Local & Munl. (189) Department.

PRINTED.

G.O., No. 2165 M

DATED 15/12/98

[illegible]

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Loans & grants – Forwarding to the Financial dept. estimates of — from Provincial to municipal funds for public works &c for the year 1899 – 1900

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

2.12.98.

40

Government {1898} of Madras.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL.

Proof for approval

Strike off as revised
VR
19/12/98

Recd. } 1898. *Enclosures*

Regd. *Spare copies*

G.O., No. 2165 M., 15th December 1898.

DECEMBER.

Loans and Grants.

Forwarding to the Financial Department estimates of — from Provincial to Municipal funds for public works, &c., for the year 1899–1900.

24/m
C. 2.12.98.
R 6.12.98

(AAA)

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Order—No. 2165 M., dated 15th December 1898.

The accompanying estimates of loans and grants from Provincial to Municipal funds for public works, &c., for the year 1899–1900 will be forwarded to the Financial Department.

(True Extract.)

for *Secretary to Government.*

To the Financial Department, with a Statement. 8

[Appendix.

Exd. C. M. Thumbuswamy.

24th
24th

C. 2.12.98.
R 6.12.98.

(AAA)

Financial Department.

Note

This information has been prepared with reference to a discussion in Council regarding the necessity for addressing India immediately in view to this Govt. being placed in funds for the grant of loans to municipalities

CMS

The accompanying files are submitted for orders :-

File I shows the probable amounts of Provincial grants and loans [redacted] required for municipal water-supply and drainage scheme for which plans and estimates are or will soon be ready;

File II relates to the Tanjore Fort drainage scheme;

Files III & V relate to the question of the probable lapses from the Provincial grants placed at the disposal of the L & M Dept. for the current year;

File IV relates to the financing of the Tuticorin water-supply scheme proposed by the Sanitary Board;

File VI contains the Sanitary Engineer's letter stating his inability at present to design a joint water-supply scheme for Tinnevelly and Palamcottah ~~as well~~ as to suggest any cheaper scheme for Tuticorin.

2. The note in file I is submitted with reference to the Hon'ble Mr. Arundel's note of the 11th October 1898 flagged E and deals, as stated above, with the probable amounts of Provincial grants and loans that will be required for schemes for which plans and estimates

are more or less ready. For the Tuticorin water-supply scheme a grant of 1.65 lakh and a loan of a similar amount is entered; but as the alternative scheme submitted by the Sanitary Board for improvements to the water-supply costing one lakh is now before Govt. the L & M Dept. [redacted] propos~~ed~~es to allot .50 for this work out of the sum of .97 expected to lapse from the grant of 1.50 for the current year and suggests the entry of a further sum of .50 in the revised estimate of loans for the current year. This scheme is dealt with in file IV and is referred to in file III. In ~~which~~ the latter file Sir Henry Bliss suggested that the whole amount might be lent and the Govt. of India moved to sanction this, while H.E. the Governor enquired whether ~~we were~~ Govt. was not pledged to give help to the Tuticorin Municipal Council for their water-works and whether there was anything to prevent the minor scheme being begun at once. [Vide pages 3 and 6 of the notes in file III] The reply of the L & M Dept. on pages 7 ~~and 8~~ to 9 of the same notes shows that a ~~province~~ promise to contribute half the cost of the scheme was made and that the work can be commenced as soon as the Municipal Council has been consulted. If then it is decided to grant the .50 from the sum expected to lapse, the loan of the remaining .50 required may be entered in the revised estimate for the ~~ensuing~~ current year whi ~~[illegible]~~

The amount has been promised & must be given, but as only four months of the year remain & the Mun? has not yet been consulted it is certain that Rs 50000 will not be spent this year. For the revised budget ~~It~~ it may be cut down to Rs 25000 or such smaller sum as the Sanitary Engr. thinks likely to be spent.

MA See File I

HWS

A.S.H

The amount not entered in the R.~~B~~E. must ~~must~~ be entered in the Bud. Est. for next year, together with the loan.

MA HWS A.S.H

We could lend Trichy. Rs 25000 out of the ~~1·50~~ ·97 expected to lapse this year or more if it can be spent. The whole Rs 50000 could be lent if it can be spent. The Sany. Engr. must know.

MA

A.S.H

If the course indicated above is followed no special loans need be asked for this year, & the budget for next year can make full provision.

MA 24.11.98

HWS 24-11-98.

