Local & Munl. (1897) Department.

INDEXED.

PRINTED.

G.O., No. 146 M

DATED 3-2-97

DESPATCHED

[Despatch Abstract.]

water supply scheme.

Sanctioning with remarks the revised estimate of Rs. 3,74,386 for the Cocanada ____ & requesting the P. W. D. to commence the work in the ensuing official year.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

76/42

Proof

Government {1897} of Madras.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL.

C. A.

Proof for approval.

Struck off as revised

1K
7/2/97

Recd.	1897.	*Enclosures*	
Regd.		*Spare copies*	

G.O., No. 146 M., 3rd February 1897.

FEBRUARY.

Water-supply Scheme.

Sanctioning, with remarks, the revised estimate of Rs. 3,74,396 for the Cocanada — and requesting the Public Works Department to commence the work in the ensuing official year.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers :—

From M.R.Ry. K. SESHACHALAPATI Garu, B.A., Chairman, Municipal Council, Cocanada, to the Chief Secretary to Government (through the Collector, Gódávari District, and the Deputy Collector in charge of the Head-quarters Division), dated 29th September 1896, No. 841-98-Eng.

With reference to G.O., Mis. No. 39 M., dated 8th January 1896, I have the honour to submit herewith resolutions of the council, dated 29th January and 12th September 1896, and to report that the gentlemen who made liberal offers of contribution towards the cost of the water scheme have given renewed promises for Rs. 52,500. They are unwilling to deposit the money in the bank in favour of the municipality before sanction is accorded by Government for the execution of the scheme, but they are prepared to pay up the money on receipt of favourable orders from Government, though the execution may be deferred till April next. In order that they may not remain in suspense very long, they insist on having the orders of Government sanctioning the execution of the scheme, before 31st March next, as a condition of their offers.

2. There are others from whom smaller contributions are expected, but they have not come forward at present, pending the sanction of Government for the scheme. The council is confident that it can realize not less than Rs. 69,112-8-0 from subscriptions as stated in paragraph 9 of my letter, No. 776, dated 22nd October 1895, and trusts that the Government will be pleased to order the execution of the work at an early date, before the lapse of the offered contributions.

3. All the appeals against the late revision of house-tax having been disposed of, the council is in a position to assure Government once more that the final demand of house-tax has not fallen below Rs. 21,000, and that it can unquestionably bear the charge for sinking fund from the current revenues as explained in my letter of 22nd October last, and as provided for in the last budget, submitted from this office.

4. I deeply regret the unavoidable delay in the submission of this report, caused by the delay in receiving the renewed promises of contribution and in the disposal of appeals against the revised house-tax. The inquiry into the appeals of 1895–96 was finally closed on 23rd instant, after three special meetings of 5 hours each.

5. Copies of the renewed promises are enclosed.

Dis. on Cur. No. 2135—H.D.C.

Submitted to the Collector.

2. It would be a thousand pities if the council were to be unable to avail itself of the munificent offers now made. If Cocanada wants one thing more than another, it is a water-works scheme. The water now supplied is so scanty and impure that people that can afford to get water from places outside the municipal limits scrupulously avoid it.

5th October 1896. (Signed) S. VENKATA RAMA DAS,
Deputy Collector.

Dis. No. 1215-Eng.

Submitted for favourable consideration.

2. It will be seen that only one gentleman has executed a promissory note for Rs. 40,000. Two others have written letters of assurance, one of them objecting on principle to being required to execute a promissory note. The council is sanguine that the contributions will be paid if the scheme is sanctioned.

3. I fully endorse the remarks of the Head-quarters Deputy Collector as to the urgent necessity for carrying out the scheme.

9th October 1896. (Signed) G. ELPHINSTONE,
Acting Collector.

From J. A. JONES, Esq., MEM. INST. C.E., F.R.S.E., Sanitary Engineer to Government, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Fort St. George, 21st October 1896, No. 3104.

I have the honour to submit herewith a letter, No. 936-98-Eng., dated 13th October 1896, from the Chairman, Municipal Council, Cocanada, requesting to include the water-supply scheme of Cocanada in the programme of works for execution next year. As this department no longer undertakes construction, the matter is one which should have the attention of the Public Works Department.

ENCLOSURE.

From M.R.Ry. K. SESHACHALAPATI Garu, B.A., Chairman, Municipal Council, Cocanada, to the Sanitary Engineer to the Government of Madras, dated 13th October 1896, No. 936-98-Eng.

Adverting to my letter No. 777, dated 26th October 1895, I have the honour to request that you will be so good as to include the water scheme referred to therein in the programme of works for execution next year which you will have to submit to Government under rule 15 embodied in G.O., No. 1698 L., dated 18th September 1896. The scheme was prepared by you more than four years ago. The town is badly in need of good water-supply. The offers of contribution (for about Rs. 55,000) will lapse, if the work be not sanctioned before the close of this official year.

2. My final report to Government on the subject was submitted to Government in my letter No. 841-98-Eng., dated 29th ultimo.

MEMORANDUM.

No. 17.

The Sanitary Engineer is requested to revise the estimates for the Cocanada water-supply scheme with reference to paragraph 5 of G.O., No. 847 M., dated 15th May 1896, and re-submit them to Government together with the connected plans, with the least possible delay.

11th January 1897. (Signed) G. S. FORBES,
Secretary to Government.

To the Sanitary Engineer.

From J. A. JONES, Esq., MEM. INST. C.E., F.R.S.E., Sanitary Engineer to Government, to the Secretary to Government, Local and Municipal Department, dated Fort St. George, 22nd January 1897, No. 132.

With reference to your memorandum, No. 17, dated 11th January 1897, I have the honour to forward revised estimates for the Cocanada water-supply scheme in which all provision for establishment and supervision has been omitted in accordance with paragraph 5 of G.O., No. 847 M., dated 15th May 1896.

2. The first estimate (Rs. 3,98,850) is for the complete works designed for 15 gallons per head for a population of 50,000. The second estimate (Rs. 3,55,080) is for the same scheme; but with the omission of one pair of filters, &c., the supply being reduced to 10 gallons per head for a population of 40,000 (*vide* paragraph 6 of my letter No. 2453 of 7th November 1892).

3. It may be noted here that the cost of pipes and machinery in the original estimate was calculated at 1*s.* 1*d.* for the rupee. Exchange is very favourable now, but I have allowed the original amounts to stand as this item is a fluctuating one and it is impossible to say what the exchange will be when the payments for the pipes have to be actually made.

4. On the supposition that Government provides half the cost of the scheme, the annual cost of the smaller scheme will be as follows:—

	RS.
Interest and sinking fund at 5·96 per cent. on Rs. 1,77,540	10,581
Pumping charges per annum for 400,000 gallons daily	5,500
Do. do. for extra two months	1,000
Sand cleaning	750
Maintenance of pipes, &c.	3,000
Value of canal water at Re. 1 per 1,000 cubic yards	1,192
Total	22,023

Order—No. 146 M., dated 3rd February 1897.

As the adoption of the larger of the two revised estimates submitted by the Sanitary Engineer with his letter read above is beyond the present financial capabilities of the municipal council, the smaller one, which provides for a supply of 10 gallons per head for a population of 40,000, is sanctioned. In accordance, however, with the views expressed by the Chief Engineer in paragraph 3 of his memorandum No. 495-C, dated 3rd February 1893, recorded in G.O., No. 276 M., dated 1st March 1893, the cost of the puddling of the Raja's tank, which has been omitted by the Sanitary Engineer in order to effect a saving of Rs. 18,396, will be included, and the estimate as thus revised will stand at Rs. 3,74,396. A moiety of this sum Rs. 1,87,198, or Rs. 1,87,200 in round figures, will be paid as a grant from Provincial funds. As to the other half, the municipal council expects to realize a sum of Rs. 69,100 from public subscriptions and the balance of Rs. 1,18,100 will be advanced by Government as a loan on the usual terms.

