26-9-11.
1-8-13

[L. & M. / 3,000—21 [illegible]]

Local & Munl. (1898) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 48 L&Mis

DATED 10-1-98

INDEXED.

DESPATCHED

[Despatch Abstract.]

Administration Report – Recording with remarks explanation of points noticed in the – of South Arcot Dt. Bd. for 1896-97

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Local & Munl. (189) Department.

CURRENT No. 3080 L

From The President D. B.
S. Arcot

No.

Dated 18. 11. 97

Received 20. 11. 97

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies.

SUBJECT.

Submitting reply to
D.M. 984 d/ 20. 9. 97

~~[illegible]~~ S. Arct D. B.
Report 96-97

N./Mis. **Order.** No. 48 Lms d/ 10-1-98

Recorded, the necessary corrections having been made.

2. The district map will be forwarded to the Superintendent of Revenue Survey in continuation of G. O. No. 1781 L. dated 16th September 1897.

H T 10/1/98.

V. R.
6/1/98

To
The Superintendent of Revenue Survey, Madras
with a map. Q & Co (paras 2 only)

Ord. 11/1/98

26/11/97

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Ref on Govt Re. No $\frac{149}{5B}$ of 97

Reply to Memorandum

No.

Remarks.

x 1 "From columns 8 and 10 of Statement I and column 6 "of Statement VI-A, it appears that Rs 420 were realized as "interest on the amount invested in the Savings Bank in favour of "the Ellis' choultry, but there is no entry against this institution in column 5 "of Statement VI".

x 2. "The total expenditure on Secondary Schools, as shown in "columns 9 & 10 of Statement I, amounts to Rs 8771, but "according to column 20 of Statement IX it was Rs 8338"

7 "From the abstract appended to Statement III it appears "that the total Public works expenditure on choultries and "bungalows in 1896-97 was Rs 2585.3.6 and Rs 941.13.5 "respectively, but the corresponding figures shown in column 12 "of Statement XI are Rs 346.11.4 and Rs 1081.5.8"

x 13 "In the Statement showing the working of Secondary "Schools their strength is given as 395, but according to "column 6 of Statement IX it was 343"

x 15 "According to Column 6 of Statement XVI B the "number of official members on the Union Panchayats of Porto Novo, Panruti and Nellikuppam "at the commencement of the year was 2, 1 and 1

The omission will be avoided in future.

By an oversight the cost (Rs 432.8.0) of the Lower Primary Department of the Board Middle School at Panruti was not included in the latter statement.

Revised statement XI and revised abstract of Statement III are herewith submitted. It has now been ascertained from a reference to the District Board Engineer's Office registers that certain works were erroneously classified in the statement submitted by that office

By an oversight the strength (52) of the Lower Primary Department of the Board Middle School at Panruti was not included in the latter statement. The following figures appertain to the Lower Primary Dept of the School :– Statement IX

Column 6	do 8	do 9	do 11	do 13	do 14	do 18
52.	- 57	Rs 48.5.0	Rs 285.12.6..	Rs 334.1.6.	Rs 328.0.0	Rs 32.8.0.

Col 19 - Rs 72.0.0; Col 20 :- Rs 432.8.0. Col 21 - Rs 98.6.6. Col 22: Rs 1.11.7.

The figures given in column 12 of the Statement for 1895-96 are correct. It has now been ascertained that the vacancies which occurred during that year but were filled after its close and before the "respectively, but according to column 12 of the same "statement, submitted with the report for 1895-96, the cor-"responding figures at the end of that year were 4, [illegible] and 2."

16 "In column 5 of the same statement the number of other "members at the commencement of the year on the Union "Panchayets of Tindivanam and Villupuram are given as "8 & 7 respectively. But according to column 13 of that same "statement, submitted with the report for 1895-96, "the corresponding figures at the end of that year "were 7 and 8"

20 "In para 52 the total expenditure on Public Works "is given as 234614, but according to the details "under Grant I in Statement I it was Rs 235437"

22 "In para 61 the strength of the Board Primary "Schools on the 31st March 1897 is given as 8587 "whereas according to columns 6 and 7 of Statement IX "it was 8525"

24 "In para 3 of the District Medical Officer's report "the number of non-Union villages concerned "is given as 12 ~~but~~ but according to column 20 of Statement "XIV it was 15"

annual report was submitted were by mistake included among those ~~included~~ filled within that year. It is requested that in Statement XVI A the figures in columns 11 & 12 against the Porto Novo Union may be corrected from 5 and 5 into 4 and 6 respectively and the grand totals in these columns from 64 and 107 into 63 and 108.

