

INDEXED.
Local & Munl. (189 /) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 36 M.

DATED 11-1-97

DESPATCHED

[Despatch Abstract.]

Establt.

Stating that the proposal of the Collector of Kistna to allow Srinivasa Raghavachari to contribute for pension for the period of his employment under the Madras Municipality from 10th May to 1st Sept 1880 is inadmissible, but that the period will be treated as an interruption of duty such entailing forfeiture of pension under Section 463 of the G.S. Regulations.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[L. & M.]
500-18-8-06.

Local & Munl. (1896) Department.

CURRENT No. 2722 M

note
C. No. 2722 M and
34282 of 1896

Order No 36 Muns of 11-1-97

The collector's proposal to allow Srinivasa Raghava Chari to contribute for pension from the 10th May to 9th September 1880 is in-
admissible. As however during this period he was on leave granted by a competent authority it will be treated as an interrup-
-tion of duty not entailing for-
-feiture of past service under exception (a) to Article 463 of the Civil Service Regulations.

From

The Financial Department.

Ent No. 2032

Dated 7-10-96

Received 9-10-96

Registered 9-10-96

Enclosures C. No. 2112 Financial with 20 only

Spare copies

SUBJECT

Transferring letter from the

Accountant General Madras stating

that the case regarding the payment

with retrospective effect of contribu-

tion for pension by M.R.Ry.V. Srinivasa

Raghava Chari, Thasildar of Nandigama

Taluk, for the period he was employed

under the Madras Municipality should

be referred to the Government of India.

132
11/1/97

note

Please see the note for orders
on C. No. 2722 M - Flag F.

To DWP 15/1/97

The Collector of Kistna

with Service Register and
" Accountant General

" Revenue Department

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
100	1061	Dr. Dr.	6-7-95
50	675-76	Dr. Dr.	20-4-95
50	2802	L. Press	29-11-89
50	613	Funeral	3-7-82
50	338	Funeral	20-4-82
50	126	Funeral	25-8-81
50	1742	Funeral	

[illegible]

CURRENT No. 2442

The Accountant General
Madras.

No. La
241 C

Dated 24 September 96

Received 26.^u do

Registered 28. " do

Enclosures cno 2112 with Encls: ret.

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Stating that the case regarding the payment with retrospective effect of contribution for pension by Mr R R V Srinwasa Raghunatha Chari, Tahsildar of Nandiyama Taluk for the period he was employed under the Madras Municipality should be referred to the Government of India

M.O. written to (29/9) for s.o on
letter from Govt. of India N° 366
26.11.90.

fo. rec'd of file subm'd ²¹ 30/13
to the Collector of

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
613:	3.7.82		
338:	25.4.82		
126:	25.1.81.		
1742:	10.9.80		
1612:	24.10.71		


FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[F.]
23
1,000-20-7-96.

Financial (1896) Department.

CURRENT No. 2112



From The Collector,
Kistna.

Order

Inst. No 1779.

Referred to the Accountant

General for favour of remarks

Refone No. 3559
Aut. of 96

(By Order)

W. Phillips
Ag. Assistant Secy

Dated 26th August
Received 1st Sept 1896

Registered 2nd do

Enclosures 20

Spare copies. nil

C.S.
3.9.96

Ootacamund
4th Sept 1896

SUBJECT.

Requesting that necessary orders
be issued directing Mr. Srinivasa
Raghavachari, now Tahsildar of
Nandigama Taluk, to pay
pensionary contribution for the
period 11th May 1880 to 9th Sept 1880.

TO BE RETURNED
WITH REPLY.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Kistna Collector's office,
Masulipatam,
Dated 26th August 1896.

From,

J. N. Atkinson Esquire,
Collector, Kistna.

To,

The Secretary
to the Government of Madras,
Financial Department.