A.S.H 5.12.98

to go to India. Trichinopoly can apparently utilize another ·50 lakh as a loan. ~~[illegible]~~ Vizianagram apparently requires, and can utilize, a loan of 1·50 lakhs. The other municipalities mentioned in the L. & M. Dept. notes in file I do not appear to be likely to be in a position to utilize any money this year. If India is to be approached at once, it might be asked to permit this Govt. then to advance up to 2·50 lakhs in the current year; but it is thought that it ~~will~~ would be better to include all probable schemes in the budget for next year. This Govt. made no indent on India for loans to municipalities in the current year and the case for a large grant next year will therefore be the stronger. ~~[illegible]~~ A supplementary application now may weaken the case & there are only four months more to run.

Cwl 22.11.98

22-11-98.

c. 29.11.98
R. 1.12.98

Recd 6.12.98
Retd 6.12.98

Hon Secre

Financial Dept

Note

With reference to the Hon'ble Mr Arundel's note on page 3 of the Note in the Financial Dept. below suggesting that Trichinopoly may be lent Rs 25000 out of the '97 expected to lapse this year, it is submitted that this cannot be done as the '97 belongs to the estimate of grants placed at the disposal of the L & M Dept. The loan account is quite distinct and outside the budget and this Govt cannot make any advances without the sanction of the Govt of India as there is no allotment placed at its disposal in the current year.

25/11/98 25.11.98

Govt. Can not lend Provincial money without the sanction of India as no provision has been made on that account

28/11/98.

Very well.
AA
30.11.98

5.12.98

I don't understand what is to be done, but initial in token that I have no remarks or objections to offer
H.S.
6.12.98.

C.S.

The files may, it is subd, be now returned to the L. & M. Dept for further action. They are urgently required there in connection with the budget estimates for next year.

Clk
6-12-98

Sgn.
7-12-98.

No. 2751, d/ 7-12-98.

To L & M do

Probable amounts of Provincial grants and loans required for Municipal water supply and drainage works.

Note. This note is submitted with refce to the Hon'ble Mr. Arundel's minute in the note below flagged E(1)

To Fin. with ref. 6
~~W & C~~
Hon Mr. Arundel's note
W.I. 15-11-98

For Orders.

The following statement shows the water-supply and drainage works in Municipalities, for which plans and estimates are, or will soon be, ready, and the grants and loans from Provincial funds which may be required for their execution:-

	Work	Estimated cost of the work Rs	Probable aid required from Government		Remarks.
			Grant Rs	Loan Rs	
1. Tuticorin	Water-supply scheme	3,33,000	1,65,000	1,65,000	Estimates are ready
2. Trichinopoly	Extension of the water-works	2,08,200	..	50,000	do.
3. Tanjore	Drainage scheme	33,440	..	..	do.
4. Coonoor.	Water-supply scheme	1,13,800	..	1,13,800	do.
5. Vizianagaram	do.	2,92,550	1,42,500	1,50,000	do.
6. Ootacamund	Bazaar drainage	1,55,000	..	1,55,000	Estimates for one of the blocks are ready.
		11,35,990	3,07,500	6,33,800	

(1) **Tuticorin.** With its resolution No.263-S dated 21st November 1896, disposed of in G.O.No.1031-M dated 8th June 1898, the Sanitary Board submitted five schemes for the water supply of Tuticorin and recommended the adoption of one of two schemes which were estimated to involve a capital outlay of Rs 3,30,000 and Rs 2,86,000 respectively and an annual maintenance charge of Rs 18,310 and Rs 14,970. As, however, the adoption of any particular scheme depended mainly on the amount of contribution or aid which the Govt. could afford

to give to the Municipal Council, the Govt. in its order quoted above, expressed its regret that nothing could be done until its financial position was clearer and His Excellency the Governor, while approving the draft order in this case, observed as follows:-

"I accept with regret the draft G.O. "But I should be glad if the matter is brought "to notice after the lapse of four months, "when perhaps our financial position may "have become more assured"// The necessity for the provision of a proper system of water-supply for this town having been brought to the notice of His Excellency the Governor when he last visited the place, and the Govt being of opinion that it would be premature to seek for means for providing funds for a scheme, until it was known what it would cost, the Sanitary Engineer was asked to submit the best cheap scheme he could devise for the water-supply of the town. The Sanitary Board has, however, now proposed that a lakh of Rupees might be spent on improvements to the water-supply, viz. construction of a masonry conduit from the tank to the town costing ₨ 50,000 and the provision of water-tight reservoirs in different parts of the town at a cost of ₨ 50,000 and in the note for orders on the subject which has been submitted through the Financial Deptt. it is proposed to allot ₨ 50,000 for this work, out of the grant of ₨ 1,50,000 provided in the budget estimate of Provincial grants to Municipalities for 1898-99, as a sum of ₨ 97,900 out of it is expected to lapse, the Municipal Council being left to borrow the remaining ₨ 50,000, in the

G.O. No 1349 M d/28-7-98. T

which will not be inconsistent with further developments. when funds become available.
AA

Files IV & V

See my note in file I. Rs 50000 cannot be spent this year of wh. only 4 months remain & the Munʸ has not yet been consulted. Say Rs 25000 as grant or such smaller sum as the Sany. Engr. thinks can be spent. Enter the loan of Rs 50000 for next year's budget with the balance of grant to make up Rs 50000. AA

3.

open market. If it is decided to carry out the work now recommended by the Sanitary Board, in preference to the complete scheme originally proposed by that body, it is submitted that a sum of ₨ 50,000 may be entered in the statement as the probable amount of loan required from Govt. on account of this work and the necessary provision made in the Revised Estimate for the current year.

