

Local & Munl. (1898) Department.

PRINTED

G.O., No. 605.P

DATED 24-6-98

RECEIVED

[Despatch Abstract.]

Disposal of corpses Recording for Revenue
Department, directing that the ~~of~~
corpse of a person who has died or
suspected to have died of plague
shall be wrapped in a
in a strong box
disinfected
deep.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Government {1898} of Madras. LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL.

Recd.

Regd.

1898.

Enclosures |

Spare copies

G.O., No. 605 P., 24th June 1898.

JUNE.

Disposal of Corpses.

Recording Government Order, Revenue Department, directing that the corpse of a person who has died or suspected to have died of plague shall be wrapped in a cloth soaked in a strong solution of a suitable disinfectant and be buried six feet deep.

S.A.
Proof Submitted for approval.

4/7/98

Checklist
Long
6/7

5/7
Recd.
24/6/98

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Government {1898} of Madras. LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL.

Recd.

Regd.

1898.

Enclosures |

Spare copies

G.O., No. 605 P., 24th June 1898.

JUNE.

Disposal of Corpses.

Recording Government Order, Revenue Department, directing that the corpse of a person who has died or suspected to have died of plague shall be wrapped in a cloth soaked in a strong solution of a suitable disinfectant and be buried six feet deep.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following paper:—

G.O., No. 340, Revenue, dated 11th June 1898.

Read—again the following papers:—

G.O., No. 1002, Revenue, dated 30th November 1897.

Read—again the following papers:—

G.O., Mis. No. 566, Revenue, dated 29th January 1897.

ABSTRACT.—Referring to the Board of Revenue for report letter from the Agent and Manager, Madras Railway Company, reporting the conduct of certain village officers at Urampádu in permitting a cholera corpse to lie just outside the station premises for three days.

G.O., R. No. 553, Revenue, dated 18th March 1897.

ABSTRACT.—Referring to the Board of Revenue correspondence from the Agent and Manager, Madras Railway, asking for the views of Government as to whether the duty of removing a corpse from the railway premises under circumstances stated rests with the Government officials or with the Railway Company.

G.O., R. No. 973, Revenue, dated 19th May 1897.

ABSTRACT.—Recording, with remark, Board's Proceedings communicating to the Collector of Cuddapah, with remarks, memorandum from Government calling for early submission of report on conduct of certain village officers in permitting a cholera corpse to lie just outside a railway station for three days.

Read—also the following paper:—

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), Mis. No. 3338, dated 7th September 1897.

Read—the following letter from A. C. TATE, Esq., Collector of Cuddapah, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated 25th August 1897, No. 107-Tour:—

I have the honour to return the enclosures of Board's Proceedings, Mis. No. 487, Land Revenue, dated 8th February 1897, and to report about the disposal of the dead body of the Madras Railway Company's porter Chengalrayadu, who died on the Railway Company's premises at Urampádu station on 13th January 1897.

2. The first intimation I received of the matter was the following wire on 15th January 1897 from the Assistant Traffic Manager, Gooty:—"Porter died from cholera at Urampádu. Authorities advised to remove corpse. No action taken three days. Arra. I instantly wired as follows to the Tahsildar of Ráazampéta:—"Attend instantly to matter of railway porter's corpse, left unburied at Urampádu."

3. The Tahsildar's action thereon is indicated in his report, Ref. on Cur. No. 6374 of 1896, dated 16th January 1897, written from Lebaka, where he was in camp when he got my wire; before he reached Urampádu the body had been buried by Dr. Thummon Singh, Assistant Surgeon, who had proceeded from Cuddapah for the purpose.

4. I wrote my letter of 18th January, Dis. No. 54-Magl. (one of the returned enclosures), to the Assistant Traffic Manager at the instance of the District Medical and Sanitary Officer and I note that the Traffic Manager's letter in which he suggested that the matter should be brought to the notice of Government is dated 19th January. The stationmaster of Cuddapah had written me on 16th January as follows:—"I have been directed by the Divisional officer to inform you that the body of the porter who died of cholera at Urampádu had since been removed" and I infer that the renewal of the correspondence by the Madras Railway authorities is traceable to my having pointed out the fact that it was their own servant, the stationmaster, who was to blame in the matter.

