Local & Munl. (1898) Department

INDEXED.

PRINTED.

G.O., No. 1144 M

DATED 29-6-98

DESPATCHED

[Despatch Abstract.]

Municipal limits

Approving the alterations in the limits of the Vizianagram Municipality as proposed by the Municipal Council and modified by the Collector, and ordering the publication of the necessary notification in the Fort Saint George and the Vizagapatam Distt Gazettes.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Cw 3323 M/98							

Government {1898} of Madras.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL.

Recd. } 1898. *Enclosures*

Regd. *Spare copies*

G.O., No. 1144 M., 29th June 1898.

JUNE.

Municipal Limits.

Approving the alterations in the limits of the Vizianagram Municipality, as proposed by the municipal council and modified by the Collector, and ordering the publication of the necessary notification in the Fort St. George and the Vizagapatam District Gazettes.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—again the following paper:—

G.O., Mis. No. 906 M., dated 14th April 1897.

Read—the following papers:—

From M.R.Ry. V. VENKATRAYA SASTRI Avargal, Chairman, Municipal Council, Vizianagram, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated 28th July 1896, No. 88.

With reference to the marginally-noted Government Orders, I have the honour to report that the question of revising the municipal limits was fully discussed at two sittings of the municipal council attended also by the Revenue Divisional officer at each of them and I herewith attach a memorandum showing the proposed boundaries of the municipal town, Vizianagram, which, if approved of by Government, will form the boundary line of the municipality.

Paragraph 5 of the Proceedings of Government, Mis. No. 92 M., dated 17th January 1896, and paragraph 4 of G.O., No. 760 M., dated 4th May 1896.

2. The western limit of the municipality is to be extended up to the eastern boundary line of the cantonment, which I am informed includes the railway station building and its yard. In the opinion of the council, as also of the Divisional officer, the railway station should be included in the limits of the municipal town since the station is comparatively more used by the townspeople than those of the cantonment both for passenger and goods traffic. It is but reasonable, therefore, that the sanitation and other municipal requirements of the station yard should be looked after by the municipality rather than by the cantonment. If this view is approved of by Government, the limits on the western side of the town will have to be read thus—"The western boundary will be the road on the western side of the railway station up to the cantonment railway crossing towards the north and up to the railway overbridge towards the south."

3. I take this opportunity of pointing out to Government that the view of the council to adopt the southern bund of the Peddacheruvu and Nathavalsa road as the greatest part of the southern limit is objectionable. The municipality owns four public latrines and one burning and burial ground beyond the proposed limits; but with a view to avoid the repairing charges of certain portions of roads now maintained by the taluk board (as transferred since the last revision of boundaries in 1889) they have excluded a large strip of land on which the said latrines and burning and burial grounds are situated. My proposal as originally made was "Bugata Ramajogi's garden, Komaticheruvu, Gundalavari inam, kasba cremation ground, Madigapeta near model farm, Maharaja's parade ground behind Ayodhya, Byragymatum, tope behind the travellers' bungalow and Nagesu tank" which includes these places. If the council's resolution is adopted in fixing the southern limits, I regret to state that the tank bund and the Nathavalsa roads which are close to the buildings inhabited by people will form the boundary line, and the people committing nuisances only a few yards from their houses cannot be prosecuted under the Act. As the question of the revision of boundaries cannot frequently engage the attention of Government, I take the liberty of offering my remarks with a view that they may take into consideration

all circumstances before finally deciding what the limits should be lest a further alteration should be necessitated within the near future as is the case at present, the last revision having taken place only seven years ago. I have no remarks to offer regarding the boundary line on the other two sides.

4. I have the honour to request further that the Government may be pleased to order the Survey Department to take up the survey of the town and to prepare a map when the final orders regarding the limits are passed.

Forwarded to the Collector, Vizagapatam. The Chairman has promised to send a rough sketch showing the old and new boundaries of the municipality. This will be submitted on receipt.

VIZIANAGRAM,
4th August 1896.

(Signed) E. B. ELWIN,
Ag. Prinl. Asst. Collector.

Dis. No. 1881.

Forwarded. I have gone over the proposed boundaries with Chairman and the Divisional officer.

2. The proposal to include the railway station premises is unnecessary. The sanitation, lighting and other requirements of the station are not now looked after by the cantonment but by the railway itself, and this arrangement had better continue, since it exists even in the case of railway stations, such as Waltair, which are within municipal limits.

3. I do not consider the Chairman's proposal to extend the southern boundary even further than is proposed by the council to be justifiable. In order to get in the few latrines and the burial-ground mentioned by him, it will be necessary to include a great deal of agricultural land and open country, which really in no way concerns the municipality. That land is no doubt used on occasions for purposes of nature, but the municipality will not be able to prevent this, and the land now compares very favourably with much other land which is under municipal control, and I consider that there is nothing in its present condition which renders it desirable to include it. The council's proposals may be accepted as they stand.

