

L. & M.
000-13-6-98.]

Local & Munl. (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 1703 M.

DATED 5-10-98

INDEXED.

DESPATCHED

[Despatch Abstract.]

Quit-rent.

Communicating to the Munl. Commr.
of Madras, Ld. R.D. sanctioning the exemption
from payment of — of land used
the Madras Municipality for purposes
of latrines.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Local & Munl. (189) Department.

CURRENT No. 2935 2935 M

From The Revenue Dept

Order No. 1703 Muni 8 5/10/88

Communicated to the Muni-

Commissioners of Madras.

No. 3677 Muni

RA 30/9/98

2/10/98

Dated 9 September 1888

Received

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Sanctioning the exemption,
from payment of quit-rent, of land
used by the Madras Municipality for
purposes of latrines.

The President, Madras Comm.

240 8/10/98

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

E DEPARTMENT.

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT
CURRENT No. 2935 W



PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following paper:—

Again G.O. No. 954 dated 11th June 1898, requesting the Board of Revenue to explain further regarding the presumption that the ownership of a piece of land in Chetput vests in the Madras Municipality.

And also the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, No. 2313 Mis. dated 26th August 1898, on the following:—

1. Endt. of the Collector of Madras, Ref. No. 761/Genl. dated 26th April 1898, and Reference thereon No. 541 dated 16-5-98.
2. Also G.O. Revenue Department, No. 954 R. dated 11th June and the following Reference thereon No. 676 dated 20th June Forwarded to the Collector of Madras for report, whether the presumption expressed in para 3 of Board's Reference No. 541 dated 16th May 1898 is correct.
3. Also the following letter from the Collector of Madras, Ref. No. 766/Genl. dated 13th July 1898:—

In reply to Board's Reference No. 676 of the 20th I have the honour to state that the land referred to is to form part of an extent of 8 cawnies 18 grounds and in Survey No. 66 of Tondiarpet which extent was transferred to the Municipality under G.O. No. 335 Revenue, dated 8th May 1898, subject to a quit-rent of Rs. 21-11-6. The Municipality has sold portions of this land to private parties and

taind is 1 cawni 9' grounds and 1810 sq.ft. assessed to a quit-
ent of Rs.7-6-2. As the transfer sanctioned by Government was un-
conditional, and as the sales by the Municipality of portions of
the land to private parties have been acquiesced in by Govt., I --
think that the Board's presumption expressed in para 3 of its Ref.
No.541 dated 16th May 1898 may be accepted as correct.

And the following Reference No.805 d/20th July 1898.

In the application for the alienation of land revenue
dated 13th April 1898, the piece of land in question was shown as
included in S.No.261 covered by A.F.C. No.592 of Chetput. But from
the Collector's letter last read above, it appears that the land --
forms part of block C in Survey No.66 of Tondiarpet. The Collector
requested to explain the discrepancy.

4. Also the following letter from the Collector of Madras, dated
6th Aug. 98, Ref. No.518/G. & B. --

In reply to Board's Reference No.805 of the 20th Ult.
enclosure to which is returned, I have the honour to state that
Board's Reference No.676 dated 20-6-98 was taken to relate to Sur-
No.66 at Tondiarpet which was referred to in my endt. Reference
No.21/Genl. dated 2nd June 98, and in respect of which I added the
information that if the extra extent were to be utilized otherwise than
proposed the exemption would be withdrawn, and hence my letter
on No.766 dated 13-7-98. As it appears that the Board's Refe-
rence above alluded to refers to survey No.261 at Chetput, I beg
to state that the land does not belong to Government, but was pur-
chased by the Municipality from a private individual, and is, there-
fore, absolute property.

U T I O N .-- In reply to G.O. No.954 R. dated 11th --
the Board begs leave to submit that the presumption in
Board's Reference No.541 dated 16th May 1898 was
in reference to the Collector's omission to furnish a
statement of the alienation of land in form I appended to Board's
No.436 dated 13th December 1897. The Collector has --

since reported that the land in question was acquired by the
Municipal Commissioners from private individuals. The Board's
presumption is thus correct, and no question of alienation of
land arises in the case.

- : -

ORDER thereon No.3677 Mis. d/9th Sept. '98.

The exemption of the land from payment of quit-
rent is sanctioned as recommended by the Board of Revenue.

(True extract)

J. H. M.

For Secretary to Government.

To Board of Revenue, Land Revenue, with 1 encl.
Local and Municipal Department. ✓

Enclosure

V. K. M.
14-9-98

When given for copying _____
When copied _____ 189
When examined _____
When signed 7/20

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, DATED
189 .

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

SENT WITH DITO.

APPROVED NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

A A A A A A A

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

eructations; also in Dyspepsia