Sir,

In submitting herewith the Service Register of
M. R. V. Sreenivasa Raghavachari Garu now
Tahsildar of Nandigama Taluk with the connected
records for reference and return, I have the honor
to state that he appears to have been the
Superintendent of Home & Taxes Branch, Revenue
Department, Madras Municipality, from 10th May
1880. But in G.O. No 338 dated 25.4.82 Financial, he
was allowed to pay $\frac{1}{2}$ of his pay as pensionary
contribution only from 10th Sep: 1880. Thus the period
of his service in the Municipality from 10th May 80
to 9th Sep: 80 for which no pensionary contribu-
-tion was paid by him forms a break in his
service. I therefore request that you will be good
enough to move the Government for orders
allowing the officer to pay the contribution
for the above period. He drew pay then at Rs 80
a month.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

J. N. Atkinson
Collector

26/8/96.

From,

The Collector
Kistna.

To

The Secretary
to the Govt of Madras
Financial Deptt.

Dated 26-8-96

Despd 27. 8. 96

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
1 SEP 1896

Refd at 35.5.96
Aut.

Encl. one set of
Correspondence

Subject.

Requesting to move the Govt of
Madras to give orders allowing
the Tahsildar of Nandigama to
pay pensionary contribution
for the period 11.5-80 to 9.9-80.

Pension

DEPARTMENT.

CURRENT NO. 2442

FORT ST. GEORGE,

Accountant-General's Office,

24th September 1896.

No. G.A.E.
211C

From

Y. C. Harrison Esq^r,
Offy. ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL TO THE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

THE Chief Secretary to Government,
Financial Department.

SIR,

With reference to your Assistant Secretary's
Endorsement No: 1779, dated the 4th September '96
referring to me for remarks letter No 3559
dated 26th August 1896 from the collector of
Kistna requesting the sanction of Government
to the payment by Mr. R. P. V. Srinivasa
Raghava Chari, Tahsildar of Nandigama
Taluk of contribution for pension for the
period from 10th May to 9th September 1880
during which he was employed under the
Madras Municipality, I have the honour
to observe that the sanction to the payment
of

dated 26th August 1896 the Collector of

of contribution with retrospective effect is irregular and
opposed to the orders of the Government of India
(Vide Government of India letter No: 360 dated 18th 3164/2
6th November 1890 addressed to the Madras Govern- 9-12-90
ment, Local and Municipal Department) and
that the case should therefore be submitted to
Government of India for decision.

The endorsement under reply and its enclosures
are herewith returned.

I have the honour to be,

Your ^{Sir,} Most obedient servant,

W Michael
for Offg. Accountant General.

WR

From

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,

MADRAS,

To

THE

No.

Dated

Received

No.

Subject.

Department of Pensionary Contributions

Payment of Pensionary Contribution

by Srinivasa Raghava Chari

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Again G.O., dated

189 , No.

Current No. 2722 M.

of 1896, dated 7th October 1896 from the Financial Department

Current No.

of 189 , dated

from the

Hm

FOR ORDERS.

at present Tah? of handiwork
Tg. Kistua

One Srinivasa Raghava Chari who

was employed as a clerk in the late Local
Fund Department of the Revenue Board's
office, was ^{legally} ~~allowed~~ to take up the post of
acting Superintendent of the House Tax
Department on Rs 80 in the Madras Muni-
cipal Office, and for this purpose he was
^{granted} ~~allowed~~ leave without allowances for three
months, with effect from 10th May 1880.

He entered upon his duties in the Muni-
cipal Office on that date, but paid the
necessary pensionary contributions to Govern-
ment only from the 10th September 1880;
his date of ^{reference} ~~reference~~ to the President as
the date on which his service in the Mu-
nicipality commenced. Vide President's
letter printed in G.O. No 338 dated
25th April 1882. In his letter No 3559
dated 26th August 1896 the Collector of
Tolna requests that orders may be made

A + B

D

C

D

Received 17/11

From

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,

MADRAS,

To

THE

No.

Dated

Received

No.

Subject.

Payment of Pensionary Contribution

*Payment of pensionary contribution
by Srinivasa Raghava Chari*

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Again G.O., dated

189 , No.