(2) Trichinopoly. In G.O. No. 2736-M dated 8th December 1897, the plans and estimates of a scheme costing ₨ 2,08,200 for improving and extending the water-supply of Trichinopoly to Worriyur and Puttur and to a portion of the cantonment, submitted by the Sanitary Board, were forwarded to the Municipal Council for remarks and for report as to how it proposed to finance the scheme and it was informed that the Govt. was not prepared to make any grants from provincial funds towards the cost of these works and that it could not hold out any promise of a loan within any definite period in the existing state of its finances. In reply to this, the Municipal Council stated that it found it impossible to finance the scheme unless the terms under which the original water water-works were undertaken, viz. a half grant from Provincial funds and a loan of the balance at 4½ percent interest, were granted and accordingly requested that the Govt. order above referred to might be reconsidered. But the Govt. while regretting its inability to comply with the Council's re-quest on the ground that it had not the

K

means to make either a grant or a loan, stated that the Council should consider the possibility of raising a loan in the open market. Vide G.O.No.1210-M dated 8th July 1898. The Municipal Council now proposes to undertake immediately the construction of the additional infiltration wells which the Sanitary Engineer considers to be very urgently required and requests that the cost of this work viz. Rs 50,000 may be advanced from Provincial funds at the usual rate of interest. The Council states that it will borrow the balance of the required sum viz, Rs 1,58,000 in the open market. It is submitted that this amount (Rs 50000) may be entered in the Revised Estimate for the current year, as from the D.O. from Mr. Jones dated 1st November ~~October~~ 1898, it appears that the work is one of real urgency.

K

CNo 2980M N

Rs 50000? See Financial note on this ~~[illegible]~~. Rs 25000 can be lent this year or more up to Rs 50000 if it can be spent. Ask San. Engr. M.A.

V G.O. No 2775M of 11-12-97 (in the linked file)

(3) Tanjore. In forwarding to the Municipal Council of Tanjore for remarks, estimates amounting to Rs 33,440 for a scheme for the drainage of the Fort, the Govt. stated that in the existing state of its finances, it was not prepared to make any grant or loan from Provincial funds, but would sanction the raising of a loan in the open market. The Municipal Council has now submitted an application for sanction to raise a loan of Rs 33,000 and the papers on the subject are in the linked file. ~~which will be accorded in the usual course~~ No aid from Govt. is therefore required for this work, either as a grant or as a loan.

W

M.A.

(4) Coonoor. The plans and estimates of a scheme of water supply for this town costing Rs 1,13,800 are now under the consideration of the Municipal Council. The Govt. has already undertaken to lend from Pro-

G.O. No 2811M 16-2-97 Y

Funds not likely to be wanted this year M.A.

vincial funds the whole of the required amount (but within no fixed time). The Council's report as to financing the scheme has not yet been received

CNo 3000M of 98 Z (under disposal)

(5) Vizianagaram. The plans and estimates of a water supply scheme costing Rs 2,92550 prepared by the Sanitary Engineer and approved by the Sanitary Board are now before Govt. The Sanitary Engineer observes that as the late Maharajah of Vizianagaram had promised to contribute Rs 1,50,000, the balance viz. Rs 1,42,550 may be given as a grant-in-aid by Govt. As it is very unlikely that the contribution promised by the late Maharajah will now be realized, this sum of Rs 1,50,000 will have to be advanced from Provincial funds, if the scheme is at all to become an accomplished fact.

In the absence of the promised contribution, ~~the~~ scheme must be reconsidered. M.A.

Loan & Advance Acct?

(6) Ootacamund. The plans and estimates amounting to Rs 150000 for draining ~~one block of~~ the Ootacamund Main Bazaar are now under reference to the Sanitary Board. The Council will probably be unable to carry out this work unless the Govt. advances the whole of the estimated cost from Provincial funds.

CNo 2914M of 98 U

M.A. 24.11.98

The estimates of loans and grants from Provincial to Municipal funds for the current and ensuing years will be submitted, after it is settled how much is to be allotted under these heads on account of Municipal works.

V.R. 15/11/98

15 11 98

Financial Department.

G. No.

Subject.

Municipal Loans.