The figures given in the Statement for 1895-96 are correct. It has now been ascertained that in the case of the Tindivanam Union a non official membership which was vacant at the end of 1895-96 ~~and~~ which was filled in the year 1896-97 was by mistake included among the vacancies filled in the former year. In the case of the Villupuram Union the error is purely a clerical error.

The latter is the correct figure. In the former the expenditure by the Public Works Department in March was by oversight not included.

The figure 8587 was adopted from the Assistant Inspector's Statement No I. This figure may be adopted as correct. As Statement IX was received from some of the Taluq Boards later than usual this discrepancy was not noticed prior to the submission of the consolidated statement from this office. Care will be taken to avoid such mistakes in future.

The latter is the correct figure.

25. "In Para 4 of the same report the average cost of "each successful case of vaccination is given as Annas 3-2, "but according to column 20 of Statement XIII it was "Annas 3-5."

26 "In Para 5 (a) the amount realized by the sale of "medicines is given as Rs 22, but in columns 8 & 9 "of Statement I it is ~~given as~~ shown as Rs 20"

27 "In clause f of Para 5 the number of patients "treated in the Tiruvannamalai hospital in 1895-96 "is given as 8626, whereas according to Statement III "submitted with the report for that year it was "8553"

8. "No explanation has been furnished ~~for~~ in "the last column of Statement VI-A as to why no "interest was drawn from the investments in the "Savings Bank on account of the Pavurato Yaru "Danadhi and Manalurpet choultries"

9 "The particulars of the years to which the arrears "shown in column 10 of Statement VII relate have "not been furnished."

Reply to Remark No 12:

12 The numbers of students who appeared for and passed the several standard Examinations are given below:—

	Presented	Passed	
Lower Secondary	29	16	Figures as regards girls have not been given in some of the Taluk Board statements
Infant Standard	1756	1101	
Ist do	1374	1117	
IInd do	1119	891	
IIIrd do	973	716	
IVth do	547	409	

The latter is the correct figure. Such discrepancies will be carefully detected and corrected in future before the submission of the District Medical Officer's report to Government.

The latter is the correct figure.

The latter figure is ascertained to be correct.

The Taluk Board Presidents concerned have been requested to draw the interest and see that in future interest is drawn within the year in which it falls due.

The particulars called for are given below as regards tolls. As regards the other items the matter is under correspondence between the Local Audit Department and the Tahsildars. As soon as correct particulars are received the Local Boards concerned will be requested to take early steps to collect the arrears and to write off irrecoverable items.

Tolls — 91-92 — Rs 12-1-0; 93-94 — Rs 121-10-8; 94-95 — Rs 952-14-4 (Suits have been ordered to be filed in all the cases).

No 98

17 " The statement showing the proportion of the "General Local Fund receipts spent on the General "services has not been submitted".

18 " The map of the District called for in G.O. No. 1128 "dated 19th January 1897 has not been submitted".

Here

21 " In para 58 the number of villages visited by "the Inspecting Schoolmasters and of the Local Fund "and other Schools prepared by them for examination "has not been given".

The particulars required are given below:—

	No. of villages visited.	No. of Schools prepared for examination Local Fund.	Other.
Inspecting Schoolmaster, Tindivanam	250	14	87
Do, Villupuram	304	15	94
Do, Tiruvannamalai	298	10	74
Do, Chidambaram	175	25	70
Do, Vriddhachalam	296	26	70
Do, Cuddalore	210	23	58
Do, Tirukoilur	202	48	188
Do, Kallakurichi	212	22	106
Total	1947	183	747

28 " In the Assistant Inspector's letter the number+ of "students that passed the Primary Examination "from among those trained during the year in "the East Sessional School has not been given".

