

Local & Munl. (1894) Department.

PRINTED.

G.O., No. 1306m

DATED 20/7/28

DESPATCHED

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Security from Municipal Servants

Concurring in the remarks of the Accountant General that Municipal Secretaries need not be called on to furnish Security if they are Government Servants and that Security is necessary in the case of Municipal Office Managers.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Cu.	2986	1898.					

Government {1898} of Madras. LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL.

Recd.

Enclosures

Regd.

1898.

Spare copies

G.O., No. 1306 M., 20th July 1898.

JULY.

Security from Municipal Servants.

Concurring in the remarks of the Accountant-General that municipal secretaries need not be called on to furnish security if they are Government servants and that security is necessary in the case of municipal office managers.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following papers :—

From M.R.Ry. V. A. VANDAYAR Avargal, Chairman, Municipal Council, Tanjore, to the Secretary to Government, Local and Municipal Department (through the Divisional Officer, Tanjore), dated 5th May 1898, No. 856.

I have the honour to report that, at the meeting held on the 2nd May 1898, the question of reducing the salary of the manager agreeably to the suggestion of the Collector in paragraph 3 of his endorsement on the budget of the Tanjore Municipality for 1898-99 and the Government Order thereon, was discussed at length with reference to G.O., No. 1465 M., dated 21st June 1897. The manager's salary was therefore reduced from Rs. 60 to Rs. 45. All the councillors present at the meeting, except one, voted that the amount deposited by the manager as security for the due performance of his duties prior to the appointment of the secretary should be refunded in consideration of the material change of the manager's position, as the issue of bills, entire collection business, payments, &c., and, in short, every pecuniary concern had been transferred to the secretary. The council, though it is of opinion that that officer should be called upon to furnish security, has resolved to refer the question to Government for the reason that he is a Government servant whose services are lent to the municipality and also for reasons noted in the proposal of M.R.Ry. Nataraja Aiyar Avargal in the meeting.

2. The council humbly begs to submit its opinion that as per section 43 of the Act the secretary may be called upon to furnish security.

3. The manager has furnished a cash security of Rs. 600.

4. The resolution of the council is enclosed for reference.

ENCLOSURE.

EXTRACT from the Proceedings of the Municipal Council, Tanjore, at a meeting held at 5 P.M. on Monday, the 2nd May 1898, at the Municipal Office, Tanjore.

Discussed the question of reducing the salary of the manager's appointment. The manager's pay is reduced to Rs. 45. Proposed by M.R.Ry. Nataraja Aiyar Avargal and seconded by M.R.Ry. Tathakrishna Nayudu Garu that the security may be dispensed with in the case of the manager, as his pay has been reduced to Rs. 45 and to call upon the secretary to furnish security as the issue of bills, entire collection business and disbursements have been transferred to that officer, and proposed also that the Government may be addressed on the subject as the appointment of the secretary and fixing his salary are in a different footing from those of the manager and other servants, and in the proposal submitted by the council fixing his pay, Rs. 150 to Rs. 200, no mention was made of calling upon the secretary to furnish security.

Carried out by majority; M.R.Ry. Anantanarayana Aiyar dissenting, and his minute of dissent recorded.

Pending the receipt of the orders of the Government on the subject, the manager will continue to draw his present pay, his security being retained.

No. 1197-Ver.

Forwarded. As the secretary is a Government servant of some standing and position and as the daily municipal collections are remitted into the taluk treasury then and there, I think it is not necessary to call on the secretary to furnish security. The shroff has furnished security.

17th May 1898.

(Signed) M. SRINIVASA IYENAR,
Acting Deputy Collector.

C. No. 281-Ml.

Submitted.

I do not think municipal secretaries should be called upon to give security.

31st May 1898.

(Signed) J. TWIGG,
Acting Collector.

No. 713.

Referred to the Accountant-General for remarks.

(By order.)

21st June 1898.

(Signed) A. EDGINGTON,
Acting Assistant Secretary.

From T. HESKETH BIGGS, Esq., Accountant-General, to the Secretary to Government, Local and Municipal Department, dated Fort St. George, 9th July 1898, No. L.F.D.—255.