2. The municipal council should lose no time in drawing the grant of Rs. 79,340 provided for this purpose in the revised estimate of Provincial grants for 1896–97. In order that the loan of Rs. 92,870 provided on this account in the revised estimate of Provincial advances may also be drawn before the end of the year, an application in the prescribed form will be published in the *Fort St. George Gazette*.

3. The Public Works Department will be requested to commence the work in the ensuing official year.

(True Extract.)

Secretary to Government.

To the Chairman, Municipal Council, Cocanada.
,, Collector of Gódávari.
,, Financial Department.
,, Accountant-General.
,, Sanitary Board.
,, Public Works Department.
Editors' Table.
Gazette.
Exd.

[Annexure.

Sanitary Commissioner
Sanitary Engineer

ANNEXURES.

Detailed Account of the Receipts and Charges of the Cocanada Municipality for the years 1893–94, 1894–95 and 1895–96.

—	Actuals, 1893–94.	Actuals, 1894–95.	Actuals, 1895–96.
Receipts.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Balance brought forward	4,964	1,261	3,448
Tax on Arts	4,795	5,685	7,120
,, on Buildings and Lands	14,310	16,088	21,435
,, on Vehicles and Animals	1,858	1,988	2,095
,, on Carts	1,332	1,640	1,626
Tolls	7,577	6,460	5,947
Realizations under Special Acts	..	130	130
Rent of Lands, Buildings, &c., and Sale-proceeds of Lands, &c.	379	252	325
Conservancy Receipts	..	2	9
Fees and Revenue from Educational Institutions	300	361	831
,, from Medical Institutions	641	520	686
Income from Markets and Slaughter-houses	3,564	3,515	3,334
License Fees	1,191	1,500	1,627
Other Fees	863	1,014	978
Fines under Municipal and other Acts	1,098	1,041	1,341
Grants and Contributions from Government	679	1,264	893
,, from Local Boards	1,600	1,600	4,000
,, from other Bodies	117	..	303
Recoveries for Services to Private Individuals	855	913	2,040
Miscellaneous	19	159	397
Advances Recovered	2,100	986	256
Total	48,242 ✓	46,379 ✓	58,821 ✓
Charges.			
Grant 1—			
New Works—			
Communications	800	118	306
Buildings	4,047	574	1,978
Drainage	..	..	20
Water-supply	..	255	374
Repairs—			
Communications	6,944	4,463	10,067
Buildings	791	659	2,882
Drainage	725	424	1,374
Water-supply	1,312	1,295	1,639
Establishment	359	359	250
Tools and Plant and other Stores	396	29	76
Contributions for Public Works	..	2,000	1,522
Total	15,374 ✓	10,176 ✓	20,488 ✓
Grant 2—			
Training Schools	74	91	182
Municipal Schools—			
On the Salary System	..	..	473
On the Salary-result System	2,124	2,518	2,885
Grants-in-aid—			
Salary Grants	458	419	279
Result Grants	459	282	350
Inspection	295	328	309
Miscellaneous	5	..	..
Total	3,415 ✓	3,638 ✓	4,478 ✓
Grant 3—			
Hospitals and Dispensaries	6,798	8,251	7,857
Contributions	258	383	426
Vaccination	761	698	686
Registration of Births and Deaths	322	297	227
Conservancy, Road-cleaning and Road-watering	9,174	9,353	10,299
Total	17,313 ✓	18,982 ✓	19,495 ✓
Grant 4—			
Lighting	1,867	1,880	1,877
Markets and Slaughter-houses	90	114	336
Choultries	331	300	300
Public Garden, Survey of Land, Fire and other charges	1,310	1,336	1,741
Total	3,598 ✓	3,630 ✓	4,254 ✓
Grant 5—			
Supervision and Management	6,315	6,310	7,628
Advances Becoverable	761	68	537
Refunds	205	127	51
Balance	1,261	3,448	1,890
Total	48,242 ✓	46,379 ✓	58,821 ✓

Application of the Cocanada Municipality for a Loan from Government under the Local Authorities Loan Act of 1879.

The work or works, for the construction or repair of which the loan is required and an estimate of the cost thereof.	The amount which it is proposed to borrow.	The fund or funds on the security of which it is proposed to borrow.	The law or laws under which the said fund or funds is or are levied, received or held.	The period for which the loan is required, the number and amount of the instalments, if any, in which it is proposed that the loan shall be taken, the dates proposed for receiving such instalments, and the instalments, if any, in which it is proposed to repay the loans.	A detailed account of the revenue and expenditure of the local authority for the three last preceding years.	All existing prior charges upon the funds of the local authority.
Cocanada water-supply scheme estimate to cost 4·16 lakhs.	RS. 1,18,100	The Cocanada Municipal fund.	The Madras District Municipalities Act IV of 1884.	The loan is required for thirty years. It will be taken in two instalments of Rs. 92,870 and Rs. 25,230. The first in 1896–97 and the second in 1897–98 and will be repaid with interest at 4¼ per cent in thirty annual instalments of Rs. 7,039.	Attached herewith.	*Nil.*

Government (1897) of Madras.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL.

Recd. } 1897. *Enclosures*
Regd. *Spare copies*

G.O., No. 146 M., 3rd February 1897.

FEBRUARY.

Water-supply Scheme.

Sanctioning, with remarks, the revised estimate of Rs. 3,74,396 for the Cocanada — and requesting the Public Works Department to commence the work in the ensuing official year.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following papers:—

From M.R.Ry. K. SESHACHALAPATI Garu, B.A., Chairman, Municipal Council, Cocanada, to the Chief Secretary to Government (through the Collector, Gódávari District, and the Deputy Collector in charge of the Head-quarters Division), dated 29th September 1896, No. 841-98-Eng.

With reference to G.O., Mis. No. 39 M., dated 8th January 1896, I have the honour to submit herewith resolutions of the council, dated 29th January and 12th September 1896, and to report that the gentlemen who made liberal offers of contribution towards the cost of the water scheme have given renewed promises for Rs. 52,500. They are unwilling to deposit the money in the bank in favour of the municipality before sanction is accorded by Government for the execution of the scheme, but they are prepared to pay up the money on receipt of favourable orders from Government, though the execution may be deferred till April next. In order that they may not remain in suspense very long, they insist on having the orders of Government sanctioning the execution of the scheme, before 31st March next, as a condition of their offers.

2. There are others from whom smaller contributions are expected, but they have not come forward at present, pending the sanction of Government for the scheme. The council is confident that it can realize not less than Rs. 69,112-8-0 from subscriptions as stated in paragraph 9 of my letter, No. 776, dated 22nd October 1895, and trusts that the Government will be pleased to order the execution of the work at an early date, before the lapse of the offered contributions.

3. All the appeals against the late revision of house-tax having been disposed of, the council is in a position to assure Government once more that the final demand of house-tax has not fallen below Rs. 21,000, and that it can unquestionably bear the charge for sinking fund from the current revenues as explained in my letter of 22nd October last, and as provided for in the last budget, submitted from this office.

4. I deeply regret the unavoidable delay in the submission of this report, caused by the delay in receiving the renewed promises of contribution and in the disposal of appeals against the revised house-tax. The inquiry into the appeals of 1895-96 was finally closed on 23rd instant, after three special meetings of 5 hours each.