Current No. 2722 M.

of 1896, dated 7th October 1896 from the Financial Department

Current No.

of 189 , dated from the

Hm

FOR ORDERS.

*at present Tah? of handjama
Tg. Kistia*

One Srinivasa Raghava Chari who

*was employed as a clerk in the late Local
Fund Department of the Revenue Board's
office, was ^{requested} to take up the post of
acting Superintendent of the House Tax
Department on Rs 80 in the Madras Muni-
cipal Office, and for this purpose he was
^{requested} leave without allowances for three
months, with effect from 10th May 188 .*

A + B

*He entered upon his duties in the Muni-
cipal Office on that date, but paid the
necessary pensionary contributions to Govern-
ment only from the 10th September 1880;
^{this date is referred to by the President as}
the date on which his service in the Mu-
nicipality commenced. Vide President's
letter printed in G.O. No 338 dated
25th April 1882. In his letter No 3559
dated 26th August 1896 the Collector of
Tina requests that orders on*

D

C

D

Received 17/11

From

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL.

There will be a break in his service. The Accountant-General, to whom this letter was referred for remarks, says that sanction to pay this arrear contribution would be irregular and opposed to the orders of the Government of India contained in its letter No. 360 dated 6th November 1890, recorded in G.O. No. 3164 L dated 9th December 1890, and that the case should therefore be submitted to that Government for decision. Srinivasa Raghava Chari should have paid this contribution also at the same time as that from 10th September 1880. But as he failed to do so, it is submitted that he cannot be now permitted to contribute for pension for the period in question. As however, he was on leave for this period granted by a competent authority, the same may be treated as an interruption of duty not entailing forfeiture of past service under exception (a) to Article 463 of the Civil Service Regulations.

Cor.—

Submitted that the course suggested by the spec may

A. C. S.

Payment of Pensionary Contribution
by Srinivasa Raghava Chari

L. & M. Dept.

The course at A is, it is thought, permissible; but before passing orders on this point it would be as well if the Acc. Genl. were consulted. This would perhaps save a further reference from him.

C.S.
5.7.96

MA
5.11.96

Reference 1302
13-11-96

As Srinivasa Raghava Chari was on leave granted by a competent authority, from 10th May to 9th September 1880, the Accountant-General is requested to state whether ^{in the circumstances of the case} the same may not be treated as an interruption of duty not entailing forfeiture of past service under exception (a) to Article 463 of the Civil Service Regulations.

V.A.
7/11/96

These papers
are to be
sent to the
S. D. M. 10

FOR ORDERS.

[L. & M.
1,000-28-10-00.]

Local & Munl. (1896) Department.

CURRENT No. 3428 L

Order

From The Accountant General
- Madras.

No. $\frac{942}{284 C}$

Dated 14/12/96.

Received 14/12

Registered 15/12

Enclosures 2722 In with enclos: returned

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Stating that the employment of
Srinivasa Rajanachari under the
- Madras Municipality from 10 May
to 9 September 1880 during which
he paid no pensionary contribution
to Govt. may be ignored & the
leave treated as falling under
exception (a) to Art. 462 of the

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[L. & M.
3,000-19-1-98.]

INDEXED.

Department

No. 2840
DEPARTMENT.FORT ST. GEORGE,
Accountant-General's Office,
12th December 1896.No. 2840
LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPT.
CURRENT No. 3428 L

From

Y. C. Harrison Esq.,

To

Offg. ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

The Secretary to Government,

Local and Municipal Department.

SIR,

dated. in

Srinivasa Ray

Madras Municipal your memorandum No. 1302, sent during which he paid no salary, and Government may be treated as an employee falling under exception (a) to Article 463 of the Civil Service Regulations. I have the honour to state that the grant of leave without allowances to permit an officer to take up other duty is improper, for leave without allowance can, under Article 372 of the Civil Service Regulations, be given only in case of necessity and when no other leave is by rule admissible to him. But as in the present case the leave had already been granted, the employee