With reference to your endorsement, No. 713, dated the 21st June 1898, on a letter from the Chairman, Municipal Council, Tanjore, I have the honour to state that, in my opinion, a municipal secretary need not be called on to furnish security if he is a Government servant.

2. In regard, however, to the manager I think, as his duties are practically unchanged, that security is still necessary, though it may be reduced somewhat in amount in consideration of the reduction of his pay.

ORDER—No. 1306 M., dated 20th July 1898.

The remarks of the Accountant-General, in which the Government concurs, will be communicated to the Municipal Council of Tanjore.

(True Extract.)

H. TREMENHEERE,
Secretary to Government.

To the Chairman, Municipal Council, Tanjore.

„ all other Chairmen of Municipal Councils.

„ all Collectors.

„ the Accountant-General.

2601

Proof

Government {1898} of Madras.
LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL.

Proof for approval.

Press
stuck off forwarded
11/1/98

Recd.

Regd.

} 1898.

Enclosures

Spare copies

G.O., No. 1306 M., 20th July 1898.

JULY.

Security from Municipal Servants.

Concurring in the remarks of the Accountant-General that municipal secretaries need not be called on to furnish security if they are Government servants and that security is necessary in the case of municipal office managers.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers:—

From M.R.Ry. V. A. VANDAYAR Avargal, Chairman, Municipal Council, Tanjore, to the Secretary to Government, Local and Municipal Department (through the Divisional Officer, Tanjore), dated 5th May 1898, No. 856.

I have the honour to report that, at the meeting held on the 2nd May 1898, the question of reducing the salary of the manager agreeably to the suggestion of the Collector in paragraph 3 of his endorsement on the budget of the Tanjore Municipality for 1898-99 and the Government Order thereon, was discussed at length with reference to G.O., No. 1465, dated 21st June 1897. The manager's salary was therefore reduced from Rs. 60 to Rs. 45. All the councillors present at the meeting, except one, voted that the amount deposited by the manager as security for the due performance of his duties prior to the appointment of the secretary should be refunded in consideration of the material change of the manager's position as the issue of bills, entire collection business, payments, &c., and, in short, every pecuniary concern having been transferred to the secretary. The council, though it is of opinion that that officer should be called upon to furnish security, has resolved to refer the question to Government for the reason that he is a Government servant whose services are lent to the municipality and also for reasons noted in the proposal of M.R.Ry. Nataraja Iyer Avargal in the meeting.

2. The council humbly begs to submit its opinion that as per section 43 of the Act the secretary may be called upon to furnish security.
3. The manager has furnished a cash security of Rs. 600.
4. The resolution of the council is enclosed for reference.

ENCLOSURE.

EXTRACT from the Proceedings of the Municipal Council, Tanjore, at a meeting held at 5 P.M. on Monday, the 2nd May 1898, at the Municipal Office, Tanjore.

Discussed the question of reducing the salary of the manager's appointment. The manager's pay is reduced to Rs. 45. Proposed by M.R.Ry. Nataraja Iyer Avargal and seconded by M.R.Ry. Tathakrishna Naidu Garu that the security may be dispensed with in the case of the manager as his pay has been reduced to Rs. 45 and to call upon the secretary to furnish security as the issue of bills, entire collection business and disbursements having been transferred to that officer, and proposed also that the Government may be addressed on the subject as the appointment of the secretary and fixing his salary are in a different footing from those of the manager and other servants, and in the proposal submitted by the council fixing his pay, Rs. 150 to Rs. 200, no mention was made of calling upon the secretary to furnish security.

Carried out by majority; M.R.Ry. Ananthanarayana Iyer dissenting, and his minute of dissent recorded.

Pending the receipt of the orders of the Government on the subject, the manager will continue to draw his present pay, his security being retained.

No. 1197-Ver.

Forwarded. As the secretary is a Government servant of some standing and position and as the daily municipal collections are remitted into the taluk treasury then and there, I think it is not necessary to call on the secretary to furnish security. The shroff has furnished security.

(Signed) M. SRINIVASA IYENGAR,
Acting Deputy Collector.

17th May 1898.

C. No. 281-Ml.

Submitted.

I do not think municipal secretaries should be called upon to give security.

(Signed) J. TWIGG,
Acting Collector.

31st May 1898.

No. 713.

Referred to the Accountant-General for remarks.