5. Copies of the renewed promises are enclosed.

Dis. on Cur. No. 2135—H.D.C.

Submitted to the Collector.

2. It would be a thousand pities if the council were to be unable to avail itself of the munificent offers now made. If Cocanada wants one thing more than another, it is a water-works scheme. The water now supplied is so scanty and impure that people that can afford to get water from places outside the municipal limits scrupulously avoid it.

(Signed) S. VENKATA RAMA DAS,
Deputy Collector.

5th October 1896.

Dis. No. 1215-Eng.

Submitted for favourable consideration.

2. It will be seen that only one gentleman has executed a promissory note for Rs. 40,000. Two others have written letters of assurance, one of them objecting on principle to being required to execute a promissory note. The council is sanguine that the contributions will be paid if the scheme is sanctioned.

3. I fully endorse the remarks of the Head-quarters Deputy Collector as to the urgent necessity for carrying out the scheme.

(Signed) G. ELPHINSTONE,
Acting Collector.

9th October 1896.

From J. A. JONES, Esq., MEM. INST. C.E., F.R.S.E., Sanitary Engineer to Government, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Fort St. George, 21st October 1896, No. 3104.

I have the honour to submit herewith a letter, No. 936-98-Eng., dated 13th October 1896, from the Chairman, Municipal Council, Cocanada, requesting to include the water-supply scheme of Cocanada in the programme of works for execution next year. As this department no longer undertakes construction, the matter is one which should have the attention of the Public Works Department.

Enclosure.

From M.R.Ry. K. SESHACHALAPATI Garu, B.A., Chairman, Municipal Council, Cocanada, to the Sanitary Engineer to the Government of Madras, dated 13th October 1896, No. 936-98-Eng.

Adverting to my letter No 777, dated 26th October 1895, I have the honour to request that you will be so good as to include the water scheme referred to therein in the programme of works for execution next year which you will have to submit to Government under rule 15 embodied in G.O., No. 1698 L., dated 18th September 1896. The scheme was prepared by you more than four years ago. The town is badly in need of good water-supply. The offers of contribution (for about Rs. 55,000) will lapse, if the work be not sanctioned before the close of this official year.

2. My final report to Government on the subject was submitted to Government in my letter No. 841-98-Eng., dated 29th ultimo.

Memorandum.

No. 17.

The Sanitary Engineer is requested to revise the estimates for the Cocanada water-supply scheme with reference to paragraph 5 of G.O., No. 847 M., dated 15th May 1896, and re-submit them to Government together with the connected plans, with the least possible delay.

(Signed) G. S. FORBES,
Secretary to Government.

11th January 1897.

To the Sanitary Engineer.

From J. A. JONES, Esq., MEM. INST. C.E., F.R.S.E., Sanitary Engineer to Government, to the Secretary to Government, Local and Municipal Department, dated Fort St. George, 22nd January 1897, No. 132.

With reference to your memorandum, No. 17, dated 11th January 1897, I have the honour to forward revised estimates for the Cocanada water-supply scheme in which all provision for establishment and supervision has been omitted in accordance with paragraph 5 of G.O., No. 847 M., dated 15th May 1896.

2. The first estimate (Rs. 3,98,850) is for the complete works designed for 15 gallons per head for a population of 50,000. The second estimate (Rs. 3,55,080) is for the same scheme; but with the omission of one pair of filters, &c., the supply being reduced to 10 gallons per head for a population of 40,000 (*vide* paragraph 6 of my letter No. 2453 of 7th November 1892).

3. It may be noted here that the cost of pipes and machinery in the original estimate was calculated at 1*s.* 1*d.* for the rupee. Exchange is very favourable now, but I have allowed the original amounts to stand as this item is a fluctuating one and it is impossible to say what the exchange will be when the payments for the pipes have to be actually made.

4. On the supposition that Government provides half the cost of the scheme, the annual cost of the smaller scheme will be as follows:—

	RS.
Interest and sinking fund at 5·96 per cent. on Rs. 1,77,540 ..	10,581
Pumping charges per annum for 400,000 gallons daily	5,500
Do. do. for extra two months	1,000
Sand cleaning	750
Maintenance of pipes, &c.	3,000
Value of canal water at Re. 1 per 1,000 cubic yards..	1,192
Total ..	22,023

Order—No. 146 M., dated 3rd February 1897.

As the adoption of the larger of the two revised estimates submitted by the Sanitary Engineer with his letter read above is beyond the present financial capabilities of the municipal council, the smaller one, which provides for a supply of 10 gallons per head for a population of 40,000, is sanctioned. In accordance, however, with the views expressed by the Chief Engineer in paragraph 3 of his memorandum No. 495-C, dated 3rd February 1893, recorded in G.O., No. 276 M., dated 1st March 1893, the cost of the puddling of the Raja's tank, which has been omitted by the Sanitary Engineer in order to effect a saving of Rs. 18,396, will be included, and the estimate as thus revised will stand at Rs. 3,74,396. A moiety of this sum Rs. 1,87,198, or Rs. 1,87,200 in round figures, will be paid as a grant from Provincial funds. As to the other half, the municipal council expects to realize a sum of Rs. 69,100 from public subscriptions and the balance of Rs. 1,18,100 will be advanced by Government as a loan on the usual terms.

2. The municipal council should lose no time in drawing the grant of Rs. 79,340 provided for this purpose in the revised estimate of Provincial grants for 1896–97. In order that the loan of Rs. 92,870 provided on this account in the revised estimate of Provincial advances may also be drawn before the end of the year, an application in the prescribed form will be published in the *Fort St. George Gazette.*

3. The Public Works Department will be requested to commence the work in the ensuing official year.

(True Extract.)

G. S. FORBES,
Secretary to Government.

To the Chairman, Municipal Council, Cocanada.
,, Collector of Gódávari.
,, Accountant-General.
,, Sanitary Board.
,, Sanitary Commissioner.
,, Sanitary Engineer.
,, Public Works Department.
,, Financial Department.
Editors' Table.
Gazette.

Exd. C. M. Thumbuswamy.

[Annexures.

ANNEXURES.

Application of the Cocanada Municipality for a Loan from Government under the Local Authorities Loan Act of 1879.

The work or works, for the construction or repair of which the loan is required and an estimate of the cost thereof.	The amount which it is proposed to borrow.	The fund or funds on the security of which it is proposed to borrow.	The law or laws under which the said fund or funds is or are levied, received or held.	The period for which the loan is required, the number and amount of the instalments, if any, in which it is proposed that the loan shall be taken, the dates proposed for receiving such instalments, and the instalments, if any, in which it is proposed to repay the loans.	A detailed account of the revenue and expenditure of the local authority for the three last preceding years.	All existing prior charges upon the funds of the local authority.
Cocanada water-supply scheme, estimate to cost 4·16 lakhs.	RS. 1,18,100	The Cocanada Municipal fund.	The Madras District Municipalities Act IV of 1884.	The loan is required for thirty years. It will be taken in two instalments of Rs. 92,870 and Rs. 25,230, the first in 1896–97 and the second in 1897–98, and will be repaid with interest at 4¼ per cent. in thirty annual instalments of Rs. 7,039.	Attached herewith.	*Nil.*

Detailed Account of the Receipts and Charges of the Cocanada Municipality for the years 1893–94, 1894–95 and 1895–96.