The information is daily expected. It will be communicated as soon as it is received. (Since received).

+ The number is 24. (As regards the West Sessional Schools, the Assistant Inspector's revised returns is herewith submitted).

The errors, &c, noticed by Government will be carefully avoided in future.

Cuddalore
18th November 1897

Vice President
for President Dt. Bd.

T.E.

To The Secretary to Government, Local & Mpl. Depty, Madras, with refce to Memorandum No. 984 of 20-9-97

Revised return of Sessional schools for 1896-97.

No 784 dated 20th September 1897.

Reply

subjects appears ... passed in two
optional subjects during 1896-97

Revised return of Sessional schools for 1896-97

Centre	Period of work	No. of students trained	No. presented for the Primary Examination	No. passed in Compulsory subjects	No. passed in Compulsory subjects and one optional subject	No. passed for certificates	Remarks
Velliankuppam.	1st April 96 to 31 July 96	16	13	-	1	10	Two candidates of the Sessional schools of 95-96 who had passed in compulsory subjects appeared and passed in two optional subjects during 1896-97
Mannargudi	1st August 96 to 30 November 96	16	11	-	-	10	
Mangalur	1st December 96 to 31 March 97	5	not sent up for Primary Examination				

Vellore
7th September 97

VN
7/9.

C. Ekosnumy
Ag. Asst. Inspr. of Schools
Arcot Division

Revised return of
Sessional Schools
1896-97
South Arcot District.

Explanation asked for from the Sub-Assistant, Chidambaram for the very small number represented from the school to have been presented and passed in his former return. From the revised return you will be pleased to observe that out of 37 trained as many as 24 appeared and not 10 as stated in my former number quoted above and 21 passed a good result.

2. The Sub-Assistant Inspector had no doubt to make reference to several offices to obtain full particulars on the subject and it was some time before he could obtain them. He should however have been more careful at the outset and should not have given inaccurate figures. Due notice has been taken of this.

I have the honor to be
your most obdt. servant

Ag. Asst. Inspector of Schools
Arcot Dn

2x
1/9.

[illegible] 1048
[illegible]

Educational Department.

COLLECTOR'S OFFICE
SOUTH ARCOT

From

THE ASSISTANT INSPECTOR OF SCHOOLS,
Arcot Division.

To

The President
District Board
South Arcot

Station Vellore
Dated 7 } September 1897.
Despatched 8 }

No. 2158. 8

ENCLOSURES.

Subject.

Sir,

I forwarding herewith revised return of the numbers presented and passed from the West Sessional School during 1896-97, in continuation of my letter No. $\frac{\text{tk } 195}{12\text{-}7\text{-}97}$ I have the honor to inform you that this is the outcome of the

---

Abstract of Expenditure incurred on Civil buildings & Miscellaneous Public Improvements during 1896-97 appended to Statement III.

No.	Nature of work	New works			Repairs			Total			Remarks
1	Schools	253	—		1051	15	3	1304	15	3	
2	Hospitals & Dispensaries	3399	2	6	3170	3	10	6569	6	4	
3	Choultries	3	7	9	1155	2	8	1158 ×	10	5	× Includes Rs 811-15-1 being the cost of repairing Kyle's choultry.
4	Bungalows	63	—		1018	5	8	1081	5	8	
5	Office buildings	—	—		20	—		20	—		
6	Miscellaneous	161	10		140	7	11	302	1	11	
	Total	3880	4	3	6556	3	4	10436	7	7	

Miscellaneous Public Improvements.

No.	Nature of work	New works			Repairs			Total			Remarks
1	Markets	—	—		—	—		—	—		
2	Tanks & wells	274 (a)	—		829	6	2	1103	6	2	(a) Portion of cost of digging a square well at Eraiyur, Tirukoilur Tq.
3	Sanitary works	771	5	7	1642	7	4	2413 (b)	12	11.	(b) mainly represents improvements made in Unions.
4	Chettram Irrigation works	—	—		—	—		—	—		
5	Avenues	55	14	9	1551	13	8	1607	12	5	
6	Miscellaneous	—	—		118 (c)	13	4	118	13	4	(c) Maintenance of Flood Embankment at Tiruppapuliyur.
	Total	1101	4	4	4142	8	6	5243	12	10	

Cuddalore
18 November 1897 }

[illegible]
Vice President
for President D. Bd.

