

21-9-07 ✓
10-10-08 ✓
9-11-10 ✓
6-11-12 ✓
12-11-13 ✓
28-1-18 ✓
21-1-19 ✓

[L. & M.]
[5,000-19-11-98.]

INDEXED.

Local & Munl. (1897) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 83 M.

DATED 25-1-97

DESPATCHED

[Despatch Abstract.]

Inspection notes.

Recording with remarks, the
explanation of the C. M. C. Connor with refer-
ence to the remarks of Govt. in connection with
the Dy. Secy's Commr. — on the
subject —

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[L. & M.
1,000-28-10-96]

Local & Munl. (1896) Department.

CURRENT No. 3318 M

Again G.O. no 2027 m d/25th November
1896 and Co. 3318 m / 96.

Mise

Order no 83 m d/25-1-97

Recorded.

2. With reference to para. 2
of the Chairman's letter his atten-
tion will be drawn to the remarks
of the Sanitary Engineer in his
letter read above. The matter should
receive daily attention.
3. The further communication
promised in para. 4 will be
awaited.

F.R.
21/1/97

S.R.
25/1/97

From

The Chairman M.C.
Coonoor.

No. 637.

Dated 10th December 1896.

Received 12. 12. 96.

Registered 12 - 12 - 96.

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submitting replies to the
remarks of Government in
its order No 1771 m d/17th Oct
1896. re. Coonoor inspection not

The Ch: M.C. Coonoor.

Copy 26/1/97

12/25/1/97

G O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[illegible]

CURRENT No. 33/8M

received 2027 and 25th November
1896 + c/o 3318 W/96

*The Chairman
Municipal Council
Coonoor*

No. 637.

Recorded

3- The further communication promised in para. 4 of the chairman letter will be awaited.

Dated 10th December 1896.

Received 12-12-96

Registered 12-12-96

2 With reference to para 2 of the
chairman's letter his attention will be
drawn to the remarks of the Secretary
Engineer in his letter read above.

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT

Submitting replies
to the remarks of Government
in its Order No 1771^m dated
17 October 1896. -

To
The Chairman. U.S. Consul.

Note. The drains referred to in para. 2 of the Inspection Notes, and which were characterized by the Deputy Sanitary Commissioner as faulty, are stated to

19/12 a/1571

have been constructed under the orders of the Sanitary Engineer. They probably form part of the drainage scheme mentioned in G.O. No. 299 M, dated 30-3-87

and completed in 1893.

The Sanitary Engineer ^{in his letter} No. 3278 dated 16th November 1896, recorded in G.O. No. 2027 and 25th November 1896, takes exception to the Council's remark on the Inspection Notes (para. 2) that "it was not responsible for the faulty construction, that the Sanitary Commissioner whom he consulted, said that his remarks on the subject did not imply that the Const. of the drains had anything to do with the cause of his complaint which was that there was an overflow of sewage because the manhole was not kept clean, and that the only way of ^{preventing} this from being blocked by rubbish, is by manual labour as suggested by ~~the~~ the Sanitary Commissioner. The conclusion arrived at by the ~~municipal~~ chairman in para. 2 of his letter does not therefore necessarily follow from his premises.

What the chairman says is that if the manhole requires ^{the constant} presence of an attendant it is not a properly constructed drain.

~~However~~ The D.S.E. admits it gets blocked daily. But still I know a manhole may require daily attention: indeed, apparently it does.

SM

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
"	2027	M	25-11-96.
"	1771	M	17-10-1896
"	1230	M	22-7-96
"	1094	L	14-6-94
	352	M	12-8-91
	299	M	30-3-87

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

No 637

Municipal Office,
Coonoor, 10th December 1896.

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPT.
CURRENT No. 3318 M

From J. A. Marjoribanks, Esq.
Chairman, Municipal Council.
Coonoor.

To The Secretary to Government,
Local and Municipal Department.
Fort St. George.

Sir,

With reference to the remarks of Government in its Order No. 1771, M. dated 17th October 1896 I have the honour to submit the following replies.

- The drains referred to in remark (1) were constructed under the orders of the Sanitary Engineer, and what the Council meant to say was that if, as suggested by the Deputy Sanitary Commissioner, it is necessary to station a man always at a manhole to keep it in order there must be something faulty in the construction of such drains.
- Adverting to remark (3) the Sanitary Board has been requested to furnish the Council with a plan and estimate of the cost of a dhobikana.
- As regards remark (4) a site for a grave yard will be selected in communication with the Chaplain of Coonoor and the Lay Trustees, and a report on the subject submitted at an early date.

I have the honour to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant
J. A. Marjoribanks
Chairman

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.