—	Actuals, 1893–94.	Actuals, 1894–95.	Actuals, 1895–96.
Receipts.	RS.	RS.	RS.
Balance brought forward	4,964	1,261	3,448
Tax on Arts	4,795	5,685	7,120
,, Buildings and Lands	14,310	16,088	21,435
,, Vehicles and Animals	1,858	1,988	2,095
,, Carts	1,332	1,640	1,626
Tolls	7,577	6,460	5,947
Realizations under Special Acts	..	130	130
Rent of Lands, Buildings, &c., and Sale-proceeds of Lands, &c. ..	379	252	325
Conservancy Receipts	..	2	9
Fees and Revenue from Educational Institutions	300	361	831
,, from Medical Institutions	641	520	686
Income from Markets and Slaughter-houses	3,564	3,515	3,334
License Fees	1,191	1,500	1,627
Othe[illegible]es	863	1,014	978
Fines under Municipal and other Acts	1,098	1,041	1,341
Grants and Contributions from Government	679	1,264	893
,, from Local Boards	1,600	1,600	4,000
,, from other Bodies	117	..	303
Recoveries for Services to Private Individuals	855	913	2,040
Miscellaneous	19	159	397
Advances Recovered	2,100	986	256
Total ..	48,242	46,379	58,821
Grant 1— *Charges.*			
New Works—			
Communications	800	118	306
Buildings	4,047	574	1,978
Drainage	..	..	20
Water-supply	..	255	374
Repairs—			
Communications	6,944	4,463	10,067
Buildings	791	659	2,882
Drainage	725	424	1,374
Water-supply	1,312	1,295	1,639
Establishment	359	359	250
Tools and Plant and other Stores	396	29	76
Contributions for Public Works	..	2,000	1,522
Total ..	15,374	10,176	20,488

Detailed Account of the Receipts and Charges of the Cocanada Municipality for the years 1893-94, 1894-95 and 1895-96—*cont.*

—	Actuals, 1893-94.	Actuals, 1894-95.	Actuals, 1895-96.
Charges—cont.	RS.	RS.	RS.
GRANT 2—			
Training Schools	74	91	182
Municipal Schools—			
On the Salary System	..	..	473
On the Salary-result System	2,124	2,518	2,885
Grants-in-aid—			
Salary Grants	458	419	279
Result Grants	459	282	350
Inspection	295	328	309
Miscellaneous	5	..	..
Total	3,415	3,638	4,478
GRANT 3—			
Hospitals and Dispensaries	6,798	8,251	7,857
Contributions	258	383	426
Vaccination	761	698	686
Registration of Births and Deaths	322	297	227
Conservancy, Road-cleaning and Road-watering	9,174	9,353	10,299
Total	17,313	18,982	19,495
GRANT 4—			
Lighting	1,867	1,880	1,877
Markets and Slaughter-houses	90	114	336
Choultries	331	300	300
Public Garden, Survey of Land, Fire and other charges	1,310	1,336	1,741
Total	3,598	3,630	4,254
GRANT 5—			
Supervision and Management	6,315	6,310	7,628
Advances Becoverable	761	68	537
Refunds	205	127	51
Balance	1,261	3,448	1,890
Total	48,242	46,379	58,821

11

Local & Munl. (1896) Department.

PR.

CURRENT No. 2796 M

Order.

From

The Collector
Godavari

Dis **No.** 1215/Eng/96

Dated 9. 10. 96

Received 16 OCT. 96

Registered 17- 10- 96

Enclosures 8

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Submitting with remarks letter no 841/98 / 29.9.96 Eng from the Chairman Municipal Council Cocanada, Soliciting the orders of the Government for sanctioning the Execution of the proposed water scheme in Cocanada Municipality.

26/10. 11/5/11

KP 2/10

2

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Go	39	L&M	8-1-96 &c

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

3

C. 2135 / 1896 &c 6/10/96

Municipal Department

From

The Chairman
Municipal Council
Cocanada

To

The Chief Secretary
to Government.
Local and Municipal
Department.
Madras

through the Collector, Godavari
& the Hd Quarters Deputy Collector

The printed docket form should have been used
Sd
5/10

HEAD QUARTERS DEPUTY COLLECTOR'S OFFICE
5- OCT. 96
GODAVARI

Dated — 29th September 1896

Despd — Do —

No 841/98 Eng.

Enclosures Six

Subject.

Soliciting the orders of Government for sanctioning the execution of the proposed water scheme in Cocanada Municipality.

18

No 841/98 Eng. 4 Municipal office
Cocanada 29th September
1896

From

M.R.Ry K. Seshachalapatigaru B.A.
Chairman Municipal Council

Lo[illegible] Dept.
Cocanada
2796 M

To

The Chief Secretary to Government
Local and Municipal Department
Madras.

through the Collector, Godavari Dist.
and the Deputy Collector in charge
of the Head Quarters Division

Sir,

With reference to G. O. No 39 M. Mis dated 8th January 1896, I have the honor to submit herewith resolutions of the Council dated 29th January and 12th September 1896, and to report that the gentlemen who made liberal offers of contribution towards the co[illegible] of the water scheme have given renewed promises for Rs 52,500. They are unwilling to deposit the money, in the Bank, in favour of the Municipality, before Sanction is accorded by Government for the execution of the scheme but they are prepared to pay up the money on

Ans 1146 M 8 3/2/97

receipt of favourable orders from Government, though the execution may be deferred till April next. In order that they may not remain in suspense very long, they insist on having the orders of Government sanctioning the execution of the scheme, before 31st March next, as a condition of their offers.

2. There are others from whom smaller contributions are expected, but they have not come forward at present, pending the sanction of Government for the scheme. The Council is confident that it can realize not less than Rs 69,112-8-0 from subscriptions as stated in para 9 of my letter No 776 dated 22nd October 1895 and trusts that the Government will be pleased to order the execution of the works at an early date, before the lapse of the offered contributions.

39 kh
8-1-96

3. All the appeals against the late revision of house tax having been disposed of, the Council is in a position to assure Government once more that the final demand of house tax has not fallen below Rs 21000, and that it can unquestionably bear the

---

N8

Charge for sinking fund from the curren revenues as explained in my letter of 22nd October last, and as provided for in the last budget, submitted from this office.

4. I deeply regret the unavoidable delay in the submission of this report, – caused by the delay in receiving the renewe promises of contribution and in the disposal of appeals against the revised house tax. The enquiry into the appeals of 1895-96 was finally closed on 23rd instant, after 38 special meetings of 5 hours each.

5. Copies of the renewed promises are enclosed.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Chairman.

rec. 29-9-96

Dis. Council No. 2135/4 W.C. of 96 d/ 5-10-96

Submitted to the Collector.
It would be a thousand pities if the Council were to be unable to avail itself of the munificent offers now made. If Cocanada wants one thing more than another, it is a water-works scheme. The water now supplied is so scanty and impure that people that can afford to get water from places outside the municipal limits scrupulously avoid it.

S. Venkata Ramadas
Dy Collector
5-10-96

B

Extract from the Proceedings of the Councillors of the Cocanada Municipality, passed at their Meeting held on Wednesday the 29th January 1896.

PRESENT:

* * * *

M.R.Ry K. Seshachalapatiyyar B.A	Chairman.
Do N. S. Somasundara Sastryyar	Ex-Officio Councillor
Surgeon Major S. C. Sarkies	- Councillor
G. A. White Esquire	Do
M.R.Ry P. Chittiahgaru	Do
Do B. Venkataratnamgaru	Do
Do B. Annapparajugaru	Do
Do Ch. Venkataratnam naidugaru	Do
Do N. Tirupatirayadunaidugaru	Do

Read G. O. No. 39 M. mis dated 8th January 1896 regarding the water scheme.