4. I forward a map showing the existing municipal boundary in red pencil and the proposed one in blue.

(Signed) J. S. HEANEY,
for *Acting Collector.*

12*th August* 1896.

Reference No. 1161.

The Collector of Vizagapatam is requested to report the extent to which the finances of the municipality and of the cantonment will be affected by the inclusion of the railway station within municipal limits and to explain fully his reasons for considering its inclusion unnecessary. He will also clearly mark on the sketch, which is herewith returned, the position of the cantonment, the railway station and the present and the proposed boundaries of the town, and submit a draft notification defining the proposed boundaries by means of paimash or other numbers available, which should also be marked on the plan.

(Signed) W. W. PHILLIPS,
Ag. Asst. Secretary.

8*th October* 1896.

From M.R.Ry. V. VENKATRAYA SASTRI Avargal, Chairman, Municipal Council, Vizianagram, to the Collector of Vizagapatam, dated 15th January 1897, No. 206.

With reference to your endorsement R.C. No. 3794, dated 18th October 1896, on the memorandum of the Madras Government, No. 1161, dated 8th October 1896, requiring further information regarding the revision of the municipal boundaries,

Vizianagram town, I have the honour to forward herewith a sketch map showing the existing as well as the proposed boundaries, the former in blue ink and the latter in red ink. The situation of the military cantonment and the railway station are also shown as required. No paimash numbers could be procured as the town was not surveyed hitherto, but such permanent land marks as would give a distinct idea of the proposed boundary are shown which serve the purpose quite as well as those of paimash numbers.

The delay in resubmitting the papers is due to the fresh survey taken and to the preparation of the sketch by a fixed scale and is therefore unavoidable. I request you to be so good as to obtain the sanction of Government so that the new boundaries may be worked out from the 1st April 1897. I also forward herewith a draft notification of the proposed boundaries in accordance with the marks shown in the sketch.

R.C. No. 3794.

Forwarded to Government.

2. The Chairman has submitted a new map, which shows the present and proposed boundaries very clearly. Paimash or other numbers are not available, but the boundary is in most places a road and in others is equally unmistakeable.

3 The Chairman has omitted to inform me of the amount by which the council would be a gainer if the railway station and premises were included in the municipal limits. He has been asked to report this urgently and the information will be forwarded on receipt.

4. The cantonment would lose nothing. At present the cantonment boundary is the railway fence on the west side of the line, and the railway station is therefore neither in the cantonment nor in the municipality. I have no objection to its being included in the latter, but except the gain to the council, no useful purpose will be served thereby, as the railway itself attends to its sanitation and lighting, which the municipality will not do even if the proposed inclusion is effected. By leaving things as they are in this respect friction such as exists at Waltair will be avoided.

(Signed) H. L. BRAIDWOOD,
3rd February 1897. for *Collector*.

From M.R.Ry. V. VENKATRAYA SASTRI Avargal, Chairman, Municipal Council, Vizianagram, to the Collector of Vizagapatam, dated 10th February 1897, No. 222.

In reply to your letter, dated 8th instant, inquiring as to how much the municipality would gain by including the railway station and premises, I have the honour to state that, on actual measurements taken of the buildings, &c., and on information obtained by inquiry made, the municipality would make not less than Rs. 300 annually as shown in details noted below :—

	RS.
(1) Tax on station yard and buildings thereon	220
(2) Tax on arts on the refreshment-room contractor	50
(3) Other shops and petty traders and on salaries of the railway servants.	15
(4) Penalties on nuisances committed in the station yard	15
Total ..	300

C. No. 529.

Forwarded, with reference to paragraph 3 of the Collector's endorsement, R.C. No. 3794, dated 3rd instant, on letter from the Chairman, Municipal Council, Vizianagram, No. 206, dated 15th January 1897.

(Signed) R. GOVINDA ROW,
15th February 1897 for *Ag. Collector*.

Order—Mis. No. 906 M., dated 14th April 1897.

From the draft notification and the plan submitted by the Chairman with the second of his letters read above, it appears that the boundaries have not been correctly defined in the notification as sites which are included within the municipal limits are mentioned as "boundaries." For instance, the Dasannapeta burial-ground and the supply channel, which are clearly shown in the plan as lying within the proposed limits of the municipality, are stated in the notification to be the eastern boundary, and the Peddamadugedda, the tannery, Gadepalle Jagannatham's inam, &c., which are all within the municipal limits, are spoken of as the northern boundary. The Collector is therefore requested to submit a revised draft notification giving an accurate and complete description of the boundaries and to mark distinctly on the plan, which is herewith returned, all the places mentioned in the notification.

2. It is also observed that the question of the advisability of including within the municipal limits the cantonment and the "samastanam" lands which was raised by the Sanitary Commissioner in paragraph 8 of his inspection notes printed in G.O., No. 760 M., dated 4th May 1896, has not been discussed either by the council or by the Collector. This should now be done.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) G. S. FORBES,
Secretary to Government.

To the Collector of Vizagapatam.

