29-4-07 ✓

[L. & M. / 5,000—19-11-96.]

INDEXED.

Local & Munl. (1897) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 1136 M.

DATED 10 – 5 – 97.

INDEXED.

DESPATCHED

[Despatch Abstract.]

Sanitation.

Sanctioning with remarks the payment by the Madras Munl. Council of a bill amounting to Rs 406-11-7 in favour of a contractor for erecting and fixing a zinc sheet latrine in the Muny.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

1084 7
Genl
11-3-97

Local & Munl. (1897) Department. Da

AW

CURRENT No. 1273 M

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT
23 [illegible] 1897

From The Chairman
Municipal Council
Madura

Order

No. 7
Collector's Rone No. 1084 of 1897 / Genl of 11-3-97

Dated 9th March 1897.

Received 22-3-1897

Registered 22-3-1897

Enclosures ~~Four~~ 14 / one

Spare copies

COLLECTOR'S OFFICE
11 MAR 1897
MADURA

SUBJECT.

Submitting ~~copies of~~ the resolutions of the Municipal Council and the original letter of Mr.Rby. P. R. Venkatesalu Nayadu Garu, objecting to pay the bill for erecting and fixing a zinc Sheet latrine with other connected papers for the orders of Government.

26/3. 1/4 9/12/4/97

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
GO	87	L	25-7-97
GO	1698	L	18-9-96
GO	1591	L	18-9-96
GO	261	L	12-2-98
GO	462	L	5-4-93

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

2

Municipal Office,

MADURA 9th March 1897.

LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPT.
CURRENT No. 1273 M

No. 7

ENCLOSURES. Four.

From,

R. Fischer Esquire,
Chairman,
Municipal Council,
Madura.

To,

The Secretary to Government,
Local and Municipal Department,
Madras

Thro: The Collector of Madura.

Sir,

I in forwarding herewith copies of the resolutions of the Municipal Council Nos: 90 and 91 dated 6th March 1897 and the letter in original addressed to me by M. R. Ry. P. R. Venkatesalu Nayudu Garu, one of the Councillors, I have the honour to State that his allegations are in the main, correct, but considering the fact that the work was completed and that the Ex Officio Chairman had already paid; out of his own pocket a Sum of Rs 335/- for an improvement effected by him under Similar circumstances to the West Market Slaughter House I gave

my

my vote in favour of passing the bill as the Councillors were equally divided. Subsequently the Second resolution was passed upon the motion of the aforesaid Councillor.

I may add for the information of Government that since the aforesaid resolutions were passed, a proposal has been made by a Councillor and seconded by another, who were not present at that meeting, to reconsider the resolutions which forms the subject of this reference, and a copy thereof is herewith submitted with the records as per accompanying list.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

Chairman

9.3.97

Rom. No. 1084/G of 97.

Forwarded.

2. It is submitted that no interference with the council's action in passing the bill is called for.

3. The Revenue officer in charge committed an irregularity in proceeding with the work without the sanction of the council; but the work was urgent, and there is no ground for asserting that any loss has been caused to the Municipality. The Sanitary Commissioner has referred to its construction with evident approval. (Vide para 4 of Inspection notes of the Sanitary Commissioner embodied in Government order No. 87 M. dated 25th January 1897.)

4. It is believed that the matter of the Slaughter house mentioned in the Chairman's covering letter will

will shortly be laid before Government.

Madura Collector's office
Dated 19th March 1897

Wain
Collector

RW

19.3.97

ENCLOSURE No. 1

In a letter

FROM,

THE CHAIRMAN,
MUNICIPAL COUNCIL,
MADURA.

No. 7
Dated 9th March 1897.
No.

Copies of resolutions of the Municipal Council Madura

1/1273 Nov 1897

7

Extract from the proceedings of the Municipal Council, Madura, passed at its meeting held on the 6th March 1897.

× × ×

Members that were present.

