Date taken out.	For what purpose.			Initials of clerk taking the paper or of attender.	Date returned.	Initials of attender.
	Department.	C. No.	Year.			

[L. & M.
3,000—19-11-96.]

INDEXED.

Local & Munl. (1897) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 906 M.

DATED 14-4-97

DESPATCHED

[Despatch Abstract.]

Munl. Limits.

Requesting the Collector of Vizagapatam, with remarks, to submit a revised draft notification giving an accurate and complete description of the boundaries of the Vizianagram Municy and to mark distinctly on the plan all the places mentioned in the notification submitted by the Chairman.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Cw 2198 L/97							

Dis/917

Government (1898) of Madras.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL.

✓

Proof for approval

Press
struck off
[illegible] 11
12/7/98

Recd. } 1898. *Enclosures*
Regd. } *Spare copies*

G.O., No. 1144 M., 29th June 1898.

JUNE.

Municipal Limits.

Approving the alterations in the limits of the Vizianagram Municipality, as proposed by the municipal council and modified by the Collector, and ordering the publication of the necessary notification in the Fort St. George and the Vizagapatam District Gazettes.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—again the following paper:—

G.O., Mis. No. 906 M., dated 14th April 1897.

Read—the following papers:—

From M.R.Ry. V. VENKATRAYA SASTRI Avargal, Chairman, Municipal Council, Vizianagram, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated 28th July 1896, No. 88.

Paragraph 5 of the Proceedings of Government, Mis. No. 92 M., dated 17th January 1896, and paragraph 4 of G.O., No. 760 M., dated 4th May 1896.

With reference to the marginally-noted Government Orders, I have the honour to report that the question of revising the municipal limits was fully discussed at two sittings of the municipal council attended also by the Revenue Divisional officer at each of them and I herewith attach a memorandum showing the proposed boundaries of the municipal town, Vizianagram, which, if approved of by Government, will form the boundary line of the municipality.

2. The western limit of the municipality is to be extended up to the eastern boundary line of the cantonment, which I am informed includes the railway station building and its yard. In the opinion of the council, as also of the Divisional officer, the railway station should be included in the limits of the municipal town since the station is comparatively more used by the townspeople than those of the cantonment both for passenger and goods traffic. It is but reasonable, therefore, that the sanitation and other municipal requirements of the station yard should be looked after by the municipality rather than by the cantonment. If this view is approved of by Government, the limits on the western side of the town will have to be read thus—"The western boundary will be the road on the western side of the railway station up to the cantonment railway crossing towards the north and up to the railway overbridge towards the south."

3. I take this opportunity of pointing out to Government that the view of the council to adopt the southern bund of the Peddacheruvu and Nathavalsa road as the greatest part of the southern limit is objectionable. The municipality owns four public latrines and one burning and burial ground beyond the proposed limits; but with a view to avoid the repairing charges of certain portions of roads now maintained by the taluk board (as transferred since the last revision of boundaries in 1889) they have excluded a large strip of land on which the said latrines and burning and burial grounds are situated. My proposal as originally made was "Bugata Ramajogi's garden, Komaticheruvu, Gundalavari inam, kasba cremation ground, Madigapeta near model farm, Maharaja's parade ground behind Ayodhya, Byragymatum, tope behind the travellers' bungalow and Nagesu tank" which includes these places. If the council's resolution is adopted in fixing the southern limits, I regret to state that the tank bund and the Nathavalsa roads which are close to the buildings inhabited by people will form the boundary line, and the people committing nuisances only a few yards from their houses cannot be prosecuted under the Act. As the question of the revision of boundaries cannot frequently engage the attention of Government, I take the liberty of offering my remarks with a view that they may take into consideration

2/.

all circumstances before finally deciding what the limits should be lest a further alteration should be necessitated within the near future as is the case at present, the last revision having taken place only seven years ago. I have no remarks to offer regarding the boundary line on the other two sides.