The gentlemen who offered contributions may be requested either to deposit the money in the Bank, in favour of the Municipality and receive the interest themselves, pending sanction of Government to the scheme, or to execute promissory notes in favour of the

1/2796 M of 96

Council, without interest. If the scheme is not finally sanctioned by Government within 6 months, the promissory notes may lapse, or the money deposited returned to the donors.

K. Seshachalapati
Chairman.

(True extract)

Municipal office
Cocanada 29th September 96

Chairman

B

ది 6 సెప్టెంబరు 1896. తేదీనాటి రు 40,000/-

మహారాజ (శ్రీ) రాంతీనాంక మ్యునిసిపల్ కమిటీలు చేయబ
డిన గాంధీకి పై రాంసు బచ్చిరాం రుణము. నాంకు తేదీనాటి
(శ్రీ) రుంటి కాం గలుచే ష్టి (శ్రీ) విలనేతినాటు —
తాం తినాంక (శ్రీ) ముమంలో గవర్నమెంటు వారు కాంట్రే
నుచేయబారదు. వాంటితే వడుసుగరుచ్చు కుగాంను మేము
రుంవ్యకముకు (రే) కవ్రదుకున్న మేము తనకు నల డ్డేవేలరు
పాయలున్న మొంకు గాంది మాం ఆర్డరు తాం చినవాంతి
గాంది అదిగిన తక్షణాం రుంవృగలవాంకను. రుంది
మ్యునిసి (పా) కిరుంచ్చిన (శ్రీ) మినేతితెనాంటు.
పైరాంసు బచ్చిరాంయుకు అనివ్రాస్తిని

రెండు సాక్షులు.
ము క్ష్మి తి బలిజనరస యిన్న నాంటిలో (గా) లుఅనివ్రాస్తిని
బాపన సుంకర యిన్న నాంటిలోలు అనివ్రాస్తిని
స్వా మునిరెడ్డి నాంటిలోలు అనివ్రాస్తిని
దస్కతు తపందతన్నుదించెను సుంవ్రనాంరాంయణ అనివ్రాస్తిని

(True copy)

2

Municipal office
Cocanada 29th September 1896

Chairman

28/9

B

11

6th September 1896
Cocanada

Rs 40,000/

Promissory note executed by Pyda Subbarayudu of Cocanada in favour of the Chairman, Municipal Council, Cocanada

On demand, I promise to pay the sum of Rupees forty thousand, to you or your order, being the contribution offered by me on account of charges for the water works in Cocanada pending the Sanction of Government.

(Sd) Pyda Subbarayudu.

witnesses.

(Sd) 1 Duvvury Bulli Narasayya
(Sd) 2 Boppana Surayya
(Sd) 3 Sati Munireddi

written by Panchakarla Venkata Suryanarayana.

(True translation)

3/2791 [illegible]

Municipal Office
Cocanada 29th September 96

Chairman

12

Cocanada 21st May 1896

To

The Chairman

Municipal Council, Cocanada

B

Sir,

In acknowledging receipt of your letter No 217 dated 13th Instant, I beg to inform you that I have never agreed to give a pronote for the amount of my Contribution in aid of the Cocanada water project and because I decline on principle to pass a pronote in this matter, you have no right to doubt my readiness to abide by my promise. A word of honor, and that too reduced to the form a memorandum is, I suppose, at least as good as a pronote and I am perfectly willing to carry out my promise in the event of the Government Sanctioning the project. It is well that I should place some limit on time to avoid all misconceptions, and I would therefore put it that this promise will hold good until the end of December 1896. I only regret to have to remark that for some reason or others the enthusiasm manifested by some of our

4
2796 ho of 96

friends has cooled down and that in consequence the project has been allowed to lie over indefinitely.

Yours faithfully

(Sd) B. Venkataratnam.

(True copy)

Municipal office }
Cocanada 29th September 1896 }
Chairman

25th July 1896
Cocanada.

To

M.R.Ry K. Perrajugaru
Delegate
Chairman, Municipal Council
Cocanada.

B

Most Respected Sir,

With reference to your letter No $\frac{506/98}{\text{Eng}}$ of 18th instant, I regret very much that I cannot pay you the full amount of contribution Rs 5000/- (Rupees five thousand) only as it was promised then to pay on the joint with Mutta Sarvarayudugaru.

Further I beg to inform your honor that I have no objection to pay you half of the said amount for my share and oblige

Yours faithfully

(Sd) K. Basivireddy.

(True copy)

5
27/9/96

Municipal office }
Cocanada 29th September 1896 }
Chairman

25th July 1896
Cocanada

To
M.R.Ry K. Jerrayingaru
Deputy
Chairman Municipal Council
Cocanada

Most Respected Sir,

With reference to your letter No 506/8 of 18th instant I regret very much that I cannot pay the full amount of contribution Rs 5000/- Rupees five thousand) only as it was promised to pay on the joint with Panta Parvarayudugaru. For this I beg to inform you know that I have no objection to pay you half of the said amount for my share according

---

B

Extract from the Proceedings of the Councillors of the Cocanada Municipality, passed at their Meeting held on Saturday the 12th September 1896

PRESENT:

1 M.R.Ry K. Seshachalapatigaru B.A. Chairman
2 Captain G. W. Wicks - Councillor
3 G. H. White Esquire - Do
4 Revd H. E. Stilwell - Do
5 M.R.Ry K. Jerrayyagaru - Do
6 Do M. V. Somayajulugaru - Do
7 Nakada Dada Sahebgaru - Do
8 M.R.Ry B. Venkataratnamgaru Do
9 Do B. Annaparayyagaru Do
10 Do M. Sarvarayudugaru - Do
11 Do P. Venkatachalapatigaru - Do
12 Do P. Chitthangaru - Do
13 Do Ch. Venkataratnamnaidugaru Do
14 Do N. Tirupatirayudunaidugaru Do
15 Do K. Veeraraghavulunaidugaru Do

1. Read G. O. No 39 M. Mis dated 8th January 1896 and other connected papers regarding the water scheme

The Council are glad to note that the

gentlemen who promised to contribute towards the cost of the fresh water scheme gave renewed guarantees in writing for payment of the amounts promised by them, if the scheme be sanctioned by Government within the ends of the official year, postponing the actual payment till the sanction of the work, as otherwise they would lose interest. The Council trusts that Government be pleased to sanction the work before the promises lapse, as it is not likely that such liberal aid will ever be again forthcoming, and carry out the work as soon as possible and thus confer a lasting boon on the public of Cocanada.

(Sd) K. Seshachalapati
Chairman

(True extract)

Municipal Office
Cocanada, 14th September 1896

Seshachalapati
Chairman

1149

~~[illegible]~~
14-9-96
14e

4

Dis 1215/Eng 996 d 9.10.96.

Dis 12/10

Submitted for favourable consideration.

2. It will be seen that only one gentleman has executed a pro-note for Rs 40,000/. Two others have written letters of assurance, one of them objecting on principle to being required to execute a pronote. The council is sanguine that the contributions will be paid if the scheme is sanctioned.

3. I fully endorse the remarks of the Head Quarters Deputy Collector as to the urgent necessity for carrying out the scheme.

/Sd/ G. Elphinstone
Ag Collector

For Collector

18

Local & Munl. (1896) Department.

fos 276 to 293

CURRENT No. 2845 M

CHIEF SECRETARY
21 OCT. 1896
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Order

From The Sanitary Engineer to Government

No. 3104

Dated 21st October 1896

Received 22 - 10 - 96

Registered 22 - 10 - 96

Enclosures one

Spare copies.

SUBJECT.

Coconada water supply

Submitting for attention in the Public works Department a letter from the chairman Municipal Council, Coconada requesting to undertake the execution of the —— scheme.