1. The Hon. Sir Henry Bliss, K.C.I.E.
2. The Hon. Mr. Arundel.
3. H.E. the Governor.

For Orders.

My recollection is that H.E. wanted to know whether money could not be found to help certain Municipalities (among which was Tuticorin, mentioned in the papers below) to start their water works. There are other cases also in which estimates are ready. The L. and M. Dept. can say.

India may be asked. They may be able to find some money for loans. But grants must come out of Provincial funds and there is no chance, that I can see, of money being available for grants for some years to come. This being so, is it worth while to get money for loans? I doubt, for very few Municipalities can carry out any works of importance without (say) at least a one-third grant. Without the power to

I much regret that I remember no instructions given to me at last Council to address India to place more money at our disposal for loans. I remember that the question was asked whether there was any provision for loans to Municipalities in the Budget and that I got Sir H. Bliss the Budget to look the point up and came upstairs to the office to make certain that there was no provision but when I returned the discussion had passed into other channels and the matter was not referred to again. I would now therefore ask for the instructions of H.E's as to the object with regard to which India is to be addressed and the manner in which it is to be approached. I am aware of no papers on this subject and do not know why the loans are to be desired.

(Id.) G.S.
6-10-08.

Since writing the above note it has been suggested to me that perhaps the subject to which H.E. referred was the outstanding of a reply to para make [illegible]s, therefore, we can't get on. There may be some exceptions to this, perhaps - viz. Coonoor for one. Trichy also and Tanjore may be able to carry out their water extension and Port drainage schemes, respectively, without grants. The papers are in L.& M. I do not remember them well enough to say. Ooty, again, might take a loan. It will want one, if it is decided, as I expect it will be, to construct filtration works in connection with the Marlymund Reservoir and to carry out Mr. Walch's scheme for a high level reservoir on Dodabetta. But next year will probably be time enough for beginning these works.

(Id.) H.W.B.
8-10-98.

But these paras. deal with grants, not loans.

(Id.) H.W.B.

With reference to my Hon. colleague's note I think a statement should be drawn up in L.& M. showing what loans and what grants are required for Municipalities for drainages, water-works or sanitation. The statement in J makes no reference to Ooty, or Coonoor, or Tanjore and Trichy referred to by the Hon. Sir H. Bliss. Nor does anything appear about expenditure on the improvement, of the Cooum - a work of very vital importance. Possibly this is P.W.D. When it is clear what our wants are we may select the

se 18 *et seq.* of letter 330, Finl., dated 5th March 1898 and to which Mr. Meyer's letter (D.O.) refers. I would add that I *always* write down at the table any instructions I receive in Council.

(Id.) G.S.
7-10-98.

The course proposed by the Hon. Mr. Arundel should, I think, be followed. Negapatam is ~~a~~ any other place which wants a *loan*, for its harbour, - not a *grant*.

(Id.) A.R.M.
11-10-98.

Send copy of note urgently to L.& M. for the statement wanted also to D.P.W. and draft soon.

(Id.) G.S.
13-10-98.

To. No. 2206 Urgent
13-10-98

L.& M. u.o. for favour of early action

C.W.S.
12.X.98

13/10/98.

the works that are most urgent and apply to India for special grants for loans. Or send up the list and point out the most urgent cases.

(Sd) A. T. A

11-x-98

Note for the Chief Secretary.

In regard to Municipal Loans please see

(1) H.M's. note in L. & M., dated 3-12-97.

(2) Para. 2 of G.O. No. 3794 M, dated 14-12-97.

(3) Letter No. 1077, Finl., d/ 21-12-97

(4) Para. 5 of India's Resoln. on p. 4 of G.O. No. 308, Finl., d/ 24th March 1898.

No provision was made in our estimates for loans to Municipalities as it was uncertain whether India could provide any funds for the purpose. A hint was thrown out as regards a sum of 2 lakhs but this was not taken by India.

As to class I Loans, Appendix B to Letter No. 1077, Finl., dated 21-12-97 shows that the estimate was 5.50 lakhs and para. 5 of India's Resoln. on p. 4 of G.O. No. 308, Finl., dated 24-3-98 that this was accepted.

(Id.) C.A.S.

5-10-98.

Finl. Dept.

Note

In the budget estimate for the current year a sum of 1·50 lakhs has been provided under 45 Civil Works (page 205 of the C. B. E.) for grants to Municipalities as under:—

	Lakh
Tirupati —	·77
Vizagapm —	·21
Cocanada —	·52
	1·50

Submitted that in view of Plague expendre the L & M Dept. may be asked to state at a very early date what portion of this allotment can be surrendered

CML
10. x. 08

Very well.

