

22-12-06

21-12-28

2

L. & M.
3,000-13-4-98

Local & Munl. (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 1535 M.

DATED 1-9-98.

INDEXED

[Despatch Abstract.]

Administration Report

Recording with remarks explanation of points noticed in the _____ of the Srirangam Munl. Council for 1897-98.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Local & Munl. (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 2623 M

From

N.
Mis.

Order

N. 1535 mis of 1-9-98

Recorded with the follow-

No. 244

ing remarks:-

(1) The necessary correction has been made with reference to the chairman's explanation on clause (2) of the Government memorandum under reply.

Dated

Received

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies

(2) The educational statements should have been carefully scrutinized in the municipal office before being forwarded to Government and all variations between them and the chairman's statements explained by means of footnotes to the

SUBJECT,

Submitting explanation of discrepancies between the administrative report of this municipality for 1897-98

the latter.

KR
26/8/98

at
29/8/98

Note. The statements have been retained at Madras for the preparation of the General Review statements.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Memorandum - June	836	Municipal	20 th July 1898

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

To
The Chairman, M.C. Surangan.
29/8/98

N. 244

Municipal Council's Office
Erirangam. 4th August 1898.

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPT.
CURRENT NO. 2623 M

From

Ch. R. N. Deshadrayugur Ammal,
Chairman,

Municipal Council,
Erirangam.

The Secretary to Government,

Local and Municipal Department

Fort Saint George,
Madras.

Sir,

Adverting to your Memorandum
No. 836 dated 20th July on the adminis-
tration report of the Municipality
for 1897-98 calling for explanations
on the 4 of the 8 points noticed by
Government in the report and state-
ments, I have the honor to give
explanations as follows:—

Clause (2) — The assets shown in
the note to Statement II on the 31st
March 1898 under arrears of taxes
as 1943-2 is a hand slip, and they
should be corrected into 1965-14 —

as shown in Statement II A + II B.

Clause (3) — The 2 men appointed in the place of 2 existing Sanitary Inspectors deputed to Cholera duties under Rule 9 of the rules embodied in G.O. No. 1536 N. dated 7th September 1896 for Combating epidemic of Cholera, were paid at Rupees 12-0-0 each for April 1897 and ~~the~~ the one made to act for a permanent Sanitary Inspector on leave for 4 months was also paid at Rupees 12-0-0 during the period. The new man put in the place of a Conservancy peon on Rs 6-0-0 taken to Cholera duties, was paid Rupees 5-0-0 for one month. For the Conservancy, toties 24 in number, at the varying rates of salary of Rupees 4, 3 1/2 + 3 per mensem, total amounting to Rupees 942-0-0 had been sanctioned by Government, but according to the expediency, toties were maintained at the rates

The salary sanctioned was Rs 15 each.

X
3 on Rs 4 each
7 on Rs 3 1/2 —
14 on Rs 3 —
24

of salary below that sanctioned, which amounted to Rs 870 only, which is below the sanctioned allotment by Rupees 72.

In the private scavenging staff also there had been no deviation in the rate of salary of scavengers sanctioned, but the sanctioned strength was not maintained as there was no necessity. Hence the difference in the scale of Conservancy and private scavenging establishments in Statement II B.

Clause (4) — In one of the aided schools, 2 pupils who had not presented the required number of attendance in the registers during the year for aid, were taken for improvement examination, there 2 with the properly qualified number of pupils for grant examination were shown in the Statement II submitted by the Assistant Inspector of Schools as 369 under the head "number of pupils presented". Of the total number of pupils 321 shown

as shown in Statement IV A + IV B.

Clause (3) — The 2 men appointed in the place of 2 existing sanitary inspectors deputed to Cholera duties under Rule 9 of the rules embodied in G.O. No. 1536 M. dated 7th September 1897, which are in force for purposes of Cholera, for grant only. Hence the difference between the figures in Statement IV A + B.

Clause (8) — In the medical report the number of persons attacked by Cholera during the year was given as 201 i.e. from April 1897 to 31st March 1898, whereas in para. 42 of the administration report the number of attacks from 1st January 1897 to 13th March 1898 was given as 198. The increase in the number given in the medical report was due to the 3 cases admitted during April 1897. Hence the difference.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Yours faithfully,
[Signature]

of salary below that sanctioned, which amounted to Rs 870 only, which is below the sanctioned allotment by P. 72.

In the private scavenging staff also there had been no deviation in the rate of salary of scavengers sanctioned, but the sanctioned strength was not maintained. The following points are

noticed in the Chairman's and the medical officer's reports and in the statements submitted with the former: —

(1) In Col: 5 of Statement II, the figures of the revised estimate for 1897-98 as modified in para. 1 of G.O. No. 559 M. dated 12th March 1898 should have been entered.

(2) In the note to Statement II, the assets on the 31st March 1898 under assets of taxes, are shown as Rs 1943-2-0, but according to Column 8 of Statements II A and II B, they are Rs 1965-11-0.

Review of the mun. budget for 1898-99

"number of pupils passed" one was overaged; this and the 2 ^{pupils} admitted for the improvement examination ^{mentioned} above, were declared ineligible by the same officer for grants, though they have passed examination. These numbers were omitted in the Statement IV + B, which are intended for pupils qualified for grant only. Hence the difference between the figures in Statement IV + V A + B.

Clause (8) — In the medical report the number of persons attacked by cholera during the year was given as 201 i.e. from April 1897 to 31st March 1898, whereas in para 42 of the administration report the number of attacks from 11th December 1897 to 13th March 1898 was given as 198. The increase in the number given in the medical report was due to the 3 cases admitted during April 1897. Hence the difference.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Yours faithfully,
[Signature]

Points noticed in the Srirangam Municipal Report for 1897-98.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Cuo 2002 m

Memorandum.

Dated the 20-7-1898
No. 836

The following points are noticed in the Chairman's and the medical officers' reports and in the statements submitted with the former: —

(1) In Col: 5 of Statement II, the figures of the revised estimate for 1897-98 as modified in para. 1 of go. to 559 m. dated 12th March 1898 should have been taken.

(2) In the note to Statement II, the assets on the 31st March 1898 under assets of taxes, are shown as Rs 1943-2-0, but according to Column 8 of Statements II A and II B, they are Rs 1965-11-0.

* Review of the mun. budget for 1898-99.

Lat. [illegible]
in the of [illegible]

When signed

189

, DATE

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

DER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.

B = Not to be copied.

P = Copy abstract only.

Q = Copy heading only.