18/10/ 92

30/10

6½

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Cur	2796	L/96	
Go.	1698	L	18-9-96

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

---

19 58

S. E./6. 1,000—22-7-96.

No. 3104.

Government of Madras.

Office of Sanitary Engineer,

Fort St. George, 21 Octr 1896

Local & Municipal Dept.
2845 M

From

J. A. JONES, Esq. MEM. INST. C.E., F.R.S.E.,
Sanitary Engineer to Government,

To

The Chief Secretary to Government,
Local & Municipal Department.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit herewith a letter No. 956, dated 15th. October 1896 from the Chairman, Municipal Council, Cocanada, requesting to include the Water Supply scheme of Cocanada in the programme of works for execution next year. As this department no longer undertakes construction the matter is one which should have the attention of the Public Works Department.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

J Jones

Sanitary Engineer to Government.

No. 936/98 Eng. Enclosure 20 6 ff

MUNICIPAL OFFICE.
Cocanada, 13th October 1896.

From
M.R.Ry K. Seshachalapatigaru B.A
Chairman, Municipal Council,
Cocanada,

To
The Sanitary Engineer
to the Government of
Madras.

Sir,

Adverting to my letter No 777 dated 26th October 1895, I have the honor to request that you will be so good as to include the water Scheme referred to therein in the programme of works for execution next year which you will have to submit to Government under rule 15 embodied in G. O. No 1698 L dated 18th September 1886. The Scheme was prepared by you more than four years ago. The town is badly in need of good water supply. The offers of contribution (for about Rs 55000) will lapse, if the work be not sanctioned before the close of this official year.

2. My final report to Government on the subject was submitted to Government in my letter No 841/98 Eng dated 29th ultimo.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,
Seshachalapati
16/10
Chairman.

1/2846 M. 17/96

K.S. 16/10/96

21

Municipal Department.

From Enclosure to letter No. 3104 of 1896

THE CHAIRMAN,
MUNICIPAL COUNCIL,
Cocanada.

To The Sanitary Engineer
to the Government of
Madras

Dated 13th October 1896
Despatched D

No. 936/98 Eng.

Encl. nil

SANITARY ENGINEER TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
Register No. 3669
20.10.96

Requesting to include the water scheme in this Municipality in the programme of works for execution next year.

I, referring to my letter [illegible] dated 26th October 1895 [illegible] to request that you will be so good as to include the water scheme referred to therein in the programme of works for execution next year, [illegible] to submit to Government under rule 13 as ordered in G.O. No. 1698 L dated 18th September 1896. The scheme was prepared by you more than four years [illegible]. The town is badly in need of good water supply. The offer of contribution (for about Rs 57000) will lapse if the work be not sanctioned before the close of the official year.

2. My final report to Government on the subject was submitted to Government in my letter 841/98 Eng dated 29th ultimo.

[28.] E

22

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

7P

Memorandum.

Dated the 11th January 1897.

No. 17

The Sanitary Engineer is requested to revise the estimates for the Cocanada water supply scheme with reference to para. 5 of G.O. No. 847 M. dated 15th May 1896, and re-submit them to Government together with the connected plans, with the least possible delay.

V.R.
9/1/97

11-1

To.

The Sanitary Engr.

Copyist desp.
11/1/97

1487 Despd 11/1/97

Note The plans & estimates were returned to the San. Engineer in the G.O. No. 722 M of 1st June 93 - D

Mn. to the S. Engr

re

Cocanada water supply

23

Urgent

Local & Munl. (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 251/M

From The Sanitary Engineer to Govt.

Order

No. 3

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT * LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT *
23. JAN.-1897

Dated 22nd January 1897

Received

Registered

Enclosures 4* (*1 Roll of plans) not received

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Coconada Water Supply Sch

Submitting revised estim for the —— omitting establis ment & supervision charges a plans of the scheme as reques

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

S. E./6. 1,000—22-7-96.

urgent

24

88

No. 132

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

OFFICE OF SANITARY ENGINEER,

Fort St. George 22 Jany 1897

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPT

CURRENT No. 251 M

From

J. A. JONES, Esq. MEM. INST. C.E., F.R.S.E.,

SANITARY ENGINEER TO GOVERNMENT,

To

The Secretary to Government,

Local & Municipal Department.

SIR,

With reference to your Memorandum No. 17 dated 11th. January 1897, I have the honor to forward revised estimates for the Cocanada Water Supply scheme in which all provision for establishment & supervision has been omitted in accordance with para 5 of G.O., No. 847 M, dated 15th. May 1896.

2. The first estimate (Rs 3,98,850) is for the complete works designed for 15 gallons per head for a population of 50,000. The second estimate (Rs 3,55,080) is for the same scheme, but with the omission of one pair of filters &c, the supply being reduced to 10 gallons per head for a population of 40,000 (vide para 6 of my letter No. 2453 of 7th. November 1892)

This was not approved by the Council

276 M / 1-3-93

3. It may be noted here that the cost of pipes and machinery in the original estimate was calculated at 1S-1d for the rupee. Exchange is very favorable now, but I have allowed the original amounts to stand as this item is a fluctuating one & it is impossible to say what the exchange will be when the payments for the pipes have to be actually made.

4. On the supposition that Government provides half the cost of the scheme, the annual cost of the smaller

cheme will be as follows:-

Interest & sinking fund at 5.96 per cent on Rs 177,540 minus Subscription = 1,18,100	Rs 10,581	7038
Pumping charges per annum for 400,000 gallons daily	Rs 5,500	✓
do for extra two months	Rs 1,000	✓
Sand cleaning	Rs 750	✓
Maintenance of pipes &c	Rs 3,000	✓
Value of canal water at Rs 1 per 1000 cyds.	Rs 1,192	
	Rs 22,023	18,480

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Assistant Sanitary Engineer to Govt.

for Sanitary Engineer to Govt.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

SANITARY ENGINEER'S DEPARTMENT.

~~Specification and Detailed~~ Abstract Estimate No. 14

of 1892-93 of the cost of constructing

water works

for the town

of Coconada

Amount of Estimate No. 14

Rs. 4,36,830

Covering letter No. 2453 dated 7·11·92

PW 5.2 / 11640/92

2 / 2683 W of 92

4 / 251 M of 97

Cocanada water supply

27

Code Class.

INDIAN TELEGRAPHS.

Monthly Number.

To (Office) Telephonic

From (Office)

Words	Day.	Hour.	Minute.	Official Instructions.
	1	15	3	

To (Person) H. Clerk P.W. Dept.

From (Person) Sanity Engr.

Please let me know if you have estimates for the Cocanada water supply scheme sent with my Lr 1320/22-1-97. embodied in G.O. No. 146 M. of 3-2-97. please send an urgent reply as a reference from the P.W.D. has to be answered.

1-11-98

64 Word Form.

F. C 2.

Rep. The estimates for the Cocanada Water Supply scheme were sent to the Public Works Departt. with G.O. No. 146 M of 3.2.97.

1/11/98.

Recd. from L.N. At Hrs. Mts by

Sent to L.N. At Hrs. Mts. by

Telegraph Ma

rants

of the town, the scheme, omitting the puddling of the tank, would be feasible with a half grant from Govt. In discussing the financial aspect

2.
2683 Mis 92

4.
251 Mis of 97

Cocanada Water supply

28

[L. & M. 200—8-8-96.]