READ—also the following papers :—

From W. O. HORNE, Esq., Acting Collector, Vizagapatam District, to the Secretary to Government, Local and Municipal Department, dated 12th February 1898, R. C. No. 90.

As directed in G.O., Mis. No. 2352 M., dated 28th October 1897, I have the honour to submit herewith a revised draft notification showing the boundaries of the Vizianagram Municipality. The sketch-map returned is also resubmitted, the necessary alterations being made thereon in accordance with the instructions contained in the Government Order quoted above.

Endt. No. 341.

Referred to the Public Works Department (Railway Branch) for remarks.

(By order.)

(Signed) S. G. ROBERTS,
19th March 1898. *Ag. Assistant Secretary.*

Memorandum from the Public Works Department (Railway Branch), dated 29th April 1898, No. 169 R.M.

With reference to its endorsement, No. 341, dated 19th March 1898 (herewith returned), the Local and Municipal Department is informed that this department has no remarks to offer on the revised draft notification and the sketch-map for the revision of the boundaries of the Vizianagram Municipality, as the East Coast Railway land is not included within the proposed municipal limits.

(Signed) C. T. R. SCOVELL,
Under Secretary, P.W.D.

ORDER—No. 1144 M., dated 29th June 1898.

The alterations in the limits of the Vizianagram Municipality, as proposed by the municipal council and modified by the Collector, are approved, and the following notification will be published in the Fort St. George and the Vizagapatam District Gazettes :—

NOTIFICATION.

Under the provisions of sub-section (1) of section 4-A of the District Municipalities Act IV of 1884 as amended by Act III of 1897 and in modification of Notification No. 347, published at page 126 of the *Fort St. George Gazette*, Part I-A, dated 20th August 1889. His Excellency the Governor in Council hereby declares his intention to alter the limits of the Vizianagram Municipality by adopting the following boundaries. Any objection which may be made in respect of these alterations by any person interested in the matter should be submitted in writing to Government within six weeks from the publication of this notification :—

East.—Portion of Sivanandabaugh, Maharaja's dry land (jeroyati) along the side of Maharaja's private road, Pusapati Venkatapatirajugari inam land, supply channel of Dasannapeta Koneru from the south-east corner of Pusapati Venkatapatirajugari inam land up to Musalaganti Jagannadharao Pantulu's inam and then Musalaganti Jagannadharao Pantulu's land itself.

South.—Bollapragadavari inam land, Gundalavari tope, Guracharigari tope up to the outlet channel of Guracharicheruvu, then the outlet itself surrounding the kasba burial-ground, Nandagiri Ramarao Pantulu's inam dry land and his cheruvu, the inam lands of Madiga Salika Appigandu, Chukko Thammadu and Kondala Appigadu, samastanam jeroyati called Goodempolem, irrigation channel of Peddacheruvu running by the side of samastanam land called "model farm," of samastanam parade ground, of Jonnagudem village and of Jonnagudem village road leading up to the cutstone head sluice of Peddacheruvu by the side of big tank lower road, the big tank lower road up to Penumatcha Sitaramarajugari inam land, the inam land of Penumacha Sitaramarajugari, the outlet of Peddacheruvu, Maharaja's jeroyati land and the compound of the Principal Assistant Collector's office.

West.—Railway station yard, Maharaja's jeroyati land, railway pits up to railway crossing to cantonment, railway bank and railway cutting ending at the railway over-bridge at Butchanna's tank.

North.—Railway ground, Sunnapugedda, Kolluruvari inam land, Yarakammagudi, Maredemmagudi, samastanam jeroyati called Singayyapollu, samastanam racket court, samastanam jeroyati dry land, Girijabaugh and Sivanandabaugh.

2. The Collector is requested to report the date of publication of the notification in the District Gazette.

(True Extract.)

H. TREMENHEERE,
Secretary to Government.

To the Chairman, Municipal Council, Vizianagram.
" Collector of Vizagapatam.
Gazette.

Exd. C. M. Thumbuswamy.

Local & Munl. (1898) Department.

Railway

CURRENT No. 837/M. 385

1284

RECEIVED 23 FEB 1898 MADRAS

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT 23 FEB 1898 LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT

TO BE RETURNED WITH REPLY.

From

The Collector
Vizagapatam

Order No. 341 dt 19-3-98

Referred to the Public works department (Railway Branch) for remarks.

By order

G. Roberts
Ag assistant Secretary

18.3.98

R.C No. 90

Dated 12 February 1898.

Received 21-2-98

Registered

Enclosures 1 Map (separate) 1

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submitting revised draft notification and sketch map regarding the revision of the boundaries of the Vizianagram municipality

P.R. 17/3/98

Reed. 21. 3. 98

Mem. E.C.R. for any remarks & return

P.W. 24/3/98

To

The Public works department.
(with a plan to be returned)

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
80	2352	M. Misc.	28-10-97
80	1997	M. Misc.	15-9-97
80	1628	M. Misc.	20-7-97
80	906	M. Misc.	14-4-97
	1730	M. S.	5-8-97
	92	M. Misc.	17-1-96
	760	M. S.	4-5-96
	527	M. S.	25-3-96
	1502	M. S.	30-7-95
	976	M. S.	6-5-89

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

1532 m/gf

[V. C. P.—No. 296.—30-3-97.—300.]