10/10/08

To L & M: [illegible]

P. T. O.

The Financial Departt. is ~~will be~~ referred to — the note on the Subject which was sent to H.J. ~~[illegible]~~ it on the 11th Instant linked with a file of papers relating to the Tuticorin Water-Supply scheme.

V.R.
27/10/98

W.T.
27 10 98

B.C.
23.x 98

To

The Financial Departt. U.O.

C.A.

Please see Note put up with the accompanying files.

T.H.D.
25/10/98

Recd fm Hr. [illegible]

C. 31. 10. C 1/11
R 31. 10 R 7/11

1

Certain grants to the L & M. Dept.

H.M.

Hon. Mr. Arundel.

H.E. The Govr.

I don't quite see why this has been sent to me.
I agree with my Hon. Colleague

A.L.A. 1.11.98

G.O. No. 1298 M
d. 20th July/98.

Vide record of the linked file.

I am not convinced of the necessity for all this [illegible] and [illegible]. The Chief Sec. sent me a paper the other day, in connection with a proposal to [illegible] D.P. Works, which showed that our position is not unfavourable. Would it not be better to re-consider this proposal to resume allotments, together with the proposal approved

Financial Department.

NOTE

In the budget estimate for the current year a sum of 1.50 lakh~~s~~ has been provided under 45 CIVIL WORKS (page 205 of the C.B.E.) for grants to Municipalities as under:-

Tirupati...	...	.77 lakh
Vizagapatam	...	.21 ,,
Cocanada...	...	.52 ,,
		1.50 lakh

Under adjusting heads (page 212 of the C.B.E.) a sum of 1.43 lakh has further been provided on account of contributions to Local Funds, on account of Public Works, Sanitation and General purposes.

2. In July last in connection with a sum of Rs. 2,000 which was required by the Ootacamund Municipal Council for the purpose of removing the Adam Memorial Fountain to Charing Cross, the Local and Municipal Dept. was requested to re-examine the estimates in view to the actual requirements of the year being ascertained, and to surrender the remainder to the Financial Dept. for re-appropriation elsewhere, as all ~~uns~~ unspent balances must lapse with the official year and would not be available for expenditure in future years.

2

3. In the ~~2nd~~ second of the files linked together below the Local and Municipal Dept. reviews the position of the foregoing allotments which were placed at its disposal for expenditure during the current year. Of the sum of 1.50 lakhs provided for grants to municipalities it will be seen that Cocanada has already drawn its grant of .52, while the provision of .77 for Tirupati will lapse as the amount had already been drawn in the previous year. As regards Vizagapatam it is shown that the Council having already drawn the full amount of its loan and a grant aggregating 3.50 lakhs while a little over a lakh only is expected to be spent during the current year, the payment of the balance of the grant due to it, viz. .705 might be conveniently postponed until next year. This sum ~~as~~ (.70 in round numbers) was provided for payment in the budget of last year and was later on reduced to .50 in the revised estimate and the difference .205 provided for payment in the current year. The amount provided in last year's budget was allowed to lapse apparently because the Council had funds at its disposal much in excess of actual requirements. This condition continues and the current year's grant of .205 lakh has not yet been drawn; but as the Local and Municipal Dept. is doubtful as to the state of Provincial Finances next year it would ~~[illegible]~~ prefer

referred to above? I would suggest to Hon. Members that [illegible] harm may be done by a hasty decision to stop these pressing and important works of improvement.

A.G.H.
4.11.98

G.O. No. 1076 Finl., d 21 Decr/97.
In records of the linked file.

What has L. & M. to do with Provl. Finances, I wonder.
HS

3

~~prefer to authorise the Municipal Council to draw the whole of the balance of the grant due to it, viz.~~ .705 lakh in the current year. This would be allowing the Council to draw .50 more than the provision made in the budget and more than what it has been expecting and would seem undesirable especially in view of the urgency of the present expenditure on plague. Even the payment of the sum of .20 provided in the budget may, it is submitted, be postponed to the next year. There would be less difficulty in making the necessary provision in the budget for next year than there is at present in finding funds for urgent requirements during the current year. This would then leave .98 unappropriated out of the grant of 1.50 lakh available for re-appropriation elsewhere.

4. From the first of the linked files below, however, it will be seen that the Local and Municipal Dept. requires .50 for the Scheme for the improvement of the Tuticorin water supply therein referred to. If on the merits of the case it is considered that this grant should be made during the current year a balance of .48 ~~would~~ would still be available for other purposes. It is submitted that the Local and Municipal Dept. may be requested to surrender this amount to the Financial Dept. ~~any small sum that might be required for unforeseen expenditure during the course of the~~

Certainly
HS

Entirely so.
29/10.98

It certainly ought to be postponed as the money is not required for expenditure then.
29-10/98.