C
Notes in G.O. $\frac{276 M}{1-3-93}$

D
G.O. $\frac{722 M}{1-6-93}$

B

NOTE FOR THE *Secretary*

With reference to the Secretary's order dated 18th December 1896 calling for a draft G.O. on the papers relating to the Cocanada Water-Supply Scheme, it is submitted that the following points ~~will~~ have to be settled before the Scheme can be approved: —

(1) The scheme proposed by Mr. Jones was estimated to cost Rs 4,36,880, but he pointed out that if it was found necessary to reduce the cost of the work, the puddling of the tank, costing Rs 18,396, might be omitted. The Chief Engineer, Public Works Dept., however did ~~was~~ not consider it advisable to omit this work, and the municipal Council then agreed with him. The Scheme was however ~~then~~ abandoned as being beyond the means of the Council. In ~~its~~ his letter in G.O. No. 39 M. of 8th January 1896, the chairman stated that, as public subscriptions amounting to nearly Rs 70,000 were expected from the inhabitants of the town, the scheme, omitting the puddling of the tank, would be feasible with a half grant from Govt. In discussing the financial aspect

E

29

* original Cost Rs 436830.

less Cost of puddling the tank & contingencies } 21155

Balance 4.15.675.

F

G

of the question, therefore, the Cost of the Scheme was assumed to be Rs 4,15,675*, and the amount which the municipal Council had to borrow was taken at Rs 1,38,840 i.e. (half the cost of the work *minus* the amount of subscriptions expected). It should now be settled whether the Scheme to be approved by Govt, should include the puddling of the tank or ~~excluded~~ not.

(2) The Estimates for the works prepared by Mr. Jones, in 1893. ~~appear~~ ~~to~~ include Rs 56977 on account of Establishment, Contingencies and tools + plant; ~~but~~ the execution of these Schemes has~~ing~~ now been transferred to the Public works Department, and under G.O. No. 547 M of 15th May 96, no Charge is to be ~~d~~ made for the Supervision of these works by that department. The Cost of the Scheme ~~will~~ has therefore ~~have~~ to be reduced by the amount provided for Establt in the original Estimates, but as this ~~amount~~ is not separately given in ~~the~~ ~~Estim~~ Mr. Jones' Estimates, it would, it is submitted, be ~~necessary~~ better to send the Estimates ~~back~~ to the Sanity Engineer or the Sanity Board for revision. If the

[L. & M. 200—8-8-96.]

30

NOTE FOR THE

estimated Cost of the Scheme is reduced as pointed out above, the ~~&~~ puddling of the tank can without danger of rendering the work financially impracticable, be included, and even after this addition, the amount of loan which the municipal Council would require, will probably be less than that now fixed.

P.R.
8/1/97

We may include the "puddling" but before drafting ask Mr Jones to revise the estimates with reference to G.O. of 15 May 96 re supervision &c

9-1-7

126 M
97

31
Co Canada Water Supply Scheme

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Current No. 3279 6 & 2545 m.

From

Let this be sent on through F. D.
I don't myself see how, if India cuts us down in the new Contract as it proposes, we can possibly find the two lakhs & more which will be required – we certainly could not find the whole sum in 1897-98.

HS
12/11/96

Note for the Secretary.

Provision has been made for a grant of 1.73 lakhs and a loan of a like amount in the Estimate of Provincial loans & advances, as hereunder:–

	1896-97 lakhs	1897-98 lakhs	1898-99 lakhs.
Grant..	.79	.56	.38
Loan	.92	.81	"

V.R.
18/12/96

Draft now please approving etc

Flag B

FOR ORDERS.

Please see the notes and orders in G.O.No.39/M.dated 8th January 1896. (Flag A)

2. The Chairman now reports that the gentlemen who offered to contribute towards the cost of the water supply scheme have renewed their promises to subscribe Rs. 52500, that several others from whom small contributions are expected, have not come forward, as the scheme has not yet been sanctioned and that the Council is confident that it can, as already stated, realize not less than Rs. 69,112 from subscriptions. He requests, that as some of the subscribers insist on obtaining the sanction of Government to the scheme before the 31st March 1897, early orders may be passed.

3. In para. 10 of his letter in G.O. No.39/M.dated 8th January 1896, the Chairman

Chairman stated that the Council expected to realize an additional revenue of Rs.6,000 and Rs.2,000 respectively, from a revision of the house and artstax registers. The revision carried out in 1895-96, has resulted in an increase of about Rs. 8,500 under the former head, and of Rs.1,570 under the latter, so that the total extra income under both these heads has been Rs.10,070 or more than one-fourth as much again as what was originally anticipated. With this addition to its resources and with the increase that might be effected in the revenue when the amendments of the District Municipalities act are passed into law, it is clear that the Council will have no difficulty in providing for the annual expenditure on acount of the scheme (Rs.18,525), assuming that a sum of Rs.69,000, will be realized from subscriptions. It is however submitted that before the scheme is sanctioned, the estimates which were prepared by the Sanitary Engineer about four years ago & which are now in his possession, should be sent to the Sanitary Board for such modifications as may be necessary.

4. In the second letter read above, the Chairman requests that the Cocanada water supply scheme should be included in the list of works to be executed in the ensuing year.

P.R.
7/11/96

Mr Jones' scheme is described in the pp. with G.O. 1.3.93 no 276

Flag C

refer it back for further scrutiny & incur further delay.

The local authorities seem quite satisfied that the promised subscpns will be fully raised & more.

With a half grant from Govt the scheme shd be fairly within the means of the Mty. & the assurances on the point seem sufficiently satisfactory.

It will be observed that a proportion of the promised subscpns are made contingent on the govts allowing the work to be put in hand in 97/98. ~~[illegible]~~ Govt may therefore approve the scheme & sanction its execution by the D.P.W in 97/98.

10/11/6

To Fin Dpt u.o.

18/11/6

FOR ORDERS.

Cocanada

V. E 34 108

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

~~Papers to be read in Proceedings :—~~

~~Current No. of 189 , dated from the~~

~~Current No of 189 , dated from the~~

~~Current No of 189 , dated from the~~

~~Current No. of 189 , dated from the~~

The ~~estimated~~ following statement ~~Order~~ shows the receipts and charges of the Cocanada Municipality for 1893–94, 1894–95 & 1895–96, ~~as passed by Government, are compared below with the budget and revised estimates for the year and the actuals of 189 -9~~.

Receipts.	Actuals, 1893-94.	~~Budget Estimate~~ Actuals 1894-95.	~~Revised Estimate~~ Actuals 1895-96.	189 -9 .
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Balance brought forward	4964	1261	3448	
Tax on Arts	4795	5683	7120	
Tax on Buildings and Lands	14310	~~[illegible]~~ 16088	21435	
Water-Tax on Buildings and Lands	.	.	.	
Tax on Vehicles and Animals	1858 ~~1871~~	1982	2095	
Tax on Carts	1332 ~~1319~~	1646	1626	
Tolls	7577	6460	5947	
Realizations under Special Acts	.	130 ~~120~~	130	
Rent of Lands, Buildings, &c., and sale-proceeds of Lands, &c.	379 ~~370~~	252 ~~378~~	325	
Conservancy Receipts	.	2	9	
Fees and Revenue from Educational Institutions	300	361	831	
Fees and Revenue from Medical Institutions	641 ~~650~~	520	686	
Income from Markets and Slaughter-houses	3564	3515	3334	
License fees	1191	1500 ~~[illegible]~~	1627	
Other fees	863	1014 ~~1012~~	978	
Fines under Municipal and other Acts	1098	~~[illegible]~~ 1041	1341	
Interest on Investments and premium on Loans	.	.	.	
Grants and Contributions from Government	679	1264	893	
Do. from Local Boards	1600	1600	4000	
Do. from other bodies	117	.	303	
Recoveries for services to private individuals	855	913	2040	
Miscellaneous	19	~~164~~ ~~[illegible]~~ 159	397	
Loans	.	.	.	
Sale-proceeds of Government Securities, &c., and Realizations of Sinking Fund	.	.	.	
Advances Recovered	2100	986 ~~988~~	[illegible]	
Total	48242	46,[illegible]	58821	

