

Local & Munl. (1897) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 2327 *in*

DATED 27-10-97

INDEXED. *CR*

DESPATCHED

[Despatch Abstract.]

Administration Report

*Recording with remarks. Explanation of
points noticed in the — of the Bangalore
and Mil. Com. for 1896-97.*

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Local & Munl. (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 3495

From The chairman
Municipal council
Canjeveram.

Order No. 2327 m. d. 27.10.97

Recorded with the following
remarks:—

(1) The necessary corrections have
been made with reference to the ex-
planations furnished on clauses (3),
(4), (5), (8), (16), (18) and (20) of the Govern-
ment Memorandums under reply and
on clauses (1) and (3) to (5) of the Collector's
endorsement on the administration
report for 1896-97.

(2) The sanction of Government in submitting the explanations
should have been obtained for the for the discrepancies
reduction from Annas 8 to annas 6 pointed out in the Canjee-
veram Municipal report
in the salary of the sweeper of the Singaperumalkovil street school.
The ^{reduction} is, however, now approved.

(3) In column II of Statement
XIII, only the prosecutions instituted
for failure to get children

Order No. 1076 of 97.

Dated 7th October 1897.

Received 1 OCT. 1897

Registered 11-10-97

Enclosures 1

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

14/10. 2/19/10/97
is Rs 10/- was under normal training

accinated should be shown as required by the heading.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Note - The statements have been retained for the preparation of the general reviews.

To
The Chairman, M.C. Conjeevaram

21/10/97

Cur. no 1076 of 97
m

Municipal Office.
Conjeevaram 7.10.97

From.

Dr R. V. Venkataswamy Naidu, Esq.
Chairman,
Municipal Council.
Conjeevaram

To,
The Chief Secretary to Government
of Madras.
Madras.

Sir,
With reference to Government
Official Memorandum No. 954 dated
9th September 1897 pointing out certain
discrepancies in the Conjeevaram
Municipal report for 1896-97 and
directing to explain the same, I
beg to submit my explanations
as shown below:-

Clause (4) - The sum of Rs 134,965-6-8
shown in para 45 of the report and
in column 5 (not 6 of the Statement)
agrees with the details given in the
office copy of the Statement; but as
through oversight errors have crept in,
in the original Statement, the marginal
total details are given for amendment.

Clause 5 - (1) The Head Master of Sings
perumal School whose sanctioned pay
is Rs 10/- was under normal training

Rs 839-2-7. Establishment
1475-4-6. Imprest Ams
132595-3-8. works
134909-6-9.
55-15-11 for the construction of
sanitary latrine
Total Rs 134965-6-8

for about $2\frac{1}{2}$ months in the year without pay. During his absence, the 1st Assistant whose salary is Rs 7/- per mensem was acting for him drawing Rs 10, while the 2nd Assistant who acted for the 1st in the arrangement, was not allowed the pay attached to that office but was made to work for Rs 6, the sanctioned salary to the 2nd Assistant; hence the deviation.

(2) The Sweeper employed in one of the Schools consented to work for Annas 6 per mensem against Annas 8 provided in the budget, thus the difference.

(3) Though the Hospital Compounder's sanctioned pay is Rs 15/- a month, he is not eligible to draw it, not having passed the departmental examination. He was therefore allowed only Rs 12/- as in the previous years by the medical department.

Clause (16) - The sums of Rs 1009.4-0 & Rs 339-12-0 as shown in Statement III A are correct; but the figures shown in para 28 of the report are incorrect and they have been corrected here accordingly.

Clause (18) - They state that both the Lower Secondary & Primary Departments of the Pachayappalli High School were aided during the year as

omission of the latter in para 27 is a mistake.

Clause (20) - The details as per Office Copy of the report, para 70, shows that the Vaccine Combing and printing including Stationery are Rs 214-2-4 & Rs 134.9.11 respectively amounting in all to Rs 348.12-3 and they are correct.

Clause (21) - 514 are unprotected Cases reported to the Magistrate under Sec 138(2) & they are shown in Column 11 of the Statement III, but there were also 265 cases in which parents have failed to produce for inspection Children Vaccinated, and this appears to have been omitted in the Statement as there are no distinct Columns provided in it.

Clause (3) - There was an expenditure of Rs 43-10-11 under Meat Market & Rs 12-11-2 under Slaughter-house.

Clause (8) - The strength of the Lower ^{Secondary} & Primary Departments of the Pachayappalli High School as 31st March 19 was 201. The results Schools were paid at the maximum rates.

Clause (1) of the Collector's Endorsement - The sum of Rs 1358 shown under License fees is correct as entered in the Statement, while the report shows an incorrect

214-2-4
134-9-11
348-12-3

514
265
779

Clause (3) - The cost of General Estab-
lishment shown in para 88 of the report
is incorrect and it ought to be Rs
1505.3.3 as shown in the Statement.

Clause (4) - In Statement IV A
a sum of Rs 9 1/2 spent on account
of contingencies is wrongly included
under Establishment which should
be deducted & shown in column 17;
hence the mistake.

Clause (5) - The amount of tax
on arts viz Rs 9919-8-0 as given in
Statement IV A is correct and the
details of which are shown in the
margin.

2 ^d class -	Rs 1150-
3 ^d do -	937-8-
4 th do -	972-
5 th do -	1128-
6 th do -	2770-8-
7 th do -	15-
8 th do -	2946-8-
Total	Rs 9919-8-

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant.

W. S. S. S. S.
Chairman

W. S. S. S. S.

When given for copying
When copied 28/10/77
When examined
When signed 29/10 } 189

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTERS OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO

PAPERS NOT FILLED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL

H.B.-

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only