8/

4. I have the honour to request further that the Government may be pleased to order the Survey Department to take up the survey of the town and to prepare a map when the final orders regarding the limits are passed.

Leave more sp

Forwarded to the Collector, Vizagapatam. The Chairman has promised to send a rough sketch showing the old and new boundaries of the municipality. This will be submitted on receipt.

VIZIANAGRAM, (Signed) E. B. ELWIN,
4th August 1896. *Ag. Prinl. Asst. Collector.*

Dis. No. 1881.

Forwarded. I have gone over the proposed boundaries with Chairman and the Divisional officer.

2. The proposal to include the railway station premises is unnecessary. The sanitation, lighting and other requirements of the station are not now looked after by the cantonment but by the railway itself, and this arrangement had better continue, since it exists even in the case of railway stations, such as Waltair, which are within municipal limits.

3. I do not consider the Chairman's proposal to extend the southern boundary even further than is proposed by the council to be justifiable. In order to get in the few latrines and the burial-ground mentioned by him, it will be necessary to include a great deal of agricultural land and open country, which really in no way concerns the municipality. That land is no doubt used on occasions for purposes of nature, but the municipality will not be able to prevent this, and the land now compares very favourably with much other land which is under municipal control, and I consider that there is nothing in its present condition which renders it desirable to include it. The council's proposals may be accepted as they stand.

4. I forward a map showing the existing municipal boundary in red pencil and the proposed one in blue.

(Signed) J. S. HEANEY,
12th August 1896. *for Acting Collector.*

Reference No. 1161.

The Collector of Vizagapatam is requested to report the extent to which the finances of the municipality and of the cantonment will be affected by the inclusion of the railway station within municipal limits and to explain fully his reasons for considering its inclusion unnecessary. He will also clearly mark on the sketch, which is herewith returned, the position of the cantonment, the railway station and the present and the proposed boundaries of the town, and submit a draft notification defining the proposed boundaries by means of paimash or other numbers available, which should also be marked on the plan.

(Signed) W. W. PHILLIPS,
8th October 1896. *Ag. Asst. Secretary.*

From M.R.Ry. V. VENKATRAYA SASTRI Avargal, Chairman, Municipal Council, Vizianagram, to the Collector of Vizagapatam, dated 15th January 1897, No. 206.

With reference to your endorsement R.C. No. 3794, dated 18th October 1896, on the memorandum of the Madras Government, No. 1161, dated 8th October 1896, requiring further information regarding the revision of the municipal boundaries, Vizianagram town, I have the honour to forward herewith a sketch map showing the existing as well as the proposed boundaries, the former in blue ink and the latter in red ink. The situation of the military cantonment and the railway station are also shown as required. No paimash numbers could be procured as the town was not surveyed hitherto, but such permanent land marks as would give a distinct idea of the proposed boundary are shown which serve the purpose quite as well as those of paimash numbers.

The delay in resubmitting the papers is due to the fresh survey taken and to the preparation of the sketch by a fixed scale and is therefore unavoidable. I request you to be so good as to obtain the sanction of Government so that the new boundaries may be worked out from the 1st April 1897. I also forward herewith a draft notification of the proposed boundaries in accordance with the marks shown in the sketch.

R.C. No. 3794.

Forwarded to Government.

2. The Chairman has submitted a new map, which shows the present and proposed boundaries very clearly. Paimash or other numbers are not available, but the boundary is in most places a road and in others is equally unmistakeable.

3. The Chairman has omitted to inform me of the amount by which the council would be a gainer if the railway station and premises were included in the municipal limits. He has been asked to report this urgently and the information will be forwarded on receipt.

4. The cantonment would lose nothing. At present the cantonment boundary is the railway fence on the west side of the line, and the railway station is therefore neither in the cantonment nor in the municipality. I have no objection to its being included in the latter, but except the gain to the council, no useful purpose will be served thereby, as the railway itself attends to its sanitation and lighting, which the municipality will not do even if the proposed inclusion is effected. By leaving things as they are in this respect friction such as exists at Waltair will be avoided.

