai dispensary.

Item 14. The amount involved in the case of distraint herein referred to, was 2 annas which was omitted to be shown in rounding figures.

Item 16. House-tax was levied during 1896-97 at the maximum rates in the Unions of Kodaikanal, Ramnad, Devalakota, and Karakudi, at $\frac{3}{4}$ of the rates in Arupukottai and Sivaganga Unions, and at half the rates in the rest.

Item 17. The Inspecting school master of Mahommedan schools is reported by the Assistant Inspector of schools to have visited 150 villages during 1896-97, and to have prepared 627 school and 8 others for examination.

Item 18. A copy of the statement furnished by the Inspector of schools showing the results of his examination is enclosed. It will be seen from

from it that 8 students were presented for examination and that they all did fairly well on the whole.

I have the honor to be
 Sir
 Your most obedient servant
 Imasaka
 For President.

h

Inspection Results of the Kyoto Law Class, Agricultural Department, Imperial University, Madras.

No.	Name	Bloughing	Reckoning up the principal part of the plough and breaking new up.	Reckoning	Names	Remarks.
1	Sappani	Good	Indifferent	Indifferent	Indifferent	
2	Mathuramai	Good	Good	Indifferent	Indifferent	
3	Subramanian	Good	Indifferent	Indifferent	Indifferent	
4	Souramuni	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Indifferent
5	Dickson	Indifferent	Indifferent	Indifferent	Indifferent	Indifferent
6	Deena Dillai	Indifferent	Indifferent	Indifferent	Indifferent	Indifferent
7	Ramalingam	Indifferent	Indifferent	Indifferent	Indifferent	Indifferent
8	Sanyal	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Indifferent

3/33/49

(True copy)

Prof. C. Chagaji Rao

Inspector of school

For President

points noticed in the Madras
L.S. Report for 1896-97

[28]

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT

C.No. 19112

Memorandum.

Dated the 15. 9. 1897.

No. 957

The following points are
noticed in the Presidents and the
District Medical Officer's reports and in the
statements submitted with the former.

vide para 20 of the President's
reports

i.e.

(1) In column 9 of Statement
I-A, the sale proceeds of sweepings
in Unions, amounting to Rs 554,
should have been shown under
III. Medical, Vaccination and Sa-
nitation, and not under V. Mis-
cellaneous.

vide para 52

i.e.

(2) In column 9 of Statement I-B, ^{the same}
the expenditure incurred for construct-
ing a parapet wall to the well at
Nailampatti and for rebuilding
certain wells in the Kodakana
Union should have been debited
to Grant 3. Sanitation, and not
to Grant 1, as ^{the estimate} it did not exceed

Rs 300 in each case.

(4) In column 9 of the same statement, the sum of Rs 74 spent on the repair of certain road in the Melur union should have been debited to Grant 1 and not to Grant 3 - Sanitation.

e-c
vide col. 13 of stat. XIII

(5) In column 8 of the same Statement IB the contributions made to the Madurai Municipality for making sanitary arrangements during the Chitra festival and to the Periyakulam Municipality for vaccination in ^{the} surrounding Local Fund villages should have been shown under Grant 3 and not under Grant 4.

e-c
vide para 57

(6) In column 3 of Statement IV.A, the portion of the road ^{from Melur to Tiruppurvaanur, which is} stated in para. 26 of the President's report to have ^{been} not been main tained during the year, should nevertheless have also been included in the total length of taluk roads.

(6)

(6) In column 19 of Statement IB, the total charges of the dispensary at Tiruvadaurai are given as Rs 1082, whereas in column 14 of Statement XI it is ^{shown} given as Rs 1143.

(7) In Statement VIII, the Lower Secondary School at Tirumangalam is ^{shown} ~~classified~~ under "Lower Secondary Schools on the Salary system," instead of under "Lower Secondary Schools on the Salary result system" as in Statement I B.

(8) In the same statement (VIII) the system under which the primary schools in the various taluks were maintained has not been shown.

(9) In column 24 of Statement XIII, the total number of places at which sanitary arrangements were made for festivals.

festivals is given as 6 instead of 5, according to the details.

(10) In columns 11 and 12 of Statement XV.A, the number of official and non-official members on the Tirumangalam taluk Board is given as 3 and 9 ^{respectively} instead of 4 and 8 according to the details in Statement XV.

(11) In columns 16 and 17 of the same statement (XV.A), the average attendance of the members in the following boards is given as follows, -

	Official	Non-official
District Board.	6.2	12.6
Madura th. Bd.	0.9	4.7
Tirumangalam th. Bd.	1.6	5.0
instead of		
District Board	7.6	11.3
Madura th. Bd.	1.7	3.9
Tirumangalam th. Bd.	2.2	4.5

(12) In columns 16 to 18 of the statement, the total average attendance of the panchayats should have been arrived at by dividing the total number of meetings ^{all} attended by the members by the total

total number of meetings held, and not by adding up the individual averages of the ^{several} panchayats.

(14) In column 10 of ^{the same} Statement (XVI), the number of cases in which property was distrained for the collection of house tax in the Joudi union is given as 1, but the amount involved is shown as nil in the next column.

(13) In column 6 of Statement XVI, the average assessment per house ^{taxed} in the Devakottai union is given as Rs 1-13-1 instead of Rs 1-13-6.

(15) In column 2 of statement XVII, the general Local fund receipts under the District and taluk Boards are given as Rs 208,298 Rs 288,114 instead of Rs 159,923 Rs 287,708 respectively as shown below, -

	District Board	Taluk Board
Total Local Fund receipts as per stat <u>IA</u>	272,683	4363
Deduct,		

opened

Opening balance -	47,490	85,576
Debt head receipts	12,122	15,026
Allotment to th. pps.	46,375	
Allotment to dt. pps.	"	400
Government contributions	5,858	8,837
Endowment receipts.	915	38,811
Total	112,760	148,650
Net Local fund receipts	159,923	287,708

(16) In para 10 of the President's report, the rate at which house tax is levied in the several unions in the district has not been ^{given} ~~reported~~

(17) In para. 33, the number of villages visited by the Muhammadan inspecting schoolmaster, and the number of Local fund and other schools prepared by him for examination have not been ^{given} reported.

(18) In para 37, the number of students of the Agricultural Department of the Technical Institute ^{who} ~~that~~ were examined, & the number ~~that~~ ^{given} passed have not been reported.

(19) In paras 41 and 42, the Statistics relating to the Pancharama and the Muhammadan Schools should

should have excluded those rela-
 ting to schools ^{which were} neither maintained
 nor aided from local funds.

(20) In para. 7 of the medical officer's report, the statistics relating to vaccination for 1896-97 exclude those relating to the work done by medical subordinates, whereas those for the previous two years include them.

The necessary corrections have been made with reference to clauses (1) to (5), (7) to (11), (13) and (15) above. The discrepancies noticed in clauses (6) & (14) should be explained, and the omissions noticed in clauses (16) to (18) should be supplied as ~~an~~ early date soon as possible.

VR

119/

from
The President, district Board

Madura.

Supr-2079197

18/9

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed

189 .

AD AGAIN G.O., No.
189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.