

Local & Munl. (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 1213 P.

DATED 1-10-98

[Despatch Abstract.]

Propylactic ^{with remark}
Requesting the Plague Commissioner
to ascertain and to report by telegram at what rate
Mons. Haffkine can supply — to the Madras
Presidency.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Copy as an enclosed
separately

Extract from the H. M. S. letter to the
Genl of 30-8-98

- "What are the inoculation
arrangements in Madras?"
- "Have seen a few statements on
the subject in the papers, but I
don't gather that inoculation
is being regularly offered to all
concerned at all the hospitals and
dispensaries in the place. I
think it should be and that, if the
Surgeon General can raise persons
competent to do it, it should
also be offered at all the plague
hospitals and camps which
are being started. Please ask
the D. C. to see to this and also
to ascertain how the 5000(?) doses
we had been disposed of &
whether arrangements have been
made to procure further supplies.
All the persons who perform the
operation should send in monthly
returns of the numbers operated on."

D. C. No. 99 P. of 1-10-98
My dear Hammick

I send the enclosed for
disposal. The exact number
of doses obtained from Bombay
is

will be able to ascertain.

is 5252, and the Government
has already issued official
instructions to the S. G. to send
on to Haffkine's Laboratory
in Bombay for further supplies of
the prophylactic.

~~your letter~~
Please ^{also} see the letter of
2/10/98. Col. Benson J. M. S. in
the Madras Mail of 29th. It
will be a serious matter if Prof.
Haffkine should be unable to meet
our needs for the prophylactic,
& we might have to fall back
on local manufacture. Please
have a letter written to
Cassie Haffkine to be addressed
on this point, & if his reply should
be unfavourable, advise Col.
what you think should be done.

In this connection please
consider the accompanying file,
which concerns a question raised
by King as to the supervision of
inoculation. I have not yet taken
orders on it, wanting your opinion.
It seemed to me ^{personally} that inoculation might
be ~~under~~ worked under King; but
his suggestions as to inoculation
at all hospitals & dispensaries, &
his other remarks, being the

S. G. very much into
the matter. You might think
over the point, & perhaps
discuss it with Sibthorpe
& King, before advising Col.
on it as part of a well-
thought-out scheme of
inoculation.

H. T.
1. 10. 98.

Desd 10/10/98
HA

*Subd. by
d. 10/10/98
to the Secy
27/10*



Oct 1, 1898

My dear Trevelyan
With ref. to what I wrote
yesterday of about supply
of stuff for inoculation, vide
letter from Dr. Benson in
M. M. of 29th - If there's
any chance of the supply
running short, as would
be the case, better (1)
stop inoculation except in
places where there is plague
or where it specially threatens,

desd 1/10/98
prophylactic has been
actually prohibited, & D. C.
will be able to ascertain.

is 5252, and the Government

[L & M.]
100-30-6-97.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in proceedings:—

Again G.O., No.	dated	189
Again G.O., No.	dated	189
Current No.	of 189, dated	, from
" "	" 189, "	" "
" "	" 189, "	" "

ORDER. N^o 12/3 P. M. 1-10-98.

Refd
1/10/98
Deferred

Telegram to the Plague
Commr, Madras

Please ascertain and
report by telegram at what
rate Haffkine can supply
prophylactic to this Presidency.
If cannot meet demand, ^{vide} ~~the~~
Madras mail of Thursday last,
suggest ~~and~~ best mode of
manufacturing & supplying
locally. W. I. G. D.
1.10.98

Note. The paper referred to by
H.M. seems to be G.O. 649 M.
22-3-97.
The prohibition of the Govt of India
seems confined to experiments with
plague bacilli where no plague
exists. If manufacture of the
dysentery prophylactic has been
actually prohibited, the D.C.
will be able to ascertain.

Keep file intact

L.P. 1/10/98

his other remarks, being the