5. Until I received Board's Proceedings, Mis. No. 1138, dated 25th March 1897, I was expecting a further reply from the Railway Company whose Assistant Traffic Manager informed me in his letter of 4th April 1897, No. S. 150, that he was awaiting final instructions from the Agent and Manager, but on receipt of that order of the Board I called for a full and detailed report on the Board's order from the Tahsildar of Pullampet in my Ref. on Cur. No. 62-Magl., dated 1st April 1897, and the Tahsildar replied in his Ref. on Cur. No. 6374 of 1896, dated 17th April 1897, from which the Board will observe that cholera had established a regular "reign of terror" in Pullampet taluk at the period alluded to. There were two hospital assistants on cholera duty in the taluk at the time and the Railway Company has also its own hospital assistant. As regards the disposal of the dead body of the railway porter Chengalrayadu, who died on the Railway Company's premises, I consider that it was the duty of the Railway Company to have seen to its disposal, however unpleasant and troublesome the duty might have been. I agree with the Pullampet Tahsildar's remarks contained in his arzi of 17th April, and do not see any necessity to deal severely with the reddy in this matter. He was no doubt terribly frightened like every one else, and the duty of disposing of the corpse of their servant lay on the Railway Company. The village magistrate has active enemies who have been trying to bring him to grief, and in this present matter I think the railway stationmaster should be dealt with before the propriety of punishing him is considered.

6. I must apologise for the delay in sending this report, and can only plead in excuse heavy work and worry in connection with famine operations. I have five or six other old references pending and shall try and dispose of them without further delay.

Resolution—Mis. No. 3338, dated 7th September 1897.

The report read above will be submitted to Government with reference to its orders Mis. No. 566, dated 29th January 1897, and No. 553-R., dated 18th March 1897.

2. One Chengalrayadu, a porter in the service of the Madras Railway Company, died of cholera in the premises of the Urampádu railway station on the 13th January last. The remains were not buried until the evening of the 16th idem, in spite of repeated applications made to the village officers for assistance. During the interval the body was lying outside the Company's premises quite uncared for, being mutilated by birds and beasts. The stationmaster having reported the matter to the District Medical Officer, the Assistant Surgeon arrived on the 16th, and had the body buried with the help of the reddy of the village. The Collector received the first intimation of the matter on the 15th January, and at once wired instructions direct to the Tahsildar, but that officer being then in camp, was able to reach Urampádu only on the morning of the 17th by which time matters had been set right.

3. The first question for consideration in this case is, who was responsible for the inordinate delay in the disposal of the dead body. A question of somewhat greater importance is raised by the Agent and Manager, Madras Railway, viz., what action should be taken in future under similar circumstances, and by whom. As regards the first question, the Board agrees with the opinion of the District Medical and Sanitary Officer which is concurred in by the Traffic Manager (*vide* conclusion of Agent's letter, dated 10th March 1897, to the address of the Consulting Engineer for Railways). The burial of the body was a public duty which devolved upon the village officers, but when it was found that the Government and Railway servants in the village

were all thoroughly demoralized by fear and had disappeared from the village, the stationmaster should have wired to the nearest railway station for scavengers to dispose of the body. It may, however, be noted that the Assistant Surgeon was eventually able to obtain the reddi's assistance for the burial of the corpse.

4. As regards the conduct of the village magistrate, if it is a fact, as stated by the stationmaster (*vide* opening paragraph of the Agent's letter already quoted), that the village reddi intimated to the stationmaster first by word of mouth on the 13th, and then by letter on the 14th January, that he would take steps to have the body buried, the Board considers that suitable notice should be taken of the reddi's failure to make good his promise. In any case the village magistrate neglected his duty and the Collector is not justified in deferring orders on the said neglect, pending the issue of orders by the railway authorities on the case of the stationmaster.

5. It remains to consider what definite instructions should be issued by the railway authorities to their subordinates for their guidance in future. It goes without saying that the railway subordinates should take prompt steps for the removal of dead bodies from the station premises. They should at once communicate the news of the death to the village magistrate, whose duty it then is to enquire the cause of death and to have the body buried or burnt, if there are no suspicious circumstances connected with the death. If the village magistrate fails to appear within a reasonable time (say twelve hours), the stationmaster or other railway officer in charge should take steps for the disposal of the corpse, the fact of such disposal being at once reported to the village magistrate. The nearest police officer should also be communicated with immediately after the death occurs and in any case before the body is buried or burnt, so that action may be stayed in the event of an inquest being considered desirable.

6. The delay of over five months that has occurred in the submission of the report is ascribed by the Collector to pressure of famine work. The report was only received after the issue of many reminders and of two telegrams. Mr. Tate reports that he has several other papers of a similar nature pending with him. The Board trusts that he will be able to dispose of them at an early date. The delay in dealing with the present case renders it impossible to recommend the adoption of severe measures towards either the village magistrate or the stationmaster.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. C. C. CARR,
Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with Collector's letter and enclosures.
" Collector of Cuddapah.

