

Local & Munl. (1897) Department.

*Printed in
Munl. office
13074/97*

PRINTED.

G.O., No. 1014 M

DATED 30-4-97

DESTROYED

[Despatch Abstract.]

Medical Inspection of Ships According
to Marine, considering that a vessel
which has obtained pratique at
any port of the Madras Presidency
is not liable to further inspection
during the same voyage, under
certain circumstances.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Government { 1897 } of Madras. LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL.

Recd.

Enclosures

Regd.

1897.

Spare copies

G.O., No. 1014 M., 30th April 1897.

APRIL.

Medical Inspection of Ships.

Recording Government Order, Marine, considering that a vessel which has obtained pratique at any port of the Madras Presidency is not liable to further inspection during the same voyage under certain circumstances.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following paper:—

G.O., No. 327, Marine, dated 3rd April 1897.

Read—the following paper:—

From H. D. TAYLOR, Esq., I.C.S., Acting Collector of Ganjám, to the Secretary to Government, Marine Department, dated Chatrapur, 22nd March 1897, Ref. No. 495-Eng.

I have the honour to forward, for orders, reference from the Port Officer, Gopalpur, inquiring whether it is necessary under Government notification, No. 51, dated 2nd March 1897, for the Health Officer to visit every vessel that touches at that port, whether it has arrived from an affected port direct or touched at others en route.

2. Rule I of the Quarantine rules published in *Fort St. George Gazette* (No. 30, dated 16th February 1897) states that vessels arriving from Bombay, Karachi or Goa shall indicate their arrival by signal. The question is whether, after having touched at Madras and obtained pratique, such vessels are again compelled to notify their arrival by signal at subsequent ports.

3. Under rule 6 of G.O., No. 162, Marine, dated 26th February 1897, it is prescribed in regard to vessels sailing from Madras ports to Rangoon that, when a vessel has received a health certificate at Madras and all passengers at subsequent ports of call have been embarked after due examination by the officer prescribed in G.O., No. 182, Marine, dated 2nd March 1897, no further detailed inspection of the vessel by the Health Officer is necessary.

4. On this analogy it would seem that vessels, wherever bound, from Goa, Bombay, Karachi, after touching at Madras or other Coast ports and having received a health certificate, need not be re-inspected by the Health Officer at a subsequent port of call.

5. I request instructions as to whether my interpretation of the rule is correct.

ENCLOSURE.

From W. S. CARLYON, Esq., Port Officer, Gopalpur, to the Collector of Ganjám, dated 15th March 1897, No. 17-96 H.

With reference to your endorsement, No. 453-Eng., dated 12th March 1897, I have the honour to state that the notification of Government, No. 51, dated 2nd March 1897, leaves it a doubtful matter in my mind as to whether the Health Officer of the port is to proceed alongside each and every vessel which arrives here direct from ports declared to be affected or whether he should go off to vessels which have touched at ports on the East Coast (including Madras) since leaving the affected ports.

2. Under section 6 of the Indian Ports Act X of 1889, every Commander of a vessel which arrives at a port with a case or cases of epidemic disease on board is bound to intimate the same by signal, and it appears to me unnecessary for the only medical man in the place to go alongside a vessel, which has run the gauntlet of several East Coast ports, in order to make inquiries of the Commander concerning a matter which would be signalled by him were it not satisfactory.

Order—No. 327, Marine, dated 3rd April 1897.

His Excellency the Governor in Council is of opinion that a vessel which has obtained pratique at any port of the Madras Presidency is not liable to further inspection during the same voyage, provided there has been no case of plague on board meanwhile, or the ship has not subsequently touched at any port which has been declared infected or taken up passengers at other than inspection ports.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) D. McNEIL CAMPBELL, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Government, P.W.D.

To the Presidency Port Officer.

„ the Surgeon-General.
„ Collectors of Maritime Districts.
„ the Local and Municipal Department.
„ the Public Department.

ORDER—No. 1014 M., dated 30th April 1897.

Recorded.

(True Extract.)

G. S. FORBES,
Secretary to Government.

Copy to the President, Municipal Commission, Madras.

