

(1) Number of pages 10

(2) Date of repair 20-4-48

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1090

Press
Mis.

Dated

28.10-1886

Not to be folded or roughly handled

1-

Explanation on the Auditor's report of the
Trichinopoly Municipality for 1885-86.

1. The sum of Rs 9-0-0 referred to in para 11 of the report has been since recovered.
2. The difference pointed out in para 12 represents the collections made by the Magistrate from certain persons who were prosecuted for failing to take out licenses for their vehicles, ~~and~~ animals and carts before the introduction of Act IV of 1884. As the licenses were for periods that had already expired and were practically of no use to them, there were not issued but a separate register was maintained for all such collections.
3. The suggestion made in the latter part of para 13 has been brought into force since 1st May 1886.
4. The Kerosine oil required for lighting the lamps in this Municipality, was purchased in deal wood cases each containing 2 tins. The empty cases and tins were sold by the Comptroller in charge of the market and the sale proceeds were remitted to this office as usual. Vouchers nos. 51.82, & 221 reported to be missing in para 14 (a) are for such items for which the said Comptroller did not send cheques.
5. As the rates charged by the contractors of the Municipal Hospital for certain stores were very high a Purveyor had been appointed in place of the Council thought it ~~advisable~~ to give the loan of Rs 2-0-0 in para 2 to

to purchase such articles as were urgently required. In the current year, the supply of Duto alone, has been let on contract. By this means a great saving has been made in the expenditure of the Hospital.

6. The Cumbles referred to in voucher no 80, para 22 (1) were purchased and paid for through the District Medical and Sanitary Officer from a man in Bangalore. The acknowledgment from that officer for the receipt of the cheque issued for the value of Cumbles is available, though not the receipt of the supplier himself.

The sum of Rs 57-12-0 and Rs 5 referred to in vouchers no 81 and 402 were paid to the Tahsildar and District Minor respectively and the latter amount has since been recovered from the plaintiff together with other costs. Arrangements have been made to secure acknowledgments for all payments in future.

With reference to voucher nos 207, 721 & 906 I beg to state that as it was the Overseer who supplied the tools and retatched the verandahs of the Leprosy Hospital, his receipts are, I think, as valid as any others.

The delay in payment referred to in para 22 (2) was due to the absence of the sub-
m. There though the bill was a

supplied by his servants.

8. Since the submission of the report it was found from G.O. no 700 dated 23rd March 1886 that the certificate of the Executive Engineer was not necessary for the 2nd half year's Bills and the amounts have all been recovered now, with the exception of 1 item.

9. Chinars referred to in para 27. The House Tax was revised in 1884-85 by 3 Councillors and one row of bazaars was omitted to be assessed through oversight. This mistake was found out only at the end of March/85 and the tax collected in the following year. The balance viz Rs 9-1-4 has been recovered since the submission of the report.

10. The differences said to exist in the arrears of taxes on carts (vide paras 30 & 31) has been set right.

11. A detailed account for the amount in deposit has since been prepared and arrangements made for adjusting and refunding the several items which make up the amount.

12. The other suggestions made by the Auditor are being introduced as far as practicable.

Municipal Councillors office
Trichinopoly 8th October 1886

ST

H. Hyde
Sahib

Trichinopoly Collector's Office. 5 -
14th October 1886.

No. 3933.

From,

W. P. Austin Esquire
Acting Collector of
Trichinopoly.

To,

The Chief Secretary to Government
Financial Department
Fort Saint George.

Sir,

I have the honor to return
herewith the report (in original) on
the audit of the accounts of the
Trichinopoly Municipality, re-
ceived with G. O. No. 857. M. M. S.
dated 26th August 1886 as directed
therein.

2. The explanation submitted
by the Chairman with his letter No.
1408 dated 8th October 1886, is also
forwarded with the above.

I have the honor to be
Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

W. P. Austin
Collector.

Handwritten text at the top of the left page, possibly a title or header.

Handwritten text block in the upper middle section of the left page.

Handwritten text block in the middle section of the left page.

Handwritten text block in the lower middle section of the left page.

Handwritten text block in the lower section of the left page.

Handwritten text block at the bottom of the left page.

Extensive handwritten text covering the right page, appearing as a continuous narrative or list.

Refree 15/10

Financial Department
1886.
CURRENT No. 1724 M
Department.

From *af*
The Collector,
Trichinopoly.

To
The Chief Secretary to
Government
Financial Dept.
For Sec. Secy
Madras

Dated 14th
Despd. 14th } october 1886.
Recd. 16

No. 3933
Enclosures. 2

Ref. G.O. 10857 M.
M's
5726-8-87

Submitting explanation
of the ^{charges of the} Municipal Comm
Trichinopoly on the
Auditor's report for 1885
received with the above
quoted reference

ⁿ
this order to Oct. 1886 to 1890

1/3
27/10/86

Miscellaneous.

FINANCIAL DEPT. G.O., DATED <i>28th Oct^r/86</i> No. <i>1090 M</i> MIS.

When given for copying

[*Despatch Abstract.*]

*Municipal accounts.
Recording explana-
-tion of the Chairman
Mun. Council Irish
-copy on the report
of the audit of —
for 1885/86.*