35

Charges	Actuals, 1893-94.	~~Budget Estimate,~~ Actuals 1894-95.	~~Revised Estimate,~~ Actuals 1895-96.	189 -9 .
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Grant I.				
New Works—				
Communications	800 ✓	118 ✓	306	✓
Buildings	4047 ✓	574 ✓	1978	✓
Drainage	.	.	20	✓
Water-supply	.	255 ✓	374	✓
Repairs—				
Communications	6944 ✓	4463 ✓	10067	✓
Buildings	791 ✓	659 ✓	2882	✓
Drainage	725 ✓	424 ✓	1374	✓
Water-supply	1312 ✓	1295 ✓	1639	✓
Establishment	359 ✓	359 ✓	250	✓
Tools and Plant and other Stores	396 ✓	29	76	✓
Contributions for Public Works	.	2000	1522	✓
Total	15374	10176 ✓	20488	✓
Grant II—				
Training Schools	74~~75~~ ✓	91 ✓	182	✓
Municipal Schools—				
(*a*) On the Salary System	. 4	.	473	✓
(*b*) On the Salary Result System	2123	2518 ✓	2885	✓
Grants-in-aid—				
(*a*) Salary grants	458 ✓	418 9 ✓	279	✓
(*b*) Result grants	459 ✓	282 ✓	350	✓
(*c*) Combined grants	.	.	.	
(*d*) Building and Furniture grants	.	.	.	
Inspection	295 ✓	328 ✓	309	✓
Miscellaneous	5 ✓	.	.	
Total	3415	3637 8	4478	✓
Grant III—				
Hospitals and Dispensaries	6798 ✓	8251 ✓	7857	✓
Contributions	258 ✓	383 ✓	426	✓
Vaccination	761 ✓	698 ~~760~~ ✓	686	✓
Registration of Births and Deaths	322 ✓	297 ✓	227	✓
Conservancy, Road-cleaning and Road-watering	9174 ✓	9383 ✓	10299	✓
Total	17313	~~19074~~ 18982	19495	✓
Grant IV—				
Lighting	1867 ✓	1880 ~~1921~~	1877	✓
Markets and Slaughter-houses	90 ✓	114	336	✓
Choultries	331	300 ~~444~~	300	✓
Travellers' Bungalows	.	.	.	
Avenues	.	.	.	
Public Garden, Survey of Land, Fire and other charges	1310 ✓	~~1233~~ 1,336	1741	✓
Total	3598	~~3682~~ 3630	4254	✓
Grant V—				
Supervision and management	6315 ✓	633~~5~~ 10	7628	✓
Repayment of Debt	.	.	.	
Interest on loan	.	.	.	
Advances Recoverable	761 ✓	68 ~~31~~	537	✓
Refunds	205 ✓	127 ~~98~~	57	✓
Discount	.	.	.	
Pensions and Gratuities	.	.	.	
Investments	.	.	.	
Balance	1261	3438	1890	✓
Total	48242	464~~71~~ 379	58821	✓

---

[5]
1,000—17-11-98.

36

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT

9.8

~~238~~

Papers to be read in Proceedings :—

Again G.O., dated 189 , No.
[illegible] No. 2796. M. of 1896. d 9th October/96. from the Collector of Godavari
" 2845 M —— 21st —— Sanitary Engineer
Current No. 251 M of 1897, dated 22d January from the Sanitary Engineer.

Pres.

Order. No. 146 M.P. 3-2-97

As the adoption of the larger of the two revised estimates submitted by the Sanitary Engineer with his letter read above, is beyond the present financial capabilities of the municipal council, the smaller one, which provides for a supply of 10 gallons per head for a population of 40,000 is sanctioned. In ~~deference~~ accordance, however, ~~to~~ with the views expressed by the Chief Engineer in para. 3 of his memorandum No. 495 C, dated 3rd February 1893, recorded in G.O. No. 276 M, dated 1st March 1893, the cost of the puddling of the Rajah's tank, which has been omitted by the Sanitary Engineer in order to effect a saving of Rs. 18396, will be included, and the estimate as thus revised will stand at Rs. 3,74,396. A moiety of this sum Rs. 1,87,198 or Rs. 1,87,200, in round figures, will be paid as a grant from Provincial funds. As to the other half, the municipal council expects to realize a sum of Rs. 69,100 from public subscriptions and the balance of Rs. 1,18,100 will be advanced by Government as a loan on the usual terms.

2. The Municipal Council should lose

37

no time in drawing the grant of Rs.79340 provided for this purpose in the revised estimate of Provincial grants for 1896-97. Inorder that the loan of Rs.92,870 provided on this account in the revised estimate of Provincial advances ~~should~~ may also be drawn before the end of the year, an application in the prescribed form will be published in the Fort St. George Gazette.

3. The Public Works Department will be requested to commence the work in the ensuing official year.

V.R.
28/1/97

29/1/97

To

The Chairman, M.C. Cocanada. 12
" Collector of Godavari. 8
" Financial Department.
" Accountant-General. 7
" Sanitary Board. 7
" Public Works Department 9
(with Estimates)

Editors' Table

Gazetted. 3/2/97. Despd 15/2
9-2-97.

Despr
send this to me please before despatch
PR
3/2/97

38

Application of the Cocanada Municipality for a loan from Government under the Local Authorities Loan Act of 1879.

Cocanada water supply scheme Estimate to Cost 4.16 lakhs.	The work or works, for the construction or repair of which the loan is required & an estimate of the cost thereof.
Rs ~~1.73 lakhs.~~ 1,18,100.	The amount which it is proposed to borrow.
The Cocanada municipal fund	The fund or funds on the security of which it is proposed to borrow.
The Madras District Municipalities Act IV of 1884.	The law or laws under which the said fund or funds is or are levied, received or held.
The loan is required for thirty years ~~and will~~ It will be taken in two instalments of Rs 92,870 and 25,230 The first in 1896-97 & the second in 1897-98 - & will be repaid with interest at 4¼ percent in thirty annual instalments of Rs. 7,039	The period for which the loan is required, the number & amount of the instalments if any, in which it is proposed that the loan shall be taken, the dates proposed for receiving such instalments, & the instalments if any in which it is proposed to repay the loan.
Attached herewith.	A detailed account of the revenue & expenditure of the Local Authority for the three last preceding years.
Nil	All existing prior charges upon the funds of the Local Authority.

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed
191

READ AGAIN G.O., No. , DATED
191

CURRENT NO.

ENCLOSURES TO C. NO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy Heading only

3-3-14 ✓
22-1-21 ✓

[Ref./4]
13,000-24-3-05.

DEPARTMENT.

Paper taken out of the Records from the charge of the Referencer.

Press
~~Miscellaneous~~
~~Routine~~
No. 146, dated 3-2-97 190 .

Date taken out.	For what purpose.			Initials of clerk taking the paper or of attender.	Date returned.	Initials of attender.
	Department.	C. No.	Year.			
8/3/06	L & M Secy				15/5/06	
14/11/06	M	3575	06		3-12-06	
8-7-08	M	2417	08		24-7-08	
15-5-10	M	1858	10		7/11/10	
13-3-12	M MR	1231 1025	12 12		4/5/12	
3-3-14	Municipal MRC	1254 736.	M/14		3-6-14	
22-1-21	MLC	237	21		28/11/21	