„ Chairman, Municipal Council, Bimlipatam.
„ Vizagapatam.
„ Cocanada.
„ Masulipatam.
„ Cuddalore.
„ Negapatam.
„ Tuticorin.
„ Calicut.
„ Cochin.
„ Tellicherry.
„ Cannanore.
„ Mangalore.

Exd. A Raitt.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following paper:—

G.O., No. 327, Marine, dated 3rd April 1897.

Read—the following paper:—

From H. D. TAYLOR, Esq., I.C.S., Acting Collector of Ganjám, to the Secretary to Government, Marine Department, dated Chatrapur, 22nd March 1897, Ref. No. 495-Eng.

I have the honour to forward, for orders, reference from the Port Officer, Gopalpur, inquiring whether it is necessary under Government notification, No. 51, dated 2nd March 1897, for the Health Officer to visit every vessel that touches at that port, whether it has arrived from an affected port direct or touched at others en route.

2. Rule I of the Quarantine rules published in *Fort St. George Gazette* (No. 30, dated 16th February 1897) states that vessels arriving from Bombay, Karachi or Goa shall indicate their arrival by signal. The question is whether, after having touched at Madras and obtained pratique, such vessels are again compelled to notify their arrival by signal at subsequent ports.

3. Under rule 6 of G.O., No. 162, Marine, dated 26th February 1897, it is prescribed in regard to vessels sailing from Madras ports to Rangoon that, when a vessel has received a health certificate at Madras and all passengers at subsequent ports of call have been embarked after due examination by the officer prescribed in G.O., No. 182, Marine, dated 2nd March 1897, no further detailed inspection of the vessel by the Health Officer is necessary.

4. On this analogy it would seem that vessels, wherever bound, from Goa, Bombay, Karachi, after touching at Madras or other Coast ports and having received a health certificate, need not be re-inspected by the Health Officer at a subsequent port of call.

5. I request instructions as to whether my interpretation of the rule is correct.

ENCLOSURE.

From W. S. CARLYON, Esq., Port Officer, Gopalpur, to the Collector of Ganjám, dated 15th March 1897, No. 17-96 H.

With reference to your endorsement, No. 453-Eng., dated 12th March 1897, I have the honour to state that the notification of Government, No. 51, dated 2nd March 1897, leaves it a doubtful matter in my mind as to whether the Health Officer of the port is to proceed alongside each and every vessel which arrives here direct from ports declared to be affected or whether he should go off to vessels which have touched at ports on the East Coast (including Madras) since leaving the affected ports.

2. Under section 6 of the Indian Ports Act X of 1889, every Commander of a vessel which arrives at a port with a case or cases of epidemic disease on board is bound to intimate the same by signal, and it appears to me unnecessary for the only medical man in the place to go alongside a vessel, which has run the gauntlet of several East Coast ports, in order to make inquiries of the Commander concerning a matter which would be signalled by him were it not satisfactory.

Order—No. 327, Marine, dated 3rd April 1897.

His Excellency the Governor in Council is of opinion that a vessel which has obtained pratique at any port of the Madras Presidency is not liable to further inspection during the same voyage, provided there has been no case of plague on board meanwhile, or the ship has not subsequently touched at any port which has been declared infected or taken up passengers at other than inspection ports.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) D. McNEIL CAMPBELL, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Government, P.W.D.

To the Presidency Port Officer.

„ the Surgeon-General.
„ Collectors of Maritime Districts.
„ the Local and Municipal Department.
„ the Public Department.

ORDER—No. 1014 M., dated 30th April 1897.

Recorded.

(True Extract.)

G. S. FORBES,
Secretary to Government.

Copy to the President, Municipal Commission, Madras.

„	Chairman, Municipal Council, Bimlipatam.
„	Vizagapatam.
„	Cocanada.
„	Masulipatam.
„	Cuddalore.
„	Negapatam.
„	Tuticorin.
„	Calicut.
„	Cochin.
„	Tellicherry.
„	Cannanore.
„	Mangalore.