Yes HS

Please see below.
cml

Yes
HS

The Municipality is a rising thriving Municipality and it might easily raise the lakh which is required.

I agree. At the same time, the money market is not now in a condition to facilitate the raising of loans. Could we not [illegible], as a special case, have this lakh to lend? I think we might.
HS
29-10-98.

29.10.98.

Memorandum with [illegible] 98 HS

4th A.H.

Have not pledged to pay half to the Tuticorin M.C. for their water works? A.G.H.

Yes. See later note file I. [illegible] 4.11.98

been forwarded to the Govt with the Secy of State's reply / the G of I says "At "the present moment the Govt of "Madras has made a considerable "grant to the Municipality of "Madras, and the money lies ~~used~~ "unused in the municipal balances, "while in consequence of this grant the Govt. of Madras has failed to "meet a corresponding portion of "its famine expenditure, and the "burden will necessarily fall on the "Imperial Government" This has reference to the grants of 5 lakhs made to the Madras Municipality in 1895-96 & 1896-97. The circumstances then were special and were not intended to be drawn into a precedent.

The Tuticorin Water Supply Scheme is unlikely to be financed this year. Even if it is financed, L&M. can surrender ·50 lakh of the total grant.

As regards the provision for contributions to Local funds under Adjusting Heads, a sum ·17 is available even if

No – The money has all, or nearly all, been spent, & well spent on the drainage of Englápore. BS

It should surrender the whole
BS

Is there anything to prevent the minor scheme costing one lakh being begun at once?
A.G.H.

None – if Munl. C. agree.
See later note file I.
4.11.98

Ab 4.11.98.

Yes
BS
31/10/98

29-x-98.

the Malabar Dt. Board is to get its grant of ·09, and this might be surrendered by L&M at once.

C.M.S.
26.X.98.

25-x-98.

C.S.

Submitted that L&M. may be asked to reply to ~~H.E.~~ the queries of H.E. the Governor & the Hon'ble Member marked A on pages 3 & 6 of these notes.

C.M.S.
8/11/98

No. 2441, dy 10-11-98
To L&M. u.o.

10/11/98.

(1) With reference to the remarks of the Honourable Member and His Excellency the Governor on page 3, it is observed that in G.O. No. 159 M dated 14th February 1894, forwarding for remarks to the Municipa Council of Tuticorin the plans and es mates of the Water-Supply Scheme orig nally prepared by the Sanitary Engin

the Council was given to understand that the Government would grant not more than half the total cost of the scheme. The Council thereupon requested that three-fourths of the initial cost of the work might be contributed by Government; but no orders were passed on this point, as the question of undertaking a scheme of water supply was allowed to stand over until the amendments then proposed in the District Municipalities Act for the purpose of raising the rates of some of the municipal taxes were passed into law - Vide G.O. No. 150 M dated 6th February 1895. From the Chairman's letter No. 227 dated 10th May 1898 disposed of in G.O. No. 1031 M dated 8th June 1898, however, it appears that the Council has understood G.O. No. 159 M dated 14th February 1894 as a promise by Government to contribute from Provincial funds a moiety of the cost of the scheme.

U

T

G

There does not appear to be any objection to move India to allot funds for lending to this Council, as proposed by the Honour-ble Member, but this is a matter for con-ideration in the Financial Department.

(2) In the note on the linked file ⓐ, age 7, it is proposed to consult the

ⓐ about the Tuticorin Water Supply Scheme

This should be done,
MA
24.11.98

Rec.
8.34
5.12.98

Municipal Council before sanctioning the minor scheme costing one lakh now suggested by the Sanitary Board. The work can be begun as soon as the Council's reply is received which will not take much time, seeing that the Council is very anxious to provide for an improved system of water supply owing to the recent scarcity of water experienced by the town - Vide the Chairman's letter flagged T.

T

V.R.
16/11/98

H.T.
17 11 98

To
The Financial Department U.O.

CHIEF SECRETAR
1.
17 NOV. 1898

[G./25]
250—.8-2-98.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

C. No.........

SUBJECT.

Lapses of Provl. grants placed at the disposal of the L & M. Departt for 1898-99

FOR ORDERS.

Ffw & Circ.