1 R. Fischer Esquire - Chairman
2 M.R.Ry. R. Ramachandra Row M. Councillor
3 J. F. Bryant Esq: arrived after resolution No. 90 was passed - do -
4 Rev: C. S. Vaughan - - do -
5 M.R.Ry. P. R. Venkatesalu Nayadu Garu Coun.
6 " T. Jambu Chettiar Avl - do -
7 " G. Subbarayalu Nayadu Garu - do -
8 " M. Subba Nayadu Garu - do -
9 M.R.Ry. O. Munisawmi Iyer M. Councillor
10 do A. Sitaramier Avl do
11 " R. Muthusawmi Pillai Avl do
12 " Srirama Chettiar Avl do

90. Read report of the late P.W. Overseer submitting a bill amounting to Rs 406-11-7 in favour of Contractor Santiago Pillai for erecting and fixing the zinc sheet latrine in the 4th Ward for sanction.

Resolved by the casting vote of the Chairman that the bill be passed

Messrs: G. Subbarayalu Nayadu, P. R. Venkatesalu Nayadu, Obla Munisawmi Iyer, T. Jambu Chettiar and R. Muthusawmi Pillai dissenting.

M.R.Ry R. Ramachandra Row Avl not voting.

91. Proposed by Mr: P. R. Venkatesalu Nayadu and Seconded by Mr: G. Subbarayalu Nayadu that the bill be not paid till the orders of Government are received on a reference to be made by the proposer.

Mr: R. Ramachandra Row objects to the proposal as being out of order as it is

not

not on the agenda nor an amendment

Objection over-ruled by Chairman

Resolved unanimously that the bill be not paid till the reference to Government is disposed of.

× × ×

(Signed) R. Fischer
Chairman

(True extract)

Fischer
Chairman

AdA

Mu
9.3.97

ENCLOSURE No. 2

In a letter

FROM,

THE CHAIRMAN,
MUNICIPAL COUNCIL,
MADURA.

No. 7

Dated 9th March 1897.

No.

Original letter of M.R.Ry P.R. Venkatesalu Nayudu Garu.

2
1273 M of 1897

10

Engl. Corr. 47/97.
RECEIVED
3 MAR 7
R.T.
Forwarded
RA
8 3 97

Madura, 7th March 1897.

To

R. Fischer Esquire
Chairman, Municipal Council,
Madura

Sir,

At the meeting of the Council held on the 6th inst, I objected to the passing of the bill for Rs 406 & odd for having put up a zinc sheet latrine in the 4th Ward, and my proposal to defer payment of the bill till a reference is made to Government on the subject was eventually adopted by the Council. I have therefore the honor to set forth in as succinct a form as possible, the several grounds of my objection.

They are as follow:—

2\.

(a) The necessary sanction of the Sanitary Board was not obtained before an important Sanitary work of the kind was taken on hand — vide G.O., No 79 L, dated 16th January 1897.

(b) No detailed estimate for the work is forthcoming, nor does it appear that any such was ever in existence at all. An abstract estimate available at present does not worth the name, [illegible] the Chairman M.R.Ry R. Ramachandra Rao Garu had his own misgivings as to the correctness of the original estimate for Rs 440, which was subsequently

[illegible]

reduced to [illegible]00; and it is inconceivable how in the absence of a detailed estimate, the work could have been properly executed on the lines of the standard plan. Further, as the Chairman had his own doubts, it was incumbent on him to have secured the opinion of the professional authorities before carrying out the work.

(c) From the absence of a detailed estimate and from the difference between the abstract of quantity and description of work given in the plan, and that in the so-called estimate, there is every reason to presume that some deviation from the standard plan was permitted. As I am not a professional man the above statement is based upon mere inference.

(d) The latrine in question which has been constructed on bucket system is, I believe, ill adopted to the requirements of the locality which is close to habitations, and in its place a latrine on dry earth system is, according to the views of the Sanitary Commissioner, preferable. Had the District Medical and Sanitary Officer been consulted in the matter, this error could have been avoided.

