

Local & Munl. (189) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 1996m

DATED 15-9-97

INDEXED. *a*

DESPATCHED

[Despatch Abstract.]

Land

Informing the Collector of Distna, that
the ——— appoin to the Taluk Cutcherry at
Berwada & now selected for the construction
of a Church, must be considered to be
munl. property.

Local & Munl. (1897) Department. ^{4/18}

CURRENT No. 2583M

From

The Acting Collector
Kistna District

Mem

Order. No. 1996 M. mis. dt 15. 9. 97.

The Collector is informed
that the land in question must be
considered to be municipal property.

V.R.
8/7/97

15/9/97

Re No. 1582 of 97.
eng

Dated 10th July 1897.

Received 16 JUL 1897

Registered 16. 7. 1897

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Note. Drafted on notes at end of file.

Requesting orders regarding the
ownership of the site opposite to
the Taluk Cutcherry, Bezawada now
proposed for the construction of
Bezawada church.

To

The Collector of Kistna
Chairman - M.C. Bezawada

Order 1/9/97

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Go	880	W	29-3-97
"	719	wh	13-6-89
"	1177	wh	13-9-89
"	380	h	3-4-89

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Again G.O., dated

Current No. 2583. m.

Current No.

189 , No.

of 1897, dated 10th July 1897

of 189 , dated

from the Collector of Kistna.
from the

SUBJECT.

FOR ORDERS.

fo 845th C
3-8-1888

Vise paras. 6 and 7 of the Collector's
letter printed on page 3 of fo 380th B
3-4-1889

Rs 500, was not spent as the Rs 500
allotted waste land within of a portion of the site
taken up -

The site referred to
was acquired in 1888, for the
improvement of the town of Benwada,
by removing the dwellings of
Pariahs and Chuklers situated
therein to a better locality. The
compensation paid for the land
was Rs 1,799, and the total cost
of the removal of the Paricherry
together with the construction
of two roads for the improve-
ment of the neighbourhood,
amounted to Rs 6,403, of which,
however, only Rs 5,903, were ac-
tually expended. Towards the
cost of carrying out these works,
the District Board of Kistna
contributed Rs 5,000, and a sum
of Rs 500 was realized by the
sale to the Pariahs of some

extra sites. The actual cost to the municipality of acquiring the site was thus about Rs 400. The Collector now enquires whether the land is to be considered the exclusive property of the municipality and points out that if the claim of the municipality is valid, the sale of the site, measuring 8000 square yards, at the rate of twelve annas per square yard, will yield an income of Rs 6000 to the municipality, as against a net expenditure of Rs 400, in the acquisition of the land.

2. The site referred to must be considered to be municipal property, as it was acquired at the instance of the municipal Council for the improvement of the town, and if the District Board contributed Rs 5000 towards this, it was not with the object of creating a right to or interest in the property, but in view of the fact that ^{the} several improvements proposed by the municipal Council "were also calculated to benefit the inhabitants of the area".

yes
§ 72

vide para. 10. of Mr. Anundel's letter
on page 4 of G.O. 380. M. 3-4-89. B

Vide paras. 13 to 15 of Mr. Wynch's letter
printed on pages 5 & 6 of G.O. 380 M.
3-4-89.

"area under the District Board".
— vide paragraph 1. of G.O. No 380 dated 3rd April 1889. It is only the improvements thus effected that have enhanced the value of the land in question, and the amount that might be realized by the resale of the land, was counted upon by the municipal Council, which proposed to utilize it in effecting several other improvements to the locality. The land cannot likewise be the property of Government. No grant was made from Provincial funds as promised in paragraph 2. of G.O. No 380. M, dated 3rd April 1889.

K.R.
24/1/89

~~11/1/89~~

The site is municipal property
8/4/89

extra sites. The actual cost to the

No. _____ of 189

OFFICE
OF THE
COLLECTOR & MAGISTRATE,
Camp Belwada.
MASSULIPATAM,
KISTNA DISTRICT.

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPT. 1897.
10th July 2583m

FROM,

J. K. B. *Esquire*
Acting Collector, Kistna District.

TO,

The Chief Secretary to Government,
Local and Municipal Department,
Fort St. George.

SIR,

In G.O. No 880 W 29th March 1897. Public works, a Committee of which I am a member was appointed to select a site for the proposed church at Belwada. At a meeting held by the Committee it was considered (subject to any alternative proposals to be made in the final report) that the best site for the church is the open space opposite the Talug Cutcherry which was rendered vacant by the removal of the dwellings of Pariahs and chucklers to a better site.

2. I undertook to ascertain and report to the Committee the present ownership of the site and the terms on which it might be obtained as a site for the church.

3. I accordingly asked the Belwada Municipal chairman to state to whom the site now belongs. In reply he claims the site to be the property of the Municipality under G.O. dated

3rd April 1889 No 380 M. A reference to this G.O. is solicited. I beg to be informed whether Government considers that the site in question which is still vacant is municipal property. Prior to the improvement referred to in the G.O. the site was private property.

As will appear from the letters of Mr. Arundel and Mr. Weych embodied in the G.O. the compensation paid for the site amounted to Rs 1799 and the total cost of the improvements was Rs 6403, of which Rs 5000 were met by a free grant from the District Board. The net cost to the Municipality was Rs 400.

4. Under these circumstances I beg to ask for the information of the Committee whether the Municipality should be regarded as the sole owner of the vacant site. The Municipality proposes to sell the land for building purposes, and the price realised will be probably about 12 as a square yard. The site measures about 8000 square yards, and if the claim of the Municipality is valid it will have acquired land worth about Rs 6000 for an expenditure of Rs 400.

5. On receipt of Government orders the Committee will address Government regarding the church site. What is now wanted is a ruling as to the ownership of the above site. If the site is Government property

no compensation will have to be paid. If it is municipal property the question of compensation will have to be considered by the committee.

I have the honor to be
Sir,

Your most obedient servant

J. H. Mather

Acting Collector

He for
12/7/97

13/1/97

189

From,
THE COLLECTOR,
KISTNA DISTRICT.

To,
THE CHIEF SECRETARY,
TO GOVERNMENT,
LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT,
FORT St. GEORGE.

Dated
Despatched
Received

No. ——— of 189 .

ENCLOSURES.

SUBJECT.

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed

189 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
189 .

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.