End. A. Kaitt.

Government (1897) of Madras.
LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL.

Government (1897) of Madras.
LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL.

C. a

Proof for approval

Proved
stamped off as received
11/1/97

Recd.

Enclosures

1897.

Regd.

Spare copies

G.O., No. 1014 M., 30th April 1897.

APRIL.

Medical Inspection of Ships.

Recording Government Order, Marine, considering that a vessel which has obtained pratique at any port of the Madras Presidency is not liable to further inspection during the same voyage under certain circumstances.

G.O., No. 1014 M., 30th April 1897.

APRIL.

Medical Inspection of Ships.

Recording Government Order, Marine, considering that a vessel which has obtained pratique at any port of the Madras Presidency is not liable to further inspection during the same voyage under certain circumstances.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following paper:—

G.O., No. 327, Marine, dated 3rd April 1897.

Read—the following paper:—

From H. D. TAYLOR, Esq., I.C.S., Acting Collector of Ganjām, to the Secretary to Government, Marine Department, dated Chatrapur, 22nd March 1897, Ref. No. 495-Eng.

I have the honour to forward, for orders, reference from the Port Officer, Gopalpur, inquiring whether it is necessary under Government notification, No. 51, dated 2nd March 1897, for the Health Officer to visit every vessel that touches at that port, whether it has arrived from an affected port direct or touched at others *en route*.

2. Rule I of the Quarantine rules published in *Fort St. George Gazette* (No. 30, dated 16th February 1897) states that vessels arriving from Bombay, Karachi or Goa shall indicate their arrival by signal. The question is whether, after having touched at Madras and obtained pratique, such vessels are again compelled to notify their arrival by signal at subsequent ports.

3. Under rule 6 of G.O., No. 162, Marine, dated 26th February 1897, it is prescribed in regard to vessels sailing from Madras ports to Rangoon that, when a vessel has received a health certificate at Madras and all passengers at subsequent ports of call have been embarked after due examination by the officer prescribed in G.O., No. 182, Marine, dated 2nd March 1897, no further detailed inspection of the vessel by the Health Officer is necessary.

4. On this analogy it would seem that vessels, wherever bound, from Goa, Bombay, Karachi, after touching at Madras or other Coast ports and having received a health certificate, need not be re-inspected by the Health Officer at a subsequent port of call.

5. I request instructions as to whether my interpretation of the rule is correct.

ENCLOSURE.

From W. S. CARLYON, Esq., Port Officer, Gopalpur, to the Collector of Ganjām, dated 15th March 1897, No. 17-96 H.

With reference to your endorsement, No. 453-Eng., dated 12th March 1897, I have the honour to state that the notification of Government, No. 51, dated 2nd March 1897, leaves it a doubtful matter in my mind as to whether the Health Officer of the port is to proceed alongside each and every vessel which arrives here *direct* from ports declared to be affected or whether he should go off to vessels which have touched at ports on the East Coast (including Madras) since leaving the affected ports.

2. Under section 6 of the Indian Ports Act X of 1889, every Commander of a vessel which arrives at a port with a case or cases of epidemic disease on board is bound to intimate the same by signal, and it appears to me unnecessary for the only medical man in the place to go alongside a vessel, which has run the gauntlet of several East Coast ports, in order to make inquiries of the Commander concerning a matter which would be signalled by him were it not satisfactory.

Order—No. 327, Marine, dated 3rd April 1897.

His Excellency the Governor in Council is of opinion that a vessel which has obtained pratique at any port of the Madras Presidency is not liable to further inspection during the same voyage, provided there has been no case of plague on board meanwhile, or the ship has not subsequently touched at any port which has been declared infected or taken up passengers at other than inspection ports.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) D. McNEIL CAMPBELL, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Government, P.W.D.

To the Presidency Port Officer.

„ the Surgeon-General.
„ Collectors of Maritime Districts.
„ the Local and Municipal Department.
„ the Public Department.

ORDER—No. 1014 M., dated 30th April 1897.

Recorded.

(True Extract.)

Secretary to Government.