Re. C. No. 90
~~Dis.~~

Read also the letters noted in the margin

VIZAGAPATAM COLLECTOR'S OFFICE

Dated 12 February 189
Despd 17

837/M

From

W. O. Horne Esq^re.

Ag. Collector,

Vizagapatam District.

To

J. H. A. Tremenheere Esquire

Secretary to Government,

Local and Municipal Department,

Madras.

Sir,

As directed in Government Order no 2352 M. Miscellaneous dated 28th October 1897 I have the honor to submit herewith a revised draft notification showing the boundaries of the Vizianagram Municipality. The Sketch map returned is also resubmitted, the necessary alterations being made thereon in accordance with the instructions contained in the G. O. quoted above.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

Geo. Wadrian

Local & Munl. (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 1532

UNDER SECY TO GOVT
3 MAY 98

From The P. W. Department
Railway Branch.

Order

No. 169 R.m.

Dated 29th April 1898.

Received

Registered

Enclosures 3 & Map

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Stating that this Departt has no remarks to offer on the revised draft Notification for revising the boundaries of the Vizianagaram Municipality.

3
1532 of 1898

BACK PAPERS

G.O.	No.	Department	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

R. Form No. 11.

No. 169 R. M.

Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,

RAILWAY BRANCH.

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPT.
CURRENT No. 1532 M

MEMORANDUM.

Dated Madras, 29th April 1898.

With reference to its endorsement N° 341 of 19th March 1898 (herewith returned) the Local and Municipal Department is informed that this Department has no remarks to offer on the revised draft Notification and the sketch map for the revision of the boundaries of the Vizianagram Municipality, as the East Coast Railway land is not included within the proposed Municipal limits.

Under Secretary

168

Notification

In exercise of the power conferred on him by section 4 of the Madras District Municipalities Act, as amended by ~~sections 6 & 7 of~~ Act III of 1897, His Excellency the Governor in Council is pleased to notify that the boundaries of the Vizianagram Municipality shall for the purposes of the said Act be as follows from 1st April 1898.

East — : Portion of Sivanandabaugh, Maharajah's dry land (jeroyati) along the side of Maharajah's private road Pusapati Venkatapatirajugari Inam land [illegible] supply channel of Dasannapeta Koneru from the south East corner of Pusapati Venkatapatiraju gari inam land up to Musalaganti Jagannatharow Pantulu's Inam and then Musalaganti Jagannadharow Pantulu's land itself —

South — . Bollapragadavari Inam land, Gundala-vari tope, Guracharigari tope up to the outlet channel of Gurachari cheruvu, then the outlet itself surrounding the Kasba burial ground, Nandagiri Ramarow pantulu's Inam dry land and his cheruvu, the Inam lands of Madiga Salikka Appigaudu, Chukka Thammiadu & Kondala Appigadu, Samstanam Jeroyati called Goodem polem, irrigation channel of Pedda cheruvu running by the side of Samstanam land cal[illegible] "[illegible] farm", of Samstanam parade [illegible] of Jonnaguda [illegible]

[Pencil additions: Under the provisions of Sub Section (1) of section 4 of the Madras District Municipalities Act IV of 1884 as amended by Act III of 1897 and in modification of the notification No. published at page of the Fort St George gazette, His Excellency the Governor in Council hereby declares his intention to alter the limits of the Vizianagram Municipality by accepting the following boundaries as shown below. Any objection which may be made in respect of this alteration by any person interested in the matter should be submitted in writing to Govt within six weeks from the publication of this notification.]

cheruvu by the side of big tank lower road, the big tank lower road up to Penumatcha Seetarama-razu gari Inam land, the Inam land of Penumecha Seetaramaraju garu, the outlet of Pedda cheruvu Maharajah's jeroyati land and the compound of the Principal Assistant Collector's Office

West — Railway station yard, Maharajah's Jeroyati land, Railway pits up to Railway crossing to cantonment; Railway bank and Railway cutting ending at the Railway over-bridge at Butchanna's tank.

North: — Railway ground, Sunnapugedda, Kolluruvari Inam land, Yarakammagudi, Maredemmagudi, Samustanam Jeroyati called Lingayya pollu, Samustanam racket Court Samustanam jeroyiti dry land, Girija baugh and Sivanandabaugh

Vizagapatam 12th February 1898 } (Sd.) J. Padden For Collector

Rycno. 395/98 21

2 / 1532 M/98

[G. / 25] 18-2-98.

G.O. 906 M. 4-4-97 & Cal. 837 L G. No. 1532 M/98

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

SUBJECT. Revision of the boundaries of the Vizianagaram Munty.