3rd February 1897. (Signed) H. L. BRAIDWOOD,
for Collector.

From M.R.Ry. V. VENKATRAYA SASTRI Avargal, Chairman, Municipal Council, Vizianagram, to the Collector of Vizagapatam, dated 10th February 1897, No. 222.

In reply to your letter, dated 8th instant, inquiring as to how much the municipality would gain by including the railway station and premises, I have the honour to state that, on actual measurements taken of the buildings, &c., and on information obtained by inquiry made, the municipality would make not less than Rs. 300 annually as shown in details noted below :—

	RS.
(1) Tax on station yard and buildings thereon	220
(2) Tax on arts on the refreshment-room contractor	50
(3) Other shops and petty traders and on salaries of the railway servants.	15
(4) Penalties on nuisances committed in the station yard	15
Total ..	300

C. No. 529.

Forwarded, with reference to paragraph 3 of the Collector's endorsement, R.C. No. 3794, dated 3rd instant, on letter from the Chairman, Municipal Council, Vizianagram, No. 206, dated 15th January 1897.

13th February 1897. (Signed) R. GOVINDA ROW,
for Ag. Collector.

Order—Mis. No. 906 M., dated 14th April 1897.

From the draft notification and the plan submitted by the Chairman with the second of his letters read above, it appears that the boundaries have not been correctly defined in the notification as sites which are included within the municipal limits are mentioned as "boundaries." For instance, the Dasannapeta burial-ground and the supply channel, which are clearly shown in the plan as lying within the proposed limits of the municipality, are stated in the notification to be the eastern boundary, and the Peddamadugedda, the tannery, Gadepalle Jagannatham's inam, &c., which are all within the municipal limits, are spoken of as the northern boundary. The Collector is therefore requested to submit a revised draft notification giving an accurate and complete description of the boundaries and to mark distinctly on the plan, which is herewith returned, all the places mentioned in the notification.

2. It is also observed that the question of the advisability of including within the municipal limits the cantonment and the "samastanam" lands which was raised by the Sanitary Commissioner in paragraph 8 of his inspection notes printed in G.O., No. 760 M., dated 4th May 1896, has not been discussed either by the council or by the Collector. This should now be done.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) G. S. FORBES,
Secretary to Government.

To the Collector of Vizagapatam.

Read—also the following papers :—

From W. O. HORNE, Esq., Acting Collector, Vizagapatam District, to the Secretary to Government, Local and Municipal Department, dated 12th February 1898, R. C. No. 90.

As directed in G.O., Mis. No. 2352 M., dated 28th October 1897, I have the honour to submit herewith a revised draft notification showing the boundaries of the Vizianagram Municipality. The sketch-map returned is also resubmitted, the necessary alterations being made thereon in accordance with the instructions contained in the Government Order quoted above.

Endt. No. 341.

Referred to the Public Works Department (Railway Branch) for remarks.

(By order.)

19th March 1898. (Signed) S. G. ROBERTS,
Ag. Assistant Secretary.

Memorandum from the Public Works Department (Railway Branch), dated 29th April 1898, No. 169 R.M.

With reference to its endorsement, No. 341, dated 19th March 1898 (herewith returned), the Local and Municipal Department is informed that this department has no remarks to offer on the revised draft notification and the sketch-map for the revision of the boundaries of the Vizianagram Municipality, as the East Coast Railway land is not included within the proposed municipal limits.

(Signed) C. T. R. SCOVELL,
Under Secretary, P.W.D.

ORDER—No. 1144 M., dated 29th June 1898.

The alterations in the limits of the Vizianagram Municipality, as proposed by the municipal council and modified by the Collector, are approved, and the following notification will be published in the Fort St. George and the Vizagapatam District Gazettes :—

NOTIFICATION.