F

C

In April 1898, the Municipal Council of Ootacamund proposed to remove the Adam's Memorial fountain to Charing Cross and requested that the cost of the work, viz Rs 2,000, might be met by a grant from Provincial funds. The removal of the fountain was approved in G. O No. 1298 M, dated 20th July 1898, and in order to provide the requisite funds for carrying out the work, the Financial Department, in its note of the 22d June 1898, enquired whether that amount could be found, from the provision made in the Provincial budget for the current year for grants to Municipalities under 45 "Civil works - Civil officers", as it was not easy for that Depart

-ment to sanction reappropriations
so early in the year. The Local and
Municipal Department stated in
reply, that the Cocanada, Tirupati
and Vizagapatam water supply
Schemes, for which a grant of -
Rs 1,50,000 was allotted in the budget
Estimate for 1898-1899 were under
execution by the Public Works De-
-partment and that although it
was not likely that the whole of the
grants provided for them would
be spent in the Current year,
yet as they would surely be want
-ed in the next year and as it was
not known what would be the state
of the Provincial finances then,
it was not desirable to appropriate
any portion of the grant to other
purposes. It was however pointed
out that the amount required, viz
Rs 2,000, might be met from the
Provincial Contribution of 1.25
lakhs to Local Funds for Public
Works provided in the budget esti
-mates of Adjusting Heads and Local
Funds for 1898-99 approved in
G. O No 1083 Financial, dated
23d December 1897, of which a

C

L

G

H

A

of grants wh[illegible] may be

Grant for Tirupati [illegible] Rs

sum of Rs 31,[illegible] was then avail
-able for allotment. The amount
of Rs 2,000 required for the work
above referred to was accordingly
transferred from "Adjusting Heads"
- Vide G. O No 1545 [illegible] dated 5th September
1898 The Financial Department then
requested the Local and Municipal
Department to re-examine the
estimates with a view to ascertain
the actual requirements of the year
and to the surrender of the re- to
-mainder for re-appropriation
elsewhere, as all unspent balances
must lapse with the official year
and would not be available for
expenditure in future years.

2. In the budget estimate of
Provincial grants to Municipalitie
for the Current year, provision is
made for a grant of Rs 1,50,000,
which represents the balance of
the grants promised by Govern-
-ment in aid of the water supp
schemes of Cocanada, Tirupati
and Vizagapatam as follows

Cocanada — Rs 52.

4

The grant for Cocanada has already been drawn, while the provision of Rs 77,400 for Tirupati will lapse, as from para 27 of the Administration Report of the Municipality for 1897-98 since received and embodied in G. O. No 1367 M, dated 4th August 1898, it appears that the whole of the unpaid portion of the grant on account of the water supply scheme, viz Rs 1,44,000 has been drawn by the Council in the year 1897-98. With regard to Vizagapatam, it is observed that as the Council has already drawn the full amount of the loan of Rs 2,10,500 and a grant of Rs 1,40,000, or Rs 3,50,500 in all, a grant of Rs 70,500 still remains unpaid. From the Memorandum of the Public Works Department dated 4th May 1898, disposed of in G. O No 1222 M, dated 8th July 1898, it appears that the expenditure incurred on this work up to [illegible] April 1898, was Rs 25,364 and [illegible] a further sum of Rs 75,000 [illegible] during 1898-99, G. O No 1083 Financial [illegible] 23d December 1897, of which a

I

J

⊗ The estimated Cost of the Vizagapatam scheme is Rs 4,21,000 of which Rs 210,500 is to be met by a grant and Rs 210500 by loan. Rs 140000 of the grant having been drawn, the balance is Rs 70500. (Rs 210500 - Rs 140000).

K

Grant for Tirupati - 77,400 R
" " Vizagapatam - 20,500
97,900

until the next [illegible]. The [illegible] of grants [illegible] may be available for reappropriation purposes is thus Rs 97,900. it is not known what will [illegible] state of the Provincial fina[illegible] year, it is for consideratio[illegible] the Municipal Council of [illegible]patam may not be a[illegible] draw the balance of the g[illegible] to it, viz Rs 70,500 in the cu[illegible] year. If this suggestion is [illegible]ed, a sum of Rs 27,400 will be left, but this will be re[illegible] for the scheme for the impro[illegible] of the water supply of Tut[illegible] which has been submitted [illegible] Sanitary Board - Vide the file. If however it is con[illegible] that the grant on account of [illegible]patam, can be provided in budget Estimate for next y[illegible] a grant of Rs 50,000 may [illegible] allotted for the Tuticorin supply scheme as suggest[illegible] the note for orders on C

This should be done
AA

~~Leet~~ file I.
Rs 50000 too much
AA

then send
K.D. to the Press
[illegible]

[illegible] for
o meet any unforeseen
during the Course of the

Adjusting Heads" the total
grants for public works
al purposes placed at the
f the Local and Municipal
nt in G. O No 1083 Fi-
dated 23rd December 1897
000, and of this sum
o have already been allot-
shown below :-

	Public Works	General purposes
ts-		
par	100	-
North	-	360
ry	6,500	-
, South	1,000	-
ari	600	290
	50	-
a		
abar	6,600	-
iris, the	78,690	20,310
icipalities camund	2,000	-
	95,540	20,960
	1,16,500	

t of the balance of Rs 26,500, it
een proposed to sanction an
itional grant of Rs 9,400 to

23rd December 1897, of which a

A

Rs 2,10,500
2,10,500
leaving
Rs [illegible]

CAR 2303L

---

s to be

Calicut [illegible]
papers on the subject are ci
lation at Ootacamund. Th
leave only a balance of Rs
which will probably be requ
for allotment before the clo
the year.