In G.O. No. 1144 M. 29-6-98

FOR ORDERS.

A. In para 8. of his letter disposed of in G.O. No. 92. M. dated 17th January 1896, the Principal Asst. Collector of Vizagapatam suggested that the boundaries of the Vizianagaram municipality which were vague should be revised, and in para. 9. of his endorsement thereon the Collector also observed that the boundaries seemed to require revision, stating that in a recent communication the Principal Assistant Collector had pointed out that the Towns Nuisances Act did not apply to a piece of land lying between the Muni...

the order above referred to, Lieu requested the Collector to revise the boundaries and to report his views as to the desirability of including in the municipal limits the land between the municipality and the cantonment.

f.o. 92.m / 17.1.96 para. 5. B

C.

2. In para. 8. of his inspection notes recorded in f.o. No. 760.m. dated 4th May 1896, the Sanitary Commissioner also made the following remarks regarding the revision of the boundaries of this town:—

"I consider the municipal limits require reconsideration. I find that between the Railway station and the town is a narrow belt of ground under the Local Fund Board. This was given up by the municipality with the rather selfish policy of not having to support the road. But now that the road serves the railway station, conditions are altered. It is most undesirable for sanitary reasons to have a railway station so close to municipal limits and

station, commence the cantonment limits. On the otherside of the road the municipal limits are bounded by those of the Samastanam. Even the Pedda cheruvu bank is out of the municipal limits, although municipal roads skirt its banks. It seems to me undesirable that this multiplicity of authority should exist over so small an area — so close to the town that the sanitary conditions of the surroundings is a matter of importance. I think it desirable if conflicting interests can by any method, be made to subserve the common good of the inhabitants of Vizianagaram that the cantonment, the Local Fund strip mentioned, and the part of the Samastanam now out of municipal limits, should be placed definitely under Municipal rule. In the case of the so-called cantonment, as only a single regiment is on the spot [illegible] essary that

4

the ...ist there."

3. With reference to the above remarks of the Sanitary Commissioner, the Chairman promised a separate communication which is awaited by Govt. Vide para. 1 (4) of G.O. No. 760-M dated 4th May 1896.

4. With reference to both the orders of Govt. referred to in paras. 1 & 3 above, the Chairman in his letter No. 88 dated 28th July 1896, reported that the question of revising the municipal limits was fully discussed at two sittings of the Municipal Council with the result that the western limit of the municipality was proposed to be extended up to the Eastern boundary line of the Cantonment, thus to include the Railway Station and its yard, but not the cantonment. As regards the southern boundary proposed by the Municipal Council, the Chairman pointed out to Govt. that the ...

Flag. C.

Disposed of in G.O. $\frac{906 M}{16-4-97}$ D

Please see para: 3 of Ch. letter

14

Reference No. 1161 d/ 8-10-96

D

Southern limit was objectionable in as much as it excluded four public latrines and one burning and burial ground belonging to the Council. In his endorsement forwarding the letter of the Chairman, however the Collector considered that the proposal to include the Railway Station premises was unnecessary and he was also not in favour of the Chairman's proposal to further extend the southern boundary of the town. The Govt. then requested the Collector to report the extent to which the finances of the Municipality and of the Cantonment would be affected by the inclusion of the Railway station within municipal limits and to explain fully his reasons for considering its inclusion unnecessary. He was also asked to mark clearly ~~mark~~ on the sketch the position of the Cantonment, the railway station and the present and ... proposed ...

defining the proposed boundaries by means of survey or other numbers available. With his endorsement No 3794 dated 3rd February 1897, the Collector submitted a new map and a revised draft notification and observed that as at present the Cantonment boundary was the railway fence on the west side of the line, the Cantonment would lose nothing by the inclusion of the railway station premises within municipal limits, but that his objection to this proposal was that, except the gain to the Council, which is estimated at Rs 300 a year, no useful purpose would be served thereby as the municipality would not attend to its sanitation and lighting even if the proposed inclusion was effected, and that by leaving things as they are in this respect friction such as exists at Waltair would be avoided. The [illegible] above [illegible] of the Council to adopt

for want of accuracy and completeness. Vide [illegible] No 1628 M dated 27th July 1897 and No 2392 dated 28th October 1897 and with the first of the letters read above, the Collector submits a fresh draft notification describing the revised boundaries of the municipality.

5. For the reasons stated by the Collector, the revised boundaries of the municipality, as proposed by the Municipal Council and modified by the Collector, may be approved. The accompanying draft order is submitted for approval.

V.R
15/6/98

H I
20 6 98

24/6/98

L. & M. 300-16-2-03.

Papers to be read in Proceedings:—

Again G.O., No. dated 189 ,
Again G.O., No. 906 M. dated 14. 4. 97 189 ,
Current No. 837 M. of 1898, dated , from the Collector of Vizagapatam
,, ,, 1582 M. ,, 1898, ,, ,, Public Works Dept.
,, ,, ,, 189 , ,, , ,,

P.D. ORDER. No. 1144 M. dt. 29-6-98.