Under the provisions of sub-section (1) of section 4-A of the District Municipalities Act IV of 1884 as amended by Act III of 1897 and in modification of Notification No. 347, published at page 126 of the *Fort St. George Gazette*, Part I-A, dated 20th August 1889 His Excellency the Governor in Council hereby declares his intention to alter the limits of the Vizianagram Municipality by adopting the following boundaries. Any objection which may be made in respect of these alterations by any person interested in the matter should be submitted in writing to Government within six weeks from the publication of this notification :—

ao/ *East.*—Portion of Sivanandabaugh, Maharaja's dry land (jeroyati) along the side of Maharaja's private road, Pusapati Venkatapatirajugari inam land, supply channel of Dasannapeta Koneru from the south-east corner of Pusapati Venkatapatirajugari inam land up to Musalaganti Jagannadharow Pantulu's inam and then Musalaganti Jagannadharow Pantulu's land itself.

ao/ *South.*—Bollapragadavari inam land, Gundalavari tope, Guracharigari tope up to the outlet channel of Guracharicheruvu, then the outlet itself surrounding the kasba burial-ground, Nandagiri Ramarow Pantulu's inam dry land and his cheruvu, the inam lands of Madiga Salika Appigandu, Chukko Thammadu and Kondala Appigadu, samastanam jeroyati called Goodempolem, irrigation channel of Peddacheruvu running by the side of samastanam land called "model farm," of samastanam parade ground, of Jonnagudem village and of Jonnagudem village road leading up to the cutstone head sluice of Peddacheruvu by the side of big tank lower road, the big tank lower road up to Penumatcha Seetaramarajugari inam land, the inam land of i/ i/,/ Penumacha Seetaramarajugari, the outlet of Peddacheruvu, Maharaja's jeroyati land and the compound of the Principal Assistant Collector's office.

West.—Railway station yard, Maharaja's jeroyati land, railway pits up to railway crossing to cantonment, railway bank and railway cutting ending at the railway over-bridge at Butchanna's tank.

North.—Railway ground, Sunnapugedda, Kolluruvari inam land, Yarakammagudi, Maredemmagudi, samastanam jeroyati called Singayyapollu, samastanam racket court, samastanam jeroyati dry land, Girijabaugh and Sivanandabaugh.

2. The Collector is requested to report the date of publication of the notification in the District Gazette.

(True Extract.)

for *Secretary to Government.*

To the Chairman, Municipal Council, Vizianagram.
„ Collector of Vizagapatam.
Gazette.

Exd. C. M. Thumbuswamy.

L. & M.
3e0-5-8-96.

Local & Munl. (1896.) Department.

CURRENT No. 2370 M

Reference. 1161 / 8/10/96
~~Order~~

The Collector of Vizagapatam is requested to report the extent to which the finances of the municipality and of the cantonment will be affected by the inclusion of the Railway Station within municipal limits and to explain fully his reasons for considering its inclusion necessary. He will also ~~submit with~~ clearly mark on the ~~out delay a~~ sketch ~~drawn to scale~~ which is herewith returned, the position of the cantonment, the Railway Station and ~~showing clearly~~ the present and the proposed boundaries of the town, and submit a draft notification defining the proposed boundaries by means of ~~Survey or~~ paimash or other numbers, available which should also be marked on the plan.

V.R. 18/9/96

W.B.D. 5.10.96

Copy ready. Keep papers together. 7/10

To The Collector of Vizagapatam (with a plan returned.) Desp. 9/10/96

25/8. L.A. N.A.S. 7/10. [illegible] 7/10

From The Collector of Vizagapatam

Dis. **No.** 1881

Dated 12-8-96

Received 18-8-96

Registered 18-8-96

Enclosures 1 (one plan)

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submitting the report called for in G.O.No.92 M.Mis.dated 17" January 1896 regarding the revision of the boundaries of the Vizianagram Municipality.