(By order.)

(Signed) A. EDGINGTON,
Acting Assistant Secretary.

21st June 1898.

From T. HESKETH BIGGS, Esq., Accountant-General, to the Secretary to Government, Local and Municipal Department, dated Fort St. George, 9th July 1898, No. L.F.D.—255.

With reference to your endorsement, No. 713, dated the 21st June 1898, on a letter from the Chairman, Municipal Council, Tanjore, I have the honour to state that, in my opinion, a municipal secretary need not be called on to furnish security if he is a Government servant.

2. In regard, however, to the manager I think, as his duties are practically unchanged, that security is still necessary, though it may be reduced somewhat in amount in consideration of the reduction of his pay.

ORDER—No. 1306 M., dated 20th July 1898.

The remarks of the Accountant-General, in which the Government concurs, will be communicated to the Municipal Council of Tanjore.

(True Extract.)

for Secretary to Government.

To the Chairman, Municipal Council, Tanjore.
" all other Chairmen of Municipal Councils.
" all Collectors.
" the Accountant-General.

Exd. A. F. Eccleston.

Local & Munl. (189) Department.

CURRENT No. 1917 M



TO BE RETURNED
WITH REPLY.

From The Dy. Collector
Tanjore

No. 713 of 21-6-98
Order

Referred to the Accountant
General for remarks.

No. 281

By order

P.R.
16/6/98

A. Edgington
Dy Assistant Secy

Dated 31st May 1898
Received 1st June 1898
Registered
Enclosures 2
Spare copies.

SUBJECT.

Forwarding, with remarks, the
letter from the Chairman, Municipal
Council, Tanjore, refer-
ring for orders, the opinion of
the Council, that Security
may be cancelled in the
case of managers & be required
in the case of the Secretary

The Accountant General

I
23. vi. 98

apb/6 P.T.O.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
to	2787	h	13-12-97
to	451	h	28-2-98
to	1465	h	21-6-97

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Cnos 1917 & 2324/1/98

order 1306m 2/20/7/98

The remarks of the

Accountant-General, in which the
Foot Concurs, will be Communicated
to the Municipal Council of
Tanjore.

AT
18795

To
The Chairman, M.C. Tanjore
All other Chairmen of Municipal Councils
Collectors
The Accountant General

ap 11

(Form No. 43).


Tanjore Municipal Office,

5th May 1898.

No. 856

FROM

M. R. V. S. Vaidyanathan a/c
CHAIRMAN,



TO

The Chief Secretary,

TO GOVERNMENT.

Local & Municipal Department
Fort St. George.

MADRAS.

Thro. the Divisional Officer,

TANJORE.

Sir,

I have the honour to report that
at the meeting held on the 2nd May 1898
the question of reducing the salary of
the Manager agreeably to the suggestion
of the Collector in Para 3 of his endorse-
ment on the Budget of the Tanjore
Municipality for 98-99 and the Govern-
ment order thereon was discussed
at length with reference to G.O. No. 1465
dated 21st June 1897. The Manager's
salary was therefore reduced from Rs 600
to 45. All the councillors present
at the meeting except one voted that
the amount deposited by the Manager
as security for the due performance
of his duties prior to the appointment
of the

NO 45-

of the secretary should be refunded in consideration of the material change of the Manager's position as the issue of bills, entire collection business, payments etc and in short every pecuniary concern having been transferred to the secretary. The council though it is of opinion that that officer should be called upon to furnish security, has resolved to refer the question to Government for reason that he is a Government servant whose services are lent to the municipality and also for reasons noted in the proposal of mltly Natarajam and in the meeting.

2. The council humbly begs to submit its opinion that as per section 43 of the Act the Secretary may be called upon to furnish security.
3. The Manager has furnished a cash security of Rs 500.
4. The resolution of the council is enclosed for reference.

I have the honor to be
Sir

Your most obedt. servt

V. H. Venukayam
Chairman

TANJORE MUNICIPALITY.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Municipal Council, Tanjore, at a meeting held at 5 P. M. on Monday the 2nd May 1898 at the Municipal Office, Tanjore.

PRESENT.

13 Councillors

Resolution No.