Copy to the President, Municipal Commission, Madras.
Chairman, Municipal Council, Bimlipatam.

„	„	Vizagapatam.
„	„	Cocanada.
„	„	Masulipatam.
„	„	Cuddalore.
„	„	Negapatam.
„	„	Tuticorin.
„	„	Calicut.
„	„	Cochin.
„	„	Tellicherry.
„	„	Cannanore.
„	„	Mangalore.

Exd. A. Raiff.

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPT.
CURRENT No. 1705-2m

Enclosures

1897.

Spare copies 6.

APRIL.

Considering that a vessel which has obtained pratique at any port of the Madras Presidency is not liable to further inspection during the same voyage, under certain circumstances,

Pessis order no 1014 M. d. 30. 4. 97

Reconfy

copy to the Brit. Mus. commission notes
 ch. mus. com. ch. Brindipatan, Vagapatan, Canada, unonlypatan, Cudebe
 Vagapatan, Tuteon, Calicut, Cochin, Chellu, Cananor, Mangalore

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

MARINE DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper :—

From H. D. TAYLOR, Esq., I.C.S., Acting Collector of Ganjam, to the Secretary to Government, Marine Department, dated Chatrapur, 22nd March 1897, Ref. No. 495/Eng.

I have the honour to forward, for orders, reference from the Port officer, Gopalpur, enquiring whether it is necessary under Government notification, No. 51, dated 2nd March 1897, for the Health officer to visit every vessel that touches at that port, whether it has arrived from an affected port direct or touched at others *en route*.

2. Rule I of the Quarantine rules published in *Fort St. George Gazette* (No. 30, dated 16th February 1897) states that vessels arriving from Bombay, Karachee or Goa shall indicate their arrival by signal. The question is whether, after having touched at Madras and obtained pratique, such vessels are again compelled to notify their arrival by signal at subsequent ports.

3. Under rule 6 of G.O., No. 162, Marine, dated 26th February 1897, it is prescribed in regard to vessels sailing from Madras ports to Rangoon that when a vessel has received a health certificate at Madras, and all passengers at subsequent ports of call have been embarked after due examination by the officer prescribed in G.O., No. 182, Marine, dated 2nd March 1897, no further detailed inspection of the vessel by the Health officer is necessary.

4. On this analogy it would seem that vessels, wherever bound, from Goa, Bombay, Karachee, after touching at Madras or other Coast ports, and having received a health certificate, need not be re-inspected by the Health officer at a subsequent port of call.

5. I request instructions as to whether my interpretation of the rule is correct.

ENCLOSURE.

From W. S. CARLYON, Esq., Port officer, Gopalpur, to the Collector of Ganjam, dated 15th March 1897, No. 17/96 H.

With reference to your endorsement No. 453/Eng., dated 12th March 1897, I have the honour to state that the notification of Government, No. 51, dated 2nd March 1897, leaves it a doubtful matter in my mind as to whether the Health officer of the port is to proceed alongside each and every vessel which arrives here *direct* from ports declared to be affected or whether he should go off to vessels which have touched at ports on the East Coast (including Madras) since leaving the affected ports.

2. Under section 6 of the Indian Ports Act X of 1889, every Commander of a vessel which arrives at a port with a case or cases of epidemic disease on board is bound to intimate the same by signal, and it appears to me unnecessary for the only medical man in the place to go alongside a vessel, which has run the gauntlet of several East Coast ports, in order to make inquiries of the Commander concerning a matter which would be signalled by him were it not satisfactory.

ORDER—No. 327, Marine, dated 3rd April 1897.

His Excellency the Governor in Council is of opinion that a vessel which has obtained pratique at any port of the Madras Presidency is not liable to further inspection during the same voyage, provided there has been no case of plague on board meanwhile, or the ship has not subsequently touched at any port which has been declared infected, or taken up passengers at other than inspection ports.

(True Extract.)

[Signature]
Under Secretary to Government.

To the Presidency Port Officer.
" the Surgeon-General with Government.
" Collectors of Maritime Districts.
" the Local and Municipal Department.
" the Public Department.