— is comparatively more used by the

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Go	760	Muml	4-5-96
"	527	"	25-3-96
"	92	"	17-1-96
"	1802	"	30-10-95
"	976	"	6-8-89

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Lm 906 M & 14/6/97

Maharajah's pose

18

no 88

Municipal Office,
Vizianagaram, 28th July 1896

Lo[illegible] Dept.
2370

From
THE CHAIRMAN, MUNICIPAL COUNCIL,
VIZIANAGARAM.

To
The Chief Secretary to Government
Fort Saint George, Madras-

Lm 1144 M & 29/6

Sir,

With reference to the marginally noted[x] Government orders, I have the honor to report that the question of revising the municipal limits was fully discussed at two sittings of the municipal Council attended also by the Revenue Divisional officer at each of them and I herewith attach a memorandum showing the proposed boundaries of the municipal town, Vizianagaram which if approved of by Government will form the boundary line of the municipality-

2 The western limit of the municipality is to be extended up to the eastern boundary line of the Cantonment, which I am informed includes the Railway station building and its yard- In the opinion of the Council as also of the Divisional officer, the Railway station should be included in the limits of the municipal town since the station is comparatively more used by the

(1)

[x] Para 5 of the Proceedings of Government No 92 M. Misc; 17th Janay 96 & para 4 of G.O., No 760 M., 4th May 96

townspeople than those of the Contonment both for passenger and goods traffic. It is but reasonable, therefore, that the sanitation and other municipal requirements of the station yard should be looked after by the municipality rather than by the Contonment. If this view is approved of by Government, the limits on the western side of the town will have to be read thus —:

"The western boundary will be the road on the western side of the Railway station up to the Contonment Railway crossing towards the north and up to the Railway over bridge towards the south"

(3) I take this opportunity of pointing out to Government that the view of the Council to adopt the southern bund of the Pedda Cheruvu and Nathavalsa road as the greatest part of the southern limit is objectionable. The municipality owns 4 public latrines and one burning and burial ground beyond the proposed limits; but with a view to avoid the repairing charges of certain portions of roads now maintained by the Taluk Board (as transferred since the last revision of boundaries in 1889) they have excluded a large strip of land on which the said latrines and burning & burial grounds are situated. My proposal as originally made was "Bugata Ramajogi's garden, Komati Cheruvu, Gundalavari Inam, Kaspa Cremation ground

ground, Madigapeta near model farm, Maharajah's Pose parade ground behind Ayodhya, Byragy Matum; tope D behind the Travellers bungalow and Nagésu tank" which includes these places. If the Council's resolution is adopted in fixing the southern limits, I regret to state that the tank bund & the Nathavalsa roads which are close to the buildings inhabited by people will form the boundary line and the people committing nuisances only a few yards from their houses cannot be prosecute under the Act. As the question of the revision of boundaries cannot frequently engage the attention of Government, I take the liberty of offering my remarks with a view that they may take into consideration all circumstances before finally deciding what the limits should be. lest a further alteration should be necessitated within the near future as is the case at present, the last revision having taken place only seven years ago.

I have no remarks to offer regarding the boundary line on the other two sides.

(4) I have the honor to request further that the Government may be pleased to order the survey Depart-ment to take up the survey of the town & to prepare a map when the final orders regarding the limits are passed.

I honor the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

V. Venkatarayasastri.
Chairman M. C

Reply

Ctr. No 205 of 1896
COLLECTOR'S OFFICE, VIZAGAPATAM.

FROM

THE CHAIRMAN,
MUNICIPAL COUNCIL,
VIZIANAGARAM.

To

The Chief Secretary
to Government, Madras.

No. 88
Dated 28th July 1896
Despatched 30th
Received

SUBJECT.

Submitting report regarding the revision of municipal boundaries

9 AUG 96

31/7

3P

R.R. 1st Count
6/8

Ref. put up.
7/8/96

Forwarded to the Collector,
Vizagapatam. The Chairman
has promised to send a roug
sketch showing the old an
new boundaries of the mu-
-nicipality; this will be su
-mitted on receipt.