Order—No. 1002, Revenue, dated 30th November 1897.

Miscellaneous.

The Government concurs in the Board's remarks in paragraphs 3 and 4 of its Proceedings last read above.

2. With reference to paragraph 6 of the Proceedings it is observed that although Mr. Tate had full materials before him on the 18th April, he did not submit his report till the 25th August following. He has been frequently to blame for similar delays and his explanation that he had heavy work and worry in connection with famine is not satisfactory.

3. As regards the instructions to be issued for guidance in future under similar circumstances, His Excellency the Governor in Council is of opinion that generally, when a death occurs at a railway station and the body is unclaimed, the stationmaster who has the chief supervision of the premises and the village munsif whose duty it is to attend to the sanitation of the village are both concerned. The first thing is to remove the corpse from the premises of the railway and the stationmaster must see that this is done and done decently. The next thing is to have the corpse buried. This is not the duty, legal or otherwise, of the stationmaster, though, as in

the present case, circumstances may make it advisable that he should take action to that end himself. It is, however, incumbent upon him to inform the village magistrate that there is a corpse and also where it is, as also any other circumstances touching the death which it may be necessary to notice. The further responsibility as to the burial then rests with the village magistrate. When the death occurs from cholera in the middle of a cholera scare, the duties indicated above become more onerous and of more importance than in ordinary circumstances but are in no way altered in character.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) G. S. FORBES,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue).
Public Works Department.

" Copy to the Public Department.

G.O., No. 95, Revenue, dated 17th February 1898.

Read—again the following paper:—

G.O., No. 1002, Revenue, dated 30th November 1897.

ABSTRACT.—Passing orders on Board's Proceedings submitting to Government report on the disposal of a corpse at the Urampadu railway station in the Cuddapah district.

Read—also the following paper:—

From L. S. MOSS, Esq., Agent and Manager, Madras Railway Company, to the Consulting Engineer for Railways, dated Madras, 3rd January 1898, No. 9812-A/4.

I have the honour to point out that the following questions which are of practical importance are unanswered in the Government Proceedings, No. 1002, Revenue, of the 30th November last, forwarded with your endorsement, No. 1151-R., of the 17th ultimo:—

Are the station staff justified in burying a corpse that is left uncared for by the village authorities and before a police inquiry is held? If so, how long should the corpse be kept?

I shall be glad if you will obtain replies to these questions for me, so that the necessary instructions may be issued to the staff.

Memo. No. 2 R. M.

Transferred to the Revenue Department.

(Signed) F. D. FOWLER,
Under Secy. to Govt., Railway Branch.

MADRAS,
8th January 1898.

Order—No. 95, Revenue, dated 17th February 1898.

Miscellaneous.

The Government considers that the stationmaster should bury the corpse as soon as it becomes necessary on sanitary grounds to do so. In any case he need not keep it above ground more than 24 hours.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) G. S. FORBES,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Public Works Department (Railway).
Board of Revenue (Land Revenue).

" Copy to the Public Department.

Order—No. 340, Revenue, dated 11th June 1898.

Miscellaneous.

In continuation of the orders contained in the foregoing papers, His Excellency the Governor in Council resolves to direct that in the case of a person who has died, or is suspected to have died of plague, the corpse shall be wrapped in a cloth soaked in a strong solution of a suitable disinfectant and be buried six feet deep.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) A. S. RUSSELL,
for Secretary to Government.

To the Public Works Department (Railway).

„ Board of Revenue (Land Revenue).

Copy to the Public Department.

„ Local and Municipal Department,
with copies of G.Os., No. 1002, Revenue, dated 30th November 1897,
and No. 95, Revenue, dated 17th February 1898.

ORDER—No. 605 P., dated 24th June 1898.

Recorded.

(True Extract.)

for Secretary to Government.

To the Presidents of District Boards.

„ Chairmen of Municipal Councils.

„ President, Municipal Commission, Madras.

„ Sanitary Commissioner.

Government {1897} of Madras. REVENUE.

Recd.

Enclosures

1897.

Regd.

Spare copies

G.O., No. 1002, 30th November 1897.

NOVEMBER.

Disposal of a corpse at the Urampadu Railway Station.

Passing orders on Board's Proceedings submitting to Government report on the — in the Cuddapah district.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

READ—again G.O., Mis. No. 566, Revenue, dated 29th January 1897.

