

[L. A. M.
2,000-3-5-97.]
Local & Munl. (1897) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 2144 M.

DATED 1-10-97

INDEXED. *CR*

DESPATCHED

[Despatch Abstract.]

administration report

*Recording in the remarks explanation of
points noticed in the — of the Dindigul
m. l. Council for 1896-97.*

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	3683		1997				

Local & Munl. (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 3125M

From R. H. Grinley Esquire
Chairman
Municipal Council
Dundee

misc.

Order No 2144 M. mis. d. 1.10.97

Recorded with the follow

No. 234

ing remarks: —

(1) The necessary corrections Dated 29th August 1897.
have been made with reference Received 1 SEP. 1897.
to the explanations furnished on Registered 1-9-1897
clauses (1) and (7) of the form. Enclosures
-ment memorandum under refly. Spare copies

(2) The chairman states
that "the minimum rate of salary
has been paid to some of the
teachers of the high school"; but
from G.O. No 385 m. d. 17th March
1891 sanctioning the revision of the
establishment of the high school
and from the subsequent orders on
the subject, it does not appear that

SUBJECT.

Submitting explanation
called for in Government
Memorandum No. 848
dated 9th August 1897.

* Hay. F

a maximum and minimum salary was allowed to any of the teachers except the Fifth Assistant master whose salary was fixed at Rs 15 rising to Rs 20, and from Statement II.B, it appears that even in the case of this teacher only Rs 14 was paid instead of Rs 15, which is the minimum salary. These discrepancies should be explained without delay.

KA
29/9/97
11/10/97

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

The statements are retained for the preparation of the general review.

To The Chairman, M.C. Diindigul.
Supd. 6/10/97

No: 234

Diindigul, Municipal office
29th August 1897

It is,

31/8/97

C. H. Ginnery Esquire
Chairman, Municipal Council
Diindigul

To,

The Secretary
to Government
Local & Municipal Department
Fort Saint George

Sir,

Referring to the Government Memorandum No: 848, dated 9th Instant, Local & Municipal Department, I have the honour to submit the explanation called for therein.

- (1). The difference of Rs 500 — noticed in the deposit balance under Assets in the note to Statement II is the amount of the

Government

Government Promissory note lodged
on the 21st November 1895 as security
by the pipe-laying Contractor.

As this amount was not shown
in the Audit Statement for 1895-96
it was not included under
liabilities in the "deposits to be
adjusted".

(2). The minimum rate of Salary
has been paid to some of the teachers
of the High School ever since it came
under the management of the
Council, though maximum rates
have been provided in the budget
as the school is not self-supporting
and considering the large percentage
spent by the Council on education.
The difference pointed out in
Statement II-B has been partly
explained

explained in para 25 of the
administrative report for 1896-97.

(3). Noted. Column II of Statement
V-B had been left blank in the
Statements of the previous years
also and in the Statement furnished
by the Assistant Inspector of Schools
this column was not filled in.

(4) & (5). Noted.

(6). The sum of Rs 133-13-6 is
the assessment on a Chutran
endowment and is being used
for the upkeep of the Water Supply
of the town as per G. O. No. 685 Revenue,
dated 9th June 1885.

(7). The figures given by the lady
Spathery are apparently incorrect.
She explains that she relied upon
her

her Companion, who took the
figures from the Municipal
office where the records of Vital
Statistics were in an incomplete
State.

The No. of births in Column
1 of Statement XIII, shown as 775
in the memorandum under
Jeffy, should be 773.

See the original memo: it was
only 773, but perhaps in the
copy sent to the Chairman
an error has crept in.

(8). From the explanation
given by the ^{female} Lady Apothecary,
it is understood that the number
of labour cases attended by the
Midwife during 1895-96 was 38
and that 3 cases attended by the
Apothecary were erroneously
reported as having been
attended by the Midwife. Hence

the

the correct number is 38 and
not 41.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,

Yours most obedt. Servant

R. J. Smith
30/8/97

Chairman

K
Manager
29/8/97

When given for copying
 When copied 4-6
 When examined 4-6
 When signed 4-10 } 189.

READ AGAIN G.O., No. 189 DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.