Vizianagaram
4th August 96

4/8
Ag P.A.

Komati Cheru

ground

Forwarded. I have gone over the propose boundaries with Chairman and ~~the~~ the Di-visional Officer.

4P

2. The proposal to include the Railway Station premises is unnecessary. The Sanitation, lighting and other requi- -ments of the Station are not now looked after by the Cantonment bu by the Railway itself, and this arran- -ment had better continue, since it exists even in the case of Railway Stations, such as Waltair, which are within Municipal limits.

3. I do not consider the chairman's proposal to extend the Southern boundary even further than is proposed by the Council to be justifiable. In order to get in the few latrines and the burial ground mentioned by him it will be necessary to include a great deal of agricultural land and open country, which really in no way concerns

the

the Municipality. That land is no doubt used on occasions for purposes of nature, but the Municipality will not be able to prevent this, and the land now compares very favorably with much other land which is under Municipal control, and I consider that there is nothing in its present condition which renders it desirable to include it. The Council's proposals may be accepted as they stand.

4. I forward a map[+] shewing the existing Municipal boundary in red pencil and the proposed one in blue.

[+] will follow.

Vizagapatam
Collector's Office
Dated 1st August 1896
Desp. 14th " "

J. J. Heaney
For Dy Collector.

From
The Collector of
Vizagapatam

To
The Chief Secretary to Govt.
Local & Municipal Dept
Madras.

Dis no 1881/1896

Dated 12th
Despd 14th } August 1896
Read 18 AU

Subject

Submitting the report called for in G.O. no 92 M. Mis of 17.1.96 regarding the revision of the boundaries of the Vizianagram Municipality.

Memorandum showing the boundaries of the Town of Vizianagarum.

Cardinal points	Existing boundaries as defined by Government notification embodied in G.O No 976 dated 6th August 89	Cardinal points	Proposed boundaries
East	Dasannapeta Koneru, Read tank, Gujjanna gullu, Saluri Ramaswamy's Inam, Jayintivari Inam, water Course of Ayyakoneru, Nallacheruvu, Nallacheruvu rocks and Maharajah's jirayity land.	East	The eastern boundary is to run towards the eastern extremity of the burial ground in the supply channel of Dasannapeta Drinking water tank, the supply channel, the eastern bund of Yarracheruvu to meet the Maharajah's private road beyond Gujjanna gullu; the line being made to run beyond the drinking water wells of Dasannapeta, Maharajah's private road leading to the Pool-baugh up to the bridge of Pedda madumgedda -
South	Malapalli road, Ayodhya Lunkavidhi and Dentada road.	North	2 - The northern boundary is to commence from the bridge above referred & to run along the stream called Pedda madumgedda to the northern extremity of the small piece of ground to the north of Subbamma's tank, so as to include the toll gate, then in a line to the western extremity of the night soil depot so as to include the tannery, then the northern extremity of Gad'epalli Jagannadham's land, then the stream north of Lunkapatnum up to the Over-bridge of the Railway -
West	Peddacheruvu mettu, stable road, Daba garden road, Luntapeta mettu, Submagistrate's office road, Godavari valla Inam, Nulu rocks -	West	3 - The western boundary is to run from the railway cutting up to the Railway Crossing of the Cantonment, then the Western Branch road so as to meet the road which is the extension of the southern bund of Pedda Cheruvu
North.	Municipal toll-gate near Rega, Buchamma's Koneru, Lunkapatnum, Grandhi Kithaiya's tank, Peruntala gundum, Jaga Potaiya's garden, Chachadi gudem, Subbamma garden and municipal Toll-gate -	South	4 - The southern boundary is to run from the above junction along the southern bund of the tank up to the Toll-gate, then thro a small road leading to Jannagudem by Kothipari garden, then from the south of Jannagudem in a line eastwards so as to include the Maharajah's parade ground, Ayodhya and the new Malapalli till it meets the road south of Ayyakoneru southern bund, then all up to the burial ground in the Supply Channel of the Dasannapeta drinking water tank so as to exclude the Nathavalas road now maintained by the Taluk Board -

Municipal Office }
Vizianagarum }

V. Venkatayyar

1/23 [illegible]

Local & Munl. (1897) Department.