ABSTRACT.—Referring to the Board of Revenue for report letter from the Agent and Manager, Madras Railway Company, reporting the conduct of certain village officers at Urampádu in permitting a cholera corpse to lie just outside the station premises for three days.

READ—again G.O., R. No. 553, Revenue, dated 18th March 1897.

ABSTRACT.—Referring to the Board of Revenue correspondence from the Agent and Manager, Madras Railway, asking for the views of Government as to whether the duty of removing a corpse from the railway premises under circumstances stated rests with the Government officials or with the Railway Company.

READ—again G.O., R. No. 973, Revenue, dated 19th May 1897.

ABSTRACT.—Recording, with remark, Board's Proceedings communicating to the Collector of Cuddapah, with remarks, memorandum from Government calling for early submission of report on conduct of certain village officers in permitting a cholera corpse to lie just outside a railway station for three days.

READ—also the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue), Mis. No. 3338, dated 7th September 1897:—

Read—the following letter from A. C. TATE, Esq., Collector of Cuddapah, to the Secretary to the Commissioners of Land Revenue, dated 25th August 1897, No. 107-Tour:—

I have the honour to return the enclosures of Board's Proceedings, Mis. No. 487, Land Revenue, dated 8th February 1897, and to report about the disposal of the dead body of the Madras Railway Company's porter Chengalrayadu, who died on the Railway Company's premises at Urampádu station on 13th January 1897.

2. The first intimation I received of the matter was the following wire on 15th January 1897 from the Assistant Traffic Manager, Gooty:—"Porter died from cholera at Urampádu. Authorities advised to remove corpse. No action taken three days. Arrange." I instantly wired as follows to the Tahsildar of Rámpéta:—"Attend instantly to matter of railway porter's corpse, left unburied at Urampádu."

3. The Tahsildar's action thereon is indicated in his report, Ref. on Cur. No. 6374 of 1896, dated 16th January 1897, written from Lebaka, where he was in camp when he got my wire; before he reached Urampádu the body had been buried by Dr. Thummon Singh, Assistant Surgeon, who had proceeded from Cuddapah for the purpose.

4. I wrote my letter of 18th January, Dis. No. 54-Magl. (one of the returned enclosures), to the Assistant Traffic Manager at the instance of the District Medical and Sanitary officer and I note that the Traffic Manager's letter in which he suggested that the matter should be brought to the notice of Government is dated 19th January. The stationmaster of Cuddapah had written me on 16th January as follows:—"I have been directed by the Divisional officer to inform you that the body of the porter who died of cholera at Urampádu had since been removed" and I infer that the renewal of the correspondence by the Madras Railway authorities is traceable to my having pointed out the fact that it was their own servant, the stationmaster, who was to blame in the matter.

5. Until I received Board's Proceedings, Mis. No. 1138, dated 25th March 1897, I was expecting a further reply from the Railway Company whose Assistant Traffic Manager informed me in his letter of 4th April 1897, No. S. 150, that he was awaiting final instructions from the Agent and Manager, but on receipt of that order of

the Board I called for a full and detailed report on the Board's order from the Tahsildar of Pullampet in my Ref. on Cur. No. 62-Magl., dated 1st April 1897, and the Tahsildar replied in his Ref. on Cur. No. 6374 of 1896, dated 17th April 1897, from which the Board will observe that cholera had established a regular "reign of terror" in Pullampet taluk at the period alluded to. There were two hospital assistants on cholera duty in the taluk at the time, and the Railway Company has also its own hospital assistant. As regards the disposal of the dead body of the railway porter Chengalrayadu, who died on the Railway Company's premises, I consider that it was the duty of the Railway Company to have seen to its disposal, however unpleasant and troublesome the duty might have been. I agree with the Pullampet Tahsildar's remarks contained in his arzi of 17th April, and do not see any necessity to deal severely with the reddy in this matter. He was no doubt terribly frightened like every one else, and the duty of disposing of the corpse of their servant lay on the Railway Company. The village magistrate has active enemies who have been trying to bring him to grief, and in this present matter I think the railway stationmaster should be dealt with before the propriety of punishing him is considered.

6. I must apologise for the delay in sending this report, and can only plead in excuse heavy work and worry in connection with famine operations. I have five or six other old references pending and shall try and dispose of them without further delay.

Resolution—Mis. No. 3338, dated 7th September 1897.

The report read above will be submitted to Government with reference to its orders, Mis. No. 566, dated 29th January 1897, and No. 553-R., dated 18th March 1897.