(e) The sanction of the council was not taken either before executing the work or for giving it on contract, and the clear provisions of Sections 44(2) and 45 of the Municipal Act have been violated.

In

In this connection, I would beg to point out that during the discussion of the subject, Mr Ramachendra Rao himself frankly admitted that his action was irregular, which view was endorsed by you and the other Councillors present on the occasion.

I therefore request that you will be so good as to refer the matter for the orders of Government.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedt. Servt.
K. Venkatesah
Municipal Councillor

ENCLOSURE No. 3

In a letter

FROM,

THE CHAIRMAN,
MUNICIPAL COUNCIL,
MADURA.

No. 7
Dated 9th March 1897.
No.

Proposal of Mukky M. Abboy Nayadu Garu dated 8th March 1897.

3
12-VB May 1897

To
The Chairman,
Municipal Council,
Madura.

Sir,

I intend moving the following proposal at the meeting of the Council to be held soon after the order of Government is received on the reference to be made by the Councillor M.R.Ry. T.L. Venkatesalu Naidu Garu as per resolution of the last meeting.

Proposal

That the resolution dated 6th instant sanctioning the payment of the bill for Rs 406-11-7 to Santiago Pillai for the latrine in the 4th Ward be reconsidered and cancelled for the reasons that it is not only opposed to rules and the Act, but the site is also unsuited for the bucket system latrine.

I have &ca.
8th March 1897 }
Madura }
(Signed) M. Abboy
Councillor

Seconded.

(Signed) Saiyid Gulamali Sahib
(in Tamil)

(True Copy)

Chairman

Cd M.116
9.3.97

ENCLOSURE No. 4

In a list of records with eight enclosures.

In a letter

FROM,

THE CHAIRMAN,
MUNICIPAL COUNCIL,
MADURA.

No. 7

Dated 9th March 1897.

No.

List of records.

4
12-13 M of 1897

Unauthorized Expenditure on the Construction of a latrine – Madura Mun

16 Cn. 1273 M. d/ 9th March 1897, from the Chairman M.C. Madura.

FOR ORDERS.

At a meeting of the Municipal Council of Madura held on the 6th March 1897, when twelve members including the Chairman were present, the late Public work Overseer of the municipality submitted for the Council's sanction a bill amounting to Rs.406-11-7 in favour of a contractor for erecting and fixing a zinc-sheet latrine in the 4th ward. This bill was passed by the Council, the proposal being carried by the casting vote of the Chairman. One of the Councillors then proposed and it was unanimously carried that the payment of the bill should be deferred until a reference on the subject was made to Government by the proposer, who objected to the passing of the bill on the following grounds:-

× Flag A.

(1) That the plans and estimates were not approved by the Sanitary Board, as required by rule. 7 issued in G.O. No 1698 L. 9/18

(2) That no detailed estimate of the work was forthcoming

(3) That owing to the absence of a detailed estimate, some deviation from the standard plans has presumably been permitted.

(4) That the latrine having been constructed on the bucket system is ill-adapted to the requirements of the locality and

and that one on the dry earth system should have been constructed instead. and (5) that the sanction of the Municipal Council was not obtained either for executing the work or for letting it on contract as required by. Sec 44 of the Act.

2. The Chairman in forwarding the above resolutions and the objector's letter, states that the allegations made by him are in the main correct and that the reason why he voted in favour of passing the bill was because the Ex-officio Chairman had already under similar circumstances paid out of his own pocket a sum of Rs.338 for an improvement effected by him to the west market slaughter house. The Chairman further says that since the above resolutions were passed a proposal has been made by a councilor and seconded by another, who were not present at the meeting of the 6th March 1897, to reconsider the resolution which forms the subject of the reference to Government.

3. The Collector in his endorsement admits that the Revenue Divisional officer in charge of the Chairman's office committed an irregularity in proceeding with the work without the sanction of the