PR

CURRENT No. 600 M

From

The Collector
Vizagapatam

13 FE

Order :-

Rc No. 37/97

Dated 3rd February 1897

Received 12-2-1897

Registered 13-2-1897

Enclosures ~~three~~. 2* (one plan)

Spare copies.

SUBJECT.

Forwarding with remarks the Vizianagrum municipal Chairman's letter submitting a sketch map and notification in regard to the revision of the municipal boundaries of Vizianagrum

26/2/97.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Endt 1161/96&c			

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

28 JAN. 97

1 sketch map Vizianagaram municipal boundaries
2 Notification (draft)

Municipal Office,

Vizianagaram, 15th January 1897

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPT.
CURRENT No. 600 M
169

No 206.
Enc 2.

From

THE CHAIRMAN, MUNICIPAL COUNCIL,
VIZIANAGARAM.

To

The Collector of Vizagapatam District
Vizagapatam

Sir,

With reference to your Endorsement No R.C 3794 dated 18th October 96 No 1161 on the memorandum of the Madras Government dated 8th October 96 requiring the further information regarding the revision of the municipal boundaries, Vizzianagarum town, I have the honor to forward herewith a sketch map showing the existing as well as the proposed boundaries, the former in blue ink and the latter in red ink - The situation of the military Cantonment and the Railway station are also shown as required - No pimash numbers could be procured as the town was not surveyed hitherto, but such permanent land marks as would give a distinct idea of the proposed boundary are shown which serve the purpose quite as well as those of Peimash numbers -

The delay in resubmitting the papers is due

to

to the fresh survey taken and to the preparation of the sketch by a fixed scale and is therefore unavoidable - I request you to be so good as to obtain the sanction of Government so that the new boundaries may be worked out from the 1st April 1897 - I also forward herewith a draft notification of the proposed boundaries in accordance with the marks shown in the Sketch -

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your Most obedient servant

V. Venkatrayar Sri.
Chairman M.C

From

THE CHAIRMAN,
MUNICIPAL COUNCIL,
VIZIANAGARAM.

To

The Collector
Vizagapatam Dist- Vizagapatam.

No. 206.

Dated 15th }
Despatched 16th } January 97
Received

SUBJECT.

Enclosures 2.

Forwarding sketch map & notifications regarding the revision of the Municipal boundaries of Vizianagaram.

Rc no 3794

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPT.
CURRENT No. 600 M

Rc 3794
dated 3rd July 97.
despd 9th do.

Forwarded to Government

2. The Chairman has submitted a new map which shows the present and proposed boundaries very clearly. Paimaish or other numbers are not available - but the boundary is in most places a road and in others is equally unmistakeable.

3. The Chairman has omitted to inform me of the amount by which the Council would be a gainer if the Railway Station and premises were included in the Municipal limits. He has been asked to report this urgently and the information will be forwarded on receipt.

4. The Cantonment would lose nothing. At present the Cantonment boundary is the Railway fence on the West side of the line, and the Railway Station is therefore neither in the Cantonment nor in the Municipality. I have no objection to its being included in the latter, but except the gain to the Council, no useful purpose will be served thereby, as the Railway itself attends to its sanitation and lighting, which the Municipality will ~~not~~ do even if the proposed

proposed inclusion is effected. By leaving things as they are in this respect, friction, such as exists at Waltair, will be avoided

Vizagapatam Collector's camp Office Dated 3rd February 1897
Despatched 9th – do –

H L Boardman
for Collector

Local & Munl. (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 706 M

From

The Collector
Vizagapatam

Order.