The alterations in the limits of the Vizianagaram municipality as proposed by the municipal council and modified by the Collector, are approved and the following notification will be published in the Fort St. George and the Vizagapatam District Gazettes.—

Notification.

(H.E the notification as amended)

2. The Collector is requested to report the date of publication of the notification in the District Gazette.

V.R. 16/6/98

27/6/98

20.6.98

To Chairman M.C. Vizianagaram
Collector of Vizagapatam. 6

Despd. 23/7/98

Gazette 25-7-98 20/7/98

18

Notification.

1584

Under the provisions of Sub. section (1) of Section 4 A of the District Municipalities Act IV of 1884 as amended by Act III of 1887 and in modification of Notification No. 347 published at page 126 of the Govt Gazette Part I A of 20th August 1885, H.E. the Governor in Council hereby declares his intention to alter the limits of the Vizianagaram Municipality by adopting the following boundaries. Any objection which may be made to repeal of these alterations by any person interested in the matter should be submitted in writing to Govt within six weeks from the publication of this Notification.

East

Gobernment {1898} of Madras.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL.

Gazette Part II G.

Recd.	1898.	*Enclosures*
Regd.		*Spare copies*

G.O., No. 1144 M., 29th June 1898.

JUNE.

Municipal Limits.

Approving the alterations in the limits of the Vizianagram Municipality, as proposed by the municipal council and modified by the Collector, and ordering the publication of the necessary notification in the Fort St. George and the Vizagapatam District Gazettes.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—again the following paper:—

G.O., Mis. No. 906 M., dated 14th April 1897.

Read—the following papers:—

From M.R.Ry. V. VENKATRAYA SASTRI Avargal, Chairman, Municipal Council, Vizianagram, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated 28th July 1896, No. 88.

With reference to the marginally-noted Government Orders, I have the honour to report that the question of revising the municipal limits was fully discussed at two sittings of the municipal council attended also by the Revenue Divisional officer at each of them and I herewith attach a memorandum showing the proposed boundaries of the municipal town, Vizianagram, which, if approved of by Government, will form the boundary line of the municipality.

Paragraph 5 of the Proceedings of Government, Mis. No. 92 M., dated 17th January 1896, and paragraph 4 of G.O., No. 760 M., dated 4th May 1896.

2. The western limit of the municipality is to be extended up to the eastern boundary line of the cantonment, which I am informed includes the railway station building and its yard. In the opinion of the council, as also of the Divisional officer, the railway station should be included in the limits of the municipal town since the station is comparatively more used by the townspeople than those of the cantonment both for passenger and goods traffic. It is but reasonable, therefore, that the sanitation and other municipal requirements of the station yard should be looked after by the municipality rather than by the cantonment. If this view is approved of by Government, the limits on the western side of the town will have to be read thus—"The western boundary will be the road on the western side of the railway station up to the cantonment railway crossing towards the north and up to the railway overbridge towards the south."

3. I take this opportunity of pointing out to Government that the view of the council to adopt the southern bund of the Peddacheruvu and Nathavalsa road as the greatest part of the southern limit is objectionable. The municipality owns four public latrines and one burning and burial ground beyond the proposed limits; but with a view to avoid the repairing charges of certain portions of roads now maintained by the taluk board (as transferred since the last revision of boundaries in 1889) they have excluded a large strip of land on which the said latrines and burning and burial grounds are situated. My proposal as originally made was "Bugata Ramajogi's garden, Komaticheruvu, Gundalavari inam, kasba cremation ground, Madigapeta near model farm, Maharaja's parade ground behind Ayodhya, Byragymatum, tope behind the travellers' bungalow and Nagesu tank" which includes these places. If the council's resolution is adopted in fixing the southern limits, I regret to state that the tank bund and the Nathavalsa roads which are close to the buildings inhabited by people will form the boundary line, and the people committing nuisances only a few yards from their houses cannot be prosecuted under the Act. As the question of the revision of boundaries cannot frequently engage the attention of Government, I take the liberty of offering my remarks with a view that they may take into consideration all circumstances before finally deciding what the limits should be lest a further alteration should be necessitated within the near future as is the case at present, the last revision having taken place only seven years ago. I have no remarks to offer regarding the boundary line on the other two sides.

4. I have the honour to request further that the Government may be pleased to order the Survey Department to take up the survey of the town and to prepare a map when the final orders regarding the limits are passed.

Forwarded to the Collector, Vizagapatam. The Chairman has promised to send a rough sketch showing the old and new boundaries of the municipality. This will be submitted on receipt.

VIZIANAGRAM,
4th August 1896.

(Signed) E. B. ELWIN,
Ag. Prinl. Asst. Collector.

Dis. No. 1881.

Forwarded. I have gone over the proposed boundaries with Chairman and the Divisional officer.