V. R.
8/10/98.

H. T.
11 10 98.
Through Finance

A. S. H.
4.11.98

5

The

Wp 2117 M
12/12/98

23rd December 1897. of which a

[L. & M.]
500 -16-3-98.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in Proceedings :—

Estimate of loans & grants from Provl. to Munl. funds &c. &c.
for 1899-1900-

Again G.O., No. dated 189 ,
Again G.O., No. dated 189 ,
Current No. of 189 , dated , from
" " " 189 , " "
" " " 189 , " "

1P

P.S

2165 m d/ 15/12/98

ORDER. ~~No. 2117 M d/ 12/12/98~~

The accompanying estimates of loans and grants from Provincial to municipal funds for public works &c, for the year 1899-1900 will be forwarded to the Financial Department.

V.R
10/12/98

(From orders)

10 12 98

The Financial Department ✓ original 15/12/98

Prop. in MS. forthwith
at once

then send the statement
to the Press

W.M.

Note

Please see notes & orders below

2 6

40

Not to be printed

Budget Estimates of Provincial Grants to Municipalities for 1899-1900 and future Years.

Probable requirements, 1900 and 1901.	Budget Estimate. 1899-1900	Revised Estimate 1898-1899.	Additions (+) or lapses (-) in 1898-99.	Budget Estimate 1898-99	Amounts received up to 1st April 1898.	Amount of Provincial Contributions promised by Government.	Sanctioned Cost of Work	Name of Work or Purpose	Names of Public bodies.
Rs	R	R	R	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs		
133,200	54,500	"	"	"	"	187,700	375400	Water Supply	Bezwada.
"	"	52100	"	52100	135090	187200	374~~390~~ 400	Do	Cocanada
"	"	"	-77400	77400	154000	154000	231000	Do.	Tirupati
"	25000	25000	+25000	"	"	50000	100000	Do	Tuticorin
"	70500	"	-20500	20500	140000	210500	421000	Do	Vizagapatam
96300	50000	"	"	"	"	146300	292600	Do	Vizianagram
~~133200~~ 229500	~~160000~~ 200000	77100	-72900	150000					

5 5 5 5 5 5 5 6 7

Budget Estimate of Provincial Loans and Advances to Municipalities for 1899-1900 and Subsequent Years.

Names of Public bodies	Nature of work	Sanctioned cost of work	Amount of loan sanctioned by Government.	Rate of interest per cent.	Amount of loan received up to 1st April 1898.	Budget Estimate for 1898-99	Additions (+) or lapses (-) in 1898-99	Revised Estimate 1898-1899	Budget Estimate 1899-1900	Probable requirements 1900-1901
		Rs	R		Rs	R	Rs	R	Rs	Rs
Bezwada	Water supply	375400	187700	4.0	"	"	"	"	50000	137700
Coonoor	Do	113800	113800	4.0	"	"	"	"	50000	63800
Ootacamund	Drainage of the Main Bazaar	193000	193000	4.0	"	"	"	"	100000	93000
Tuticorin	Water Supply	100000	50000	4.0	"	"	"	"	50000	"
Trichinopoly	Extension of the waterworks	208200	* 50000	4.0	"	"	"	"	50000	"
Vizianagram	Water Supply	292600	146300	4.0	"	"	"	"	50000	96300
Bellary	Construction of a school house	42400	25000	4.0	"	"	"	"	25000	-
									375000	~~274500~~ 390800
9	8	5	5	3	3	3	3	3	5	5

* The balance is to be met by a loan in the open market.

V.R.
10/12/98

Note. Bezwada. The scheme has been prepared by the Sanita[illegible]neer and is now under the Consideration of the Sanitary Board. [illegible] ~~most scheme~~ It will probably be sanctioned in the Current year and [illegible]taken in the next.

Trichinopoly. The whole of the loan of Rs 50000 from [illegible] funds has been entered in the budget Estimate for the ensuing year, as [illegible] not appear to be expedient to make a special application to India fo[illegible] of Rs 25000, which H.M. directs should be provided in the Revised Esti[illegible] the Current year.

First copy sent to Press. 15-12-98

Returned for signature. 2-1-99

Despatched in print. 2-1-99

NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED. {
Order complete. 40
Letter only.
Order complete with Letter.

READ AGAIN G.O., No. DATED
189 .

4

CURRENT No.

V E
Send proof please
CA
14/12/98

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT. A .. ..

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.