MARINE.

Regd.

Spare copies

1897.

APRIL.

Considering that a vessel which has obtained pratique at any port of the Madras Presidency is not liable to further inspection during the same voyage, under certain circumstances.

Local & Municipal

Local & Municipal Department

Recorded
(True Extract)

No. 327, MARINE, 3RD APRIL 1897.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
MARINE DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper :—

From H. D. TAYLOR, Esq., I.C.S., Acting Collector of Ganjám, to the Secretary to Government, Marine Department, dated Chatrapur, 22nd March 1897, Ref. No. 495/Eng.

I have the honour to forward, for orders, reference from the Port officer, Gopalpur, enquiring whether it is necessary under Government notification, No. 51, dated 2nd March 1897, for the Health officer to visit every vessel that touches at that port, whether it has arrived from an affected port direct or touched at others *en route*.

2. Rule I of the Quarantine rules published in *Fort St. George Gazette* (No. 30, dated 16th February 1897) states that vessels arriving from Bombay, Karachi or Goa shall indicate their arrival by signal. The question is whether, after having touched at Madras and obtained pratique, such vessels are again compelled to notify their arrival by signal at subsequent ports.

3. Under rule 6 of G.O., No. 162, Marine, dated 26th February 1897, it is prescribed in regard to vessels sailing from Madras ports to Rangoon that when a vessel has received a health certificate at Madras, and all passengers at subsequent ports of call have been embarked after due examination by the officer prescribed in G.O., No. 182, Marine, dated 2nd March 1897, no further detailed inspection of the vessel by the Health officer is necessary.

4. On this analogy it would seem that vessels, wherever bound, from Goa, Bombay, Karachee, after touching at Madras or other Coast ports, and having received a health certificate, need not be re-inspected by the Health officer at a subsequent port of call.

5. I request instructions as to whether my interpretation of the rule is correct.

ENCLOSURE.

From W. S. CARLYON, Esq., Port officer, Gopalpur, to the Collector of Ganjām, dated 15th March 1897, No. 17/96 H.

With reference to your endorsement No. 453/Eng., dated 12th March 1897, I have the honour to state that the notification of Government, No. 51, dated 2nd March 1897, leaves it a doubtful matter in my mind as to whether the Health officer of the port is to proceed alongside each and every vessel which arrives here *direct* from ports declared to be affected or whether he should go off to vessels which have touched at ports on the East Coast (including Madras) since leaving the affected ports.

2. Under section 6 of the Indian Ports Act X of 1889, every Commander of a vessel which arrives at a port with a case or cases of epidemic disease on board is bound to intimate the same by signal, and it appears to me unnecessary for the only medical man in the place to go alongside a vessel, which has run the gauntlet of several East Coast ports, in order to make inquiries of the Commander concerning a matter which would be signalled by him were it not satisfactory.

No. 327, MARINE, 3RD APRIL 1897.

ORDER—No. 327, Marine, dated 3rd April 1897.

His Excellency the Governor in Council is of opinion that a vessel which has obtained pratique at any port of the Madras Presidency is not liable to further inspection during the same voyage, provided there has been no case of plague on board meanwhile, or the ship has not subsequently touched at any port which has been declared infected, or taken up passengers at other than inspection ports.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) D. McNEIL CAMPBELL, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Government.

To the Presidency Port Officer.
 „ the Surgeon-General with Government.
 „ Collectors of Maritime Districts.
 „ the Local and Municipal Department.
 „ the Public Department.

Exd. T. K. Narayana Aiyangar.

Copy to the President.
" " Chas. Sumner &
" " Congress & Mass.
" " Calvert, Cook &

01

18-2-21

First copy sent to Press. *1st Apr 1907*
Returned for signature. *14-5-97*
Despatched in print. *15-5-97*

NUMBER OF COPIES
PRINTED.
Order complete. *70*
Letter only.
Order complete with Letter.

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
189

DATED.

CURRENT No.

Marine G.O. in full

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DUITS.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP BRING UP FOR DEBATE
IN ORIGINAL.

B.D. -

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.