2. The proposal to include the railway station premises is unnecessary. The sanitation, lighting and other requirements of the station are not now looked after by the cantonment but by the railway itself, and this arrangement had better continue, since it exists even in the case of railway stations, such as Waltair, which are within municipal limits.

3. I do not consider the Chairman's proposal to extend the southern boundary even further than is proposed by the council to be justifiable. In order to get in the few latrines and the burial-ground mentioned by him, it will be necessary to include a great deal of agricultural land and open country, which really in no way concerns the municipality. That land is no doubt used on occasions for purposes of nature, but the municipality will not be able to prevent this, and the land now compares very favourably with much other land which is under municipal control, and I consider that there is nothing in its present condition which renders it desirable to include it. The council's proposals may be accepted as they stand.

4. I forward a map showing the existing municipal boundary in red pencil and the proposed one in blue.

12th August 1896.

(Signed) J. S. HEANEY,
for *Acting Collector.*

Reference No. 1161.

The Collector of Vizagapatam is requested to report the extent to which the finances of the municipality and of the cantonment will be affected by the inclusion of the railway station within municipal limits and to explain fully his reasons for considering its inclusion unnecessary. He will also clearly mark on the sketch, which is herewith returned, the position of the cantonment, the railway station and the present and the proposed boundaries of the town, and submit a draft notification defining the proposed boundaries by means of paimash or other numbers available, which should also be marked on the plan.

8th October 1896.

(Signed) W. W. PHILLIPS,
Ag. Asst. Secretary.

From M.R.Ry. V. VENKATRAYA SASTRI Avargal, Chairman, Municipal Council, Vizianagram, to the Collector of Vizagapatam, dated 15th January 1897, No. 206.

With reference to your endorsement R.C. No. 3794, dated 18th October 1896, on the memorandum of the Madras Government, No. 1161, dated 8th October 1896, requiring further information regarding the revision of the municipal boundaries,

Vizianagram town, I have the honour to forward herewith a sketch map showing the existing as well as the proposed boundaries, the former in blue ink and the latter in red ink. The situation of the military cantonment and the railway station are also shown as required. No paimash numbers could be procured as the town was not surveyed hitherto, but such permanent land marks as would give a distinct idea of the proposed boundary are shown which serve the purpose quite as well as those of paimash numbers.

The delay in resubmitting the papers is due to the fresh survey taken and to the preparation of the sketch by a fixed scale and is therefore unavoidable. I request you to be so good as to obtain the sanction of Government so that the new boundaries may be worked out from the 1st April 1897. I also forward herewith a draft notification of the proposed boundaries in accordance with the marks shown in the sketch.

R.C. No. 3794.

Forwarded to Government.

2. The Chairman has submitted a new map, which shows the present and proposed boundaries very clearly. Paimash or other numbers are not available, but the boundary is in most places a road and in others is equally unmistakeable.

3. The Chairman has omitted to inform me of the amount by which the council would be a gainer if the railway station and premises were included in the municipal limits. He has been asked to report this urgently and the information will be forwarded on receipt.

4. The cantonment would lose nothing. At present the cantonment boundary is the railway fence on the west side of the line, and the railway station is therefore neither in the cantonment nor in the municipality. I have no objection to its being included in the latter, but except the gain to the council, no useful purpose will be served thereby, as the railway itself attends to its sanitation and lighting, which the municipality will not do even if the proposed inclusion is effected. By leaving things as they are in this respect friction such as exists at Waltair will be avoided.

(Signed) H. L. BRAIDWOOD,
for *Collector*.

3rd February 1897.

From M.R.Ry. V. VENKATRAYA SASTRI Avargal, Chairman, Municipal Council, Vizianagram, to the Collector of Vizagapatam, dated 10th February 1897, No. 222.

In reply to your letter, dated 8th instant, inquiring as to how much the municipality would gain by including the railway station and premises, I have the honour to state that, on actual measurements taken of the buildings, &c., and on information obtained by inquiry made, the municipality would make not less than Rs. 300 annually as shown in details noted below :—

	RS.
(1) Tax on station yard and buildings thereon	220
(2) Tax on arts on the refreshment-room contractor	50
(3) Other shops and petty traders and on salaries of the railway servants.	15
(4) Penalties on nuisances committed in the station yard	15
Total ..	300

C. No. 529.

Forwarded, with reference to paragraph 3 of the Collector's endorsement, R.C. No. 3794, dated 3rd instant, on letter from the Chairman, Municipal Council, Vizianagram, No. 206, dated 15th January 1897.

(Signed) R. GOVINDA ROW,
for *Ag. Collector*.

15th February 1897.

Order—Mis. No. 906 M., dated 14th April 1897.