C **No.** 529

Dated 13. 2. 97
Received 18-2-1897
Registered 18-2-1897
Enclosures
Spare copies

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
18. FEB. 1897
LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT

SUBJECT.

Submitting to Government in continuation of Collector's Endorsement Rc 3794 dated 3rd instant, the Vizianagram municipal chairman's letter reporting the amount which the municipality will gain by including the Railway Station within the municipal limits

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Crs	600	M/97	

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

No 222

2/

58

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPT.
CURRENT No. 708M

Municipal Office,
Vizianagaram, 10th July 1897

From
THE CHAIRMAN, MUNICIPAL COUNCIL,
VIZIANAGARAM.

To
The Collector
Vizagapatam

Sir,

In reply to your letter dated the 8th Instant enquiring as to how much the Municipality would gain by including the railway station & premises, I have the honor to state that on actual measurements taken of the buildings &c & on information obtained by enquiry made, the Municipality would make not less than Rs 300 annually as shewn in details marginally noted.

1. Tax on Station yard & the Buildings thereon	Rs 220 — —
2. Tax on arts on the refresh room Contractor	50 — —
3. Other shops & petty traders & on salaries of the railway servants	15 — —
4. Penalties on nuisances Committed in the Station yard	15 — —
	300 — —

I have the honor &c

Sir

Your Most obdt. Servt.

V. Venkatayasthi.
Chairman M.C.

Cur. No 529 of 1897
COLLECTOR'S OFFICE, VIZAGAPATAM.

FROM

THE CHAIRMAN,
MUNICIPAL COUNCIL,
VIZIANAGARAM.

90

To

The District Collector
Vizagapatam

No. 222.

Dated 10th
Despatched 10th Feb. 97
Received

C529

Forwarded with referen
to para 3 of the Collecto
endorsement R.C. no: 3794
dated 3rd instant on lette
from the Chairman m
Vizianagram no: 206 da
15th January 1897.

C.600 m/97

SUBJECT.

12 FEB. 97

Informing that the Council would gain not less than Rs 300 by including the railway station and premises in the municipal limits

13th February 1897. For ag: Collect
desp'd 14-2-97

J. [illegible]
Ref. 12.2.97

Revision of boundaries — Vizianagram municipality

C. No: 2370. m. of 1896. d 12-8-96 from the Collector of Vizagapata
" 600 m of 1897 d 3-2-97 — Do
" 706 — 13-2-97 — Do

100

Misc.

Order. No 906 Munis d/ 14-4-97

From the draft notification and t plan submitted by the Chairman with th second of his letters read above, it appears that the boundaries have not been correctly defined in the notification, as sites which are included within the municipal limits are mentioned as "boundaries". For instance, the Dasannapeta burial ground and the supply channel, which are clearly shown in the plan as lying within the proposed limits of the municipality are stated in the notification to be the eastern boundary, and the Peddamadugedda, the tannery, Gadepalle Jagannatham's inam, &c. which are all with in the municipal limits, are spoken of as the northern boundary. The Collector is therefore requested to submit a revised draft notification giving an accurate and complete description of the boundaries and to distinctly mark on the plan which is herewith returned, all the places mentioned in the notification.

2. It is also observed that the question of the advisability of including within the municipal limits the Cantonment and the "Samastanam" lands which was raised by the Sanitary Commissioner in para. 8 of his inspection notes printed ir

x Flag. H.

in G.O.No.760m dated 4th May 1896 has not been discussed either by the council or by the collector. This should now be done.

V.R
9/4/97

10/4/7

To

The Collector of Vizagapatam, ✓
with notification and plan

P.10

ope 15/4/97

---

nt.

en given for copying
n [illegible]pied M.B. 16-4-97
en examined
en signed 15/4
189

D AGAIN G.O., No.
DATED
189 ,

ENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. .. A A A A A A A

OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO

APERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.