

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Local & Munl. (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 2816m

From

The Collector
Kasapa Taluk

To Orders.

Councillor Nukayya Chetty may
be interested in Lingayya Chetty, the Contractor,
who is his sister's husband, but not in the
Contract held by the latter. Relationship
to a Contractor, cannot, it is submitted,
be held to imply interest in the Contract,
except by straining the provisions of Sec
260.

No. 2015
644

I should myself say that a man is certainly in-
directly interested in his brother-in-law's con-
tracts. But the proper answer
to the C.O. is as shown
below. Sent may in some
cases actually give a general
ruling on a point of law, but I don't see
how it can in such a particular case
as this & Sec 4. The note has been so
forwarded to the proper authorities.

Received

31 AUG. 1898

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Requesting the orders of
the Government as to what
the question whether a person is directly or
indirectly interested in a contract is one
contemplated in Section
260 of the Madras
Municipalities Act.

19/7/98

P.T. Ach.

8/9

Rd. C. No. 2015
Dis. 664

VIZAGAPATAM COLLECTOR'S OFFICE,

Dated 25 August 1898.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Misc order No. 1668 dated 28/9/98

The Collector is informed that the question whether a person is directly or indirectly interested in a Contract is one for determination in each case by the Court before which a prosecution may be instituted.

From orders/

26/9/98


V.R.
22/9/98

The Collector of Vizagapatam

28/9/98

21/9

From

W. O. HORNE Esq^{re}.

To

J. H. Tremere Esq

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

Local and Municipal DEPARTMENT,

MADRAS.

SIR,

I have the honor to request the orders of Government on the following facts.

2. M R R, Ganda Mukayya Chetty, a member of the Vizagapatam Municipal Council is the brother-in-law (wife's brother) of one B. Lingay Chetty who has taken certain Contracts for work to be done for the said Municipal Council. The fact was reported to me by certain other Contractors, and is not denied by the Councillor, who however states that he is in no way interested in his Sister's husband's Contracts. This may be so, but it appears to me that in case of such near relationship it is matter for consideration whether the indirect interest, contemplated in Section 260 of the District Municipalities Act, should not be presumed.

3. A further reason in this case for presuming the

the interest in question is that Mr R. R. Chetty was principally instrumental in calling a special meeting of the Council for the purpose of cancelling certain contracts made by the Chairman for which contracts his brother-in-law had been an unsuccessful tenderer. It will probably be impossible to prove interest in the contracts unless the relationship is held to imply it.

4. I shall be glad of the order of the Government in the matter.

I have the honour to be
 Sir
 Your most obedient servant
 P. Govindarao
 In Collector.

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____ 189
 When examined _____
 When signed _____ 3079

READ AGAIN G.O. No. _____, DATED _____
 189 .

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DIIGO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
 B = Not to be copied.
 P = Copy abstract only.
 Q = Copy heading only.