Read

Mltly V. A. Vandayar aml	Chairman
" M. Srinivasa Iyengar aml	By collector and ex-officio councillor
" S. Ramadas Iyer aml	Councillor
" M. Nataraja Iyer aml	"
" S. A. Ananthanarayana Iyer aml	"
" N. Ratha Krishna Naidu Gam	"
" D. D. Sumanatha Iyer aml	"
" Vythilingam Pillai aml	"
" Palaniandy Pillai aml	"
" Sanyas Pillai aml	"
" Eroola Pillai aml	"
" Govinda Pillai aml	"
" M. R. Krishna Naidu Gam	"

Discussed the question of reducing the salary of the Manager's appointment. The Manager's pay is reduced to Rs 45.

Proposed by Mr. Nataraja Iyer and seconded by Mr. Ratha Krishna Naidu Esq. that the security may be dispensed with in the case of the Manager as his pay has been reduced to Rs 45 and to call upon the secretary to furnish security as the issue of bills, entire collection business and disbursements having been transferred to that officer and proposed also that the Government may be addressed on the subject as the appointment of the secretary and fixing his salary are in a different footing from those of the Manager and other servants and in the proposal submitted by the council fixing his pay Rs 150 to 200 no mention was made of calling upon the secretary to furnish security.

Carried out by majority and Mr. Iyer & Anan Manarajana Iyer dissenting and his minute of dissent recorded.

Pending the receipt of the orders of the Government on the subject the Manager will continue to draw his present pay his security being retained.

(Sd) V. A. Vaidyanar
Chairman.

(True extract)

V. K. Kammulengar
Chairman.

Local & Munl. (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 2324 M

From

The Accountant General
Fort Saint George

Order.

No. $\frac{278}{255}$

Dated 9th July 1898.

Received

Registered

Enclosures

1917 returned

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Stating that a municipal Secretary need not be called on to furnish security if he is a Government servant and that necessary in ^{the case} refer the Manager.

General

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
fo	451	mh	28-2-98
"	2787	h	13-12-97

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Local Fund

DEPARTMENT.

FORT ST. GEORGE,

Accountant-General's Office,

9th July 1898.

No. ¹⁷⁸
~~253~~

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPT.

CURRENT No. 2324

J. Hesketh Biggs Esquire
ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL TO THE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

From

To

THE

*Secretary to Government,
Local and Municipal Department,
Fort Saint George.*

SIR,

With reference to your endorsement No. 713, dated the 21st June 1898 on a letter from the Chairman, Municipal Council, Tanjore, I have the honour to state that in my opinion a Municipal Secretary need not be called on to furnish security if he is a Government servant.

In regard however to the Manager I think, as his duties are practically unchanged, that security is still necessary, though it may be reduced somewhat in amount in consideration of the reduction of his pay.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt. Servant

L

W. H. H.
Accountant General.

281

mt. qsd

mt. qsd

Local & Munl. (189 8) Department.

CURRENT No. 856

Revised 119 7 0 0 0 0
Form and. As the Secretary is
 a Government servant of some
 standing and position and as the
 Daily Municipal Collections are re-
 mitted into the Public Treasury then
 and there, I think it is not necessary
 to call on the Secretary to furnish a
 Security - The Sheriff has furnished
 Security -

Order.

Instructions by eugene
ap: D. Schubert
17-5-98

From

The Chairman
Municipal Council
Lafayette

mt. 6 5 98

No. 856

Dated


5. 5. 98

Received

Registered

Enclosures one

Spare copies



Submitted

I do not think Municipal
Secretaries should be called upon
to give security.

Wary

Rt. Clerk

21. 5. 98.

21/5/98

21/6/98

DESPATCHED

2 - JUN. 1898



SUBJECT.

Referring for orders the
 opinion of the Municipal
 Council that Security
 may be cancelled in the
 case of the Manager
 and that the Secretary
 may be called upon
 to furnish the same.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Copy sent to Press. 21-7-98

ed for signature. 4-8-98

Despatched in print. 6-8-98

8-8-98

110-8-98

AD AGAIN G.O., No. 189

NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED.

Order complete. 260
Letter only.
Order complete with Letter.

Current No. 1917. In + 2324 May 1897 - A

ENCLOSURES TO C. No. A

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILLED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DISPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.

Please show proof
CR
20/6/98