From the draft notification and the plan submitted by the Chairman with the second of his letters read above, it appears that the boundaries have not been correctly defined in the notification as sites which are included within the municipal limits are mentioned as "boundaries." For instance, the Dasannapeta burial-ground and the supply channel, which are clearly shown in the plan as lying within the proposed limits of the municipality, are stated in the notification to be the eastern boundary, and the Peddamadugedda, the tannery, Gadepalle Jagannatham's inam, &c., which are all within the municipal limits, are spoken of as the northern boundary. The Collector is therefore requested to submit a revised draft notification giving an accurate and complete description of the boundaries and to mark distinctly on the plan, which is herewith returned, all the places mentioned in the notification.

2. It is also observed that the question of the advisability of including within the municipal limits the cantonment and the "samastanam" lands which was raised by the Sanitary Commissioner in paragraph 8 of his inspection notes printed in G.O., No. 760 M., dated 4th May 1896, has not been discussed either by the council or by the Collector. This should now be done.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) G. S. FORBES,
Secretary to Government.

To the Collector of Vizagapatam.

READ—also the following papers :—

From W. O. HORNE, Esq., Acting Collector, Vizagapatam District, to the Secretary to Government, Local and Municipal Department, dated 12th February 1898, R. C. No. 90.

As directed in G.O., Mis. No. 2352 M., dated 28th October 1897, I have the honour to submit herewith a revised draft notification showing the boundaries of the Vizianagram Municipality. The sketch-map returned is also resubmitted, the necessary alterations being made thereon in accordance with the instructions contained in the Government Order quoted above.

Endt. No. 341.

Referred to the Public Works Department (Railway Branch) for remarks.

(By order.)

(Signed) S. G. ROBERTS,
Ag. Assistant Secretary.

19th March 1898.

Memorandum from the Public Works Department (Railway Branch), dated 29th April 1898, No. 169 R.M.

With reference to its endorsement, No. 341, dated 19th March 1898 (herewith returned), the Local and Municipal Department is informed that this department has no remarks to offer on the revised draft notification and the sketch-map for the revision of the boundaries of the Vizianagram Municipality, as the East Coast Railway land is not included within the proposed municipal limits.

(Signed) C. T. R. SCOVELL,
Under Secretary, P.W.D.

Order—No. 1144 M., dated 29th June 1898.

The alterations in the limits of the Vizianagram Municipality, as proposed by the municipal council and modified by the Collector, are approved, and the following notification will be published in the Fort St. George and the Vizagapatam District Gazettes :—

NOTIFICATION.

Under the provisions of sub-section (1) of section 4-A of the District Municipalities Act IV of 1884 as amended by Act III of 1897 and in modification of Notification No. 347, published at page 126 of the *Fort St. George Gazette*, Part I-A, dated 20th August 1889. His Excellency the Governor in Council hereby declares his intention to alter the limits of the Vizianagram Municipality by adopting the following boundaries. Any objection which may be made in respect of these alterations by any person interested in the matter should be submitted in writing to Government within six weeks from the publication of this notification :—

East.—Portion of Sivanandabaugh, Maharaja's dry land (jeroyati) along the side of Maharaja's private road, Pusapati Venkatapatirajugari inam land, supply channel of Dasannapeta Koneru from the south-east corner of Pusapati Venkatapatirajugari inam land up to Musalaganti Jagannadharao Pantulu's inam and then Musalaganti Jagannadharao Pantulu's land itself.

South.—Bollapragadavari inam land, Gundalavari tope, Guracharigari tope up to the outlet channel of Guracharicheruvu, then the outlet itself surrounding the kasba burial-ground, Nandagiri Ramarao Pantulu's inam dry land and his cheruvu, the inam lands of Madiga Salika Appigandu, Chukko Thammadu and Kondala Appigadu, samastanam jeroyati called Goodempolem, irrigation channel of Peddacheruvu running by the side of samastanam land called "model farm," of samastanam parade ground, of Jonnagudem village and of Jonnagudem village road leading up to the cutstone head sluice of Peddacheruvu by the side of big tank lower road, the big tank lower road up to Penumatcha Sitaramarajugari inam land, the inam land of Penumacha Sitaramarajugari, the outlet of Peddacheruvu, Maharaja's jeroyati land and the compound of the Principal Assistant Collector's office.

West.—Railway station yard, Maharaja's jeroyati land, railway pits up to railway crossing to cantonment, railway bank and railway cutting ending at the railway over-bridge at Butchanna's tank.

North.—Railway ground, Sunnapugedda, Kolluruvari inam land, Yarakammagudi, Marredemmagudi, samastanam jeroyati called Singayyapollu, samastanam racket court, samastanam jeroyati dry land, Girijabaugh and Sivanandabaugh.

2. The Collector is requested to report the date of publication of the notification in the District Gazette.

(True Extract.)

H. TREMENHEERE,
Secretary to Government.

To the Chairman, Municipal Council, Vizianagram.
" Collector of Vizagapatam.
Gazette.

Exd. C. M. Thumbuswamy.

Order complete with Letter.

signature.

print.

G.O., No. 189 DATED

ENT No

Enclosures to C. No.

Order of Government

Letter of Government.

Statement with ditto.

Papers not filed up, being meant for despatch in original.

N.B.—
A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.