2. One Chengalrayadu, a porter in the service of the Madras Railway Company, died of cholera in the premises of the Urampádu railway station on the 13th January last. The remains were not buried until the evening of the 16th idem, in spite of repeated applications made to the village officers for assistance. During the interval the body was lying outside the Company's premises quite uncared for, being mutilated by birds and beasts. The stationmaster having reported the matter to the District Medical officer, the Assistant Surgeon arrived on the 16th, and had the body buried with the help of the reddy of the village. The Collector received the first intimation of the matter on the 15th January, and at once wired instructions direct to the Tahsildar, but that officer being then in camp, was able to reach Urampádu only on the morning of the 17th by which time matters had been set right.

3. The first question for consideration in this case is, who was responsible for the inordinate delay in the disposal of the dead body. A question of somewhat greater importance is raised by the Agent and Manager, Madras Railway, viz., what action should be taken in future under similar circumstances, and by whom. As regards the first question, the Board agrees with the opinion of the District Medical and Sanitary officer which is concurred in by the Traffic Manager (*vide* conclusion of Agent's letter, dated 10th March 1897, to the address of the Consulting Engineer for Railways). The burial of the body was a public duty which devolved upon the village officers, but when it was found that the Government and Railway servants in the village were all thoroughly demoralized by fear and had disappeared from the village, the stationmaster should have wired to the nearest railway station for scavengers to dispose of the body. It may, however, be noted that the Assistant Surgeon was eventually able to obtain the reddy's assistance for the burial of the corpse.

4. As regards the conduct of the village magistrate, if it is a fact, as stated by the stationmaster (*vide* opening paragraph of the Agent's letter already quoted), that the village reddy intimated to the stationmaster first by word of mouth on the 13th, and then by letter on the 14th January, that he would take steps to have the body buried, the Board considers that suitable notice should be taken of the reddy's failure to make good his promise. In any case the village magistrate neglected his duty, and the Collector is not justified in deferring orders on the said neglect, pending the issue of orders by the railway authorities on the case of the stationmaster.

5. It remains to consider what definite instructions should be issued by the railway authorities to their subordinates for their guidance in future. It goes without

saying that the railway subordinates should take prompt steps for the removal of dead bodies from the station premises. They should at once communicate the news of the death to the village magistrate, whose duty it then is to enquire the cause of death and to have the body buried or burnt, if there are no suspicious circumstances connected with the death. If the village magistrate fails to appear within a reasonable time (say twelve hours), the stationmaster or other railway officer in charge should take steps for the disposal of the corpse, the fact of such disposal being at once reported to the village magistrate. The nearest police officer should also be communicated with immediately after the death occurs and in any case before the body is buried or burnt, so that action may be stayed in the event of an inquest being considered desirable.

6. The delay of over five months that has occurred in the submission of the report is ascribed by the Collector to pressure of famine work. The report was only received after the issue of many reminders and of two telegrams. Mr. Tate reports that he has several other papers of a similar nature pending with him. The Board trusts that he will be able to dispose of them at an early date. The delay in dealing with the present case renders it impossible to recommend the adoption of severe measures towards either the village magistrate or the stationmaster.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. C. C. CARR,
Secretary.

To the Secretary to Government, Revenue Department,
with Collector's letter and enclosures.
„ Collector of Cuddapah.

ORDER—No. 1002, Revenue, dated 30th November 1897.

Miscellaneous.

The Government concurs in the Board's remarks in paragraphs 3 and 4 of its Proceedings last read above.

2. With reference to paragraph 6 of the Proceedings it is observed that although Mr. Tate had full materials before him on the 18th April, he did not submit his report till the 25th August following. He has been frequently to blame for similar delays and his explanation that he had heavy work and worry in connection with famine is not satisfactory.

3. As regards the instructions to be issued for guidance in future under similar circumstances, His Excellency the Governor in Council is of opinion that generally, when a death occurs at a railway station and the body is unclaimed, the stationmaster who has the chief supervision of the premises and the village munsif whose duty it is to attend to the sanitation of the village are both concerned. The first thing is to remove the corpse from the premises of the railway and the stationmaster must see that this is done and done decently. The next thing is to have the corpse buried. This is not the duty, legal or otherwise, of the stationmaster, though, as in the present case, circumstances may make it advisable that he should take action to that end himself. It is, however, incumbent upon him to inform the village magistrate that there is a corpse and also where it is, as also any other circumstances touching the death which it may be necessary to notice. The further responsibility as to the burial then rests with the village magistrate. When the death occurs from cholera in the middle of a cholera scare, the duties indicated above become more onerous and of more importance than in ordinary circumstances but are in no way altered in character.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) G. S. FORBES,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue).
„ the Public Works Department.