M.C.
T. G.

Points noticed in the S. Arcot Dist Bd Report for 1896-97

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

C No 1861 L

Memorandum.

Dated the 20-9- 1897.

No. 984

The following points are noticed in the President's and the District Medical Officer's reports and in the statements submitted with the former:-

(1) From columns 8 and 10 of statement I , and column 6 of statement VI-A, it appears that a sum of Rs.420 was realized as interest on the amount invested in the savings bank in favour of the Ellis choultry; but there is no entry against this institution in column 5 of statement VI.

(2) The total expenditure on secondary schools, as shown in columns 9 & 10 of statement I , amounts to Rs.8,771; but according to column 20 of statement IX it was Rs.8,358.

(3) The actual closing balance shown in columns 2 to 4 of the same statement (I) , has not been distributed among the several funds.

(4) In columns 5 to 7 of the same statement, the estimated closing balance as distributed among the several funds, has not been shown.

(5) In columns 8 and 10 of the same statement, the closing balance in favour of the two markets under the control of the union panchayats should have been excluded from the balance under unions and included

Revised

XI—Statement showing the Receipts and Charges, &c, of the Choultries and Bungalows in the District of South Arcot for the Official year 1896-97.

No.	Name.	Number of travellers halted.	Class of Bungalow and rate of fees collected.	Receipts. Fees from travellers.	Receipts. Other receipts.	Receipts. Total.	Number of travellers fed.	Charges. Cost of feedings.	Charges. Establishment charges.	Charges. Contingent charges.	Charges. Public Works expenditure.	Charges. Total	Remarks.
	Choultries.												
	Chidambaram Taluk Board												
2	Mr. Hyde's Choultry				904.15.1	904.15.1	"	.	84.-.-	9.-.-	811.15.1	904.15.1	
	Total	"	.	.	2110.2.7	2110.2.7	"	.	173.10.2	47.7.4	1115.2.8	1336.4.2	
	Total Choultries.	.	"	"	4739.13.-	4739.13.-	293	37.7.-	806.-.2	201.9.2	1158.10.5	2203.10.9	
	Grand Total Choultries & Bungalows	"	.	556.1.8	4757.1.6	5313.3.2	293	37.7.-	1510.5.7	344.6.9	2240.-.1	4132.3.5	

Cuddalore
18th November 97

Vice President
for President D.B.

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
20. NOV. 1897
LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT

Points noticed in the S. Arcot Dist Bd Report for 1896-97

28

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

C No. 1861 L

Memorandum.

Dated the 20. 9. 1897.

No. 984

The following points are noticed in the President's and the District Medical Officer's reports and in the statements submitted with the former:-

(1) From columns 8 and 10 of statement I, and column 6 of statement V1-A, it appears that a sum of Rs.420 was realized as interest on the amount invested in the savings bank in favour of the Ellis choultry; but there is no entry against this institution in column 5 of statement V1.

√d

(2) The total expenditure on secondary schools, as shown in columns 9 & 10 of statement I, amounts to Rs.8,771; but according to column 20 of statement 1X it was Rs.8,358.

√d

(3) The actual closing balance shown in columns 2 to 4 of the same statement (I), has not been distributed among the several funds.

(4) In columns 5 to 7 of the same statement, the estimated closing balance as distributed among the several funds, has not been shown.

(5) In columns 8 and 10 of the same statement, the closing balance in favour of the two markets under the control of the union panchayats should have been excluded from the balance under unions and included

included in that under endowments.

(6) In column 8 of the same statement, the closing balance in favour of the endowed institutions under "Taluks" is given as Rs.2920 instead of Rs.2987, according to column 20 of statement V1.

(7) From the abstract appended to statement III, it appears that the total public works expenditure on choultries and bungalows in 1896-97 was Rs.2585-3-6 and Rs.941-13-5 respectively; but the corresponding figures, shown in column 12 of statement X1, are Rs.346-11-4 and Rs.1081-5-8.