Copy to the Public Department.

Exd. A. F. Eccleston

50 Copies.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

READ—again G.O., No. 1002, Revenue, dated 30th November 1897.

ABSTRACT.—Passing orders on Board's Proceedings submitting to Government report on the disposal of a corpse at the Urampadu Railway station in the Cuddapah district.

READ—the following letter from L. S. MOSS, Esq., Agent and Manager, Madras Railway Company, to the Consulting Engineer for Railways, dated Madras, 3rd January 1898, No. 9812-A./4:—

I have the honour to point out that the following questions which are of practical importance are unanswered in the Government Proceedings, No. 1002, Revenue, of the 30th November last, forwarded with your endorsement, No. 1151-R., of the 17th ultimo:—

Are the station staff justified in burying a corpse that is left uncared for by the village authorities and before a police enquiry is held? If so, how long should the corpse be kept?

I shall be glad if you will obtain replies to these questions for me, so that the necessary instructions may be issued to the staff.

Memo., No. 2 R. M.

Transferred to the Revenue Department.

MADRAS,
8th January 1898.

(Signed) F. D. FOWLER,
Under Secy. to Govt., Railway Branch.

ORDER—No. 95, Revenue, dated 17th February 1898.

Miscellaneous.

The Government considers that the stationmaster should bury the corpse as soon as it becomes necessary on sanitary grounds to do so. In any case he need not keep it above ground more than 24 hours.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) G. S. FORBES,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Public Works Department (Railway).
„ the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue).
Copy to the Public Department.

Exd. Shaik Karim.

60 Copies.

Government (1898) of Madras. REVENUE.

*Not Standy
ds
28/4/98*

Recd. } 1898. Enclosures
Regd. } Spare copies

G.O., No. 95, 17th February 1898.

FEBRUARY.

Disposal of Corpses.

Stating that the stationmaster should bury any corpse left uncared for in the railway station premises, as soon as it becomes necessary on sanitary grounds to do so, and that in any case he need not keep it above ground more than 24 hours.

2

No. 340, REVENUE, 11th JUNE 1898.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

READ—again G.O., No. 1002, Revenue, dated 30th November 1897.

ABSTRACT.—Passing orders on Board's Proceedings submitting to Government report on the disposal of a corpse at the Orampad Railway station in the Cuddapah district.

READ—again G.O., No. 95, Revenue, dated 17th February 1898.

ABSTRACT.—Stating that in the disposal of corpses stationmaster should cause to be buried any corpse left uncared for in the railway station premises, as soon as it becomes necessary on sanitary grounds to do so, and that in any case he need not keep it above ground more than 24 hours.

ORDER—No. 340, Revenue, dated 11th June 1898.

Miscellaneous.

In continuation of the orders contained in the foregoing papers, His Excellency the Governor in Council resolves to direct that in the case of a person who has died, or is suspected to have died of plague, the corpse shall be wrapped in a cloth soaked in a strong solution of a suitable disinfectant and be buried six feet deep.

(True Extract.)

A. K. M. S.
for Secretary to Government.

To the Public Works Department (Railway).
" the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue).

Copy to the Public Department.

" the Local and Municipal Department,
with copies of G.Os., No. 1002, Revenue, dated 30th November 1897,
and No. 95, Revenue, dated 17th February 1898.

Exd. Shaik Karim.

60 Copies.

C No 934 P

Government (1898) of Madras.
REVENUE.

Recd. } 1898. Enclosures
Regd. } Spare copies *4*

G.O., No. 340, 11th June 1898.

JUNE.

order 18/6/98
To The Presidents of St. Boards
a Chairman of Municipal Councils
a President M.C. Madras
a Sanitary Commissioner
a Municipal Council
24/6/98

Disposal of Corpses.

Directing that in the case of a person who has died, or is suspected to have died of plague, the corpse shall be wrapped in a cloth soaked in a strong solution of a suitable disinfectant and be buried six feet deep.

First copy sent to Press. *27-6-98*
Returned for signature. *12-7-98*
Despatched in print. *13-7-98*

READ AGAIN G.O. No. 189

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED IF BEING KEPT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.

Press

Please print all the three orders as shown in pencil in C No. 934 P

580
Order complete. *570*
Letter only.
Order complete with Letter.