(8) No explanation has been furnished in the last column of statement V1-A as to why no interest was drawn from the investments in the savings bank on account of the Panruti, Garudhanadhi and Manalurpet choultries.

(9) The particulars of the years to which the arrears shown in column 10 of statement V111 relate have not been furnished.

(10) In columns 8 and 22 respectively of statement 1X, the total average attendance and the total average net cost per pupil in the several schools and departments have not been given.

(11) In the last column of the same statement, the actual number of the several kinds of schools has not been given.

(12) In the note to the same statement the numbers of pupils who appeared for and passed the sevarl standard examinations have not been given.

(13) In the statement showing the working of the secondary schools, their strength is given as 395, but according to column 6 of statement 1X it was 343.

L

(14) The opening balances in favour of the endowed choultries should not have been included under "other receipts", shown in column 6 of statement X1.

(15) According to column 4 of statement XV1-B, the number of official members on the union panchayats of Porto Novo, Panruti and Nellikuppam at the commencement of the year was 2,1 & 1, respectively, but according to column 12 of the same statement, submitted with the report for 1895-96 the corresponding figures at the end of that year were 1, nil and 2.

(16) In column 5 of the same statement, the number of "other members" at the commencement of the year on the union panchayats of Tindivanam, Villupuram ~~and Nellikuppam~~ are given as 8,7 ~~& 8~~ respectively, but according to column 13 of the same statement, submitted with the report for 1895-96, the corresponding figures at the end of that year were 7,8 ~~and 7~~.

(17) The statement showing the proportion of the general local fund receipts spent on the several services has not been submitted.

Since received.

E

(18) The map of the district, called for in G.O.No.112 L. dated 19th January 1897, has not been submitted.

(19) In the circumstances stated in para 48 of the President' report, the sum of Rs.2,815, being the closing balance of the late Tiruvannamalai Union erroneously taken credit for by the municipal council of that town and subsequently refunded by it, should have been expunged from both sides of the local fund accounts.

(20) In para.52, the total expenditure on

on public works is given as Rs.23 4614; but according to the details under Grant 1 in statement I, it was Rs.2,35,437.

(21) In para.58 the number of villages visited by the inspecting schools masters and of the local fund and other schools prepared by them for examination have not been given.

(22) In para 61 the strength of the Board primary schools on the 31st March 1897 is given as 8587, whereas accordingto coulmns 6 and 7 of statement 1X it was 8525.

(23) In para.63, the number and attendance of the schools which were not aided from local funds should not have been included.

(24) In para 3 of the District Medical Officer's report, the ~~[illegible]~~-number of non-union villages conserved is given as 12; but according to column 20 of statement XIV, it was ~~20~~ 15.

(25) In para.4 of the same report, the average average cost of each successful case of vaccination is given as As.3-2, but according to coulmn 20 of statement X111 it was As.3-5.

(26) In para.5(e), the amount realized by the sale of medicines is given as Rs.~~2-2~~ 22; but in columns 8 & 10 of statement I it is shown as Rs.20.

(27) In clause (f) of para.5, the number of patients treated in the Tiruvannamalai hospital in 1895-96 is given as 8,626, whereas according to statement X11 submitted with the report for that year it was 8553.

(28) In the Assistant Inspector's letter, the number of students that passed the Primary examination from among those that were trained during the year in the East Sessional School has not been given.

The necessary corrections have been made with reference to clauses ~~(19)~~ (3),(5),(6),(10) and (19) above. The discrepancies noticed in clauses

(1),

⊗ H

---

(1),(2),(7),(13),(15),(16),(20),(22), and (24) to (27) should be explained and the omissions pointed out in clauses (8) (9),(12),(17),(18) ~~and~~ (21) & (28) should be supplied as soon as possible.

V.R.
17/9/97

To

The Prest. dt. Bd., South Arcot. Home

Despd. 27/9/97

When given for copying
When copied 10/7/98
When examined
When signed 10/7
189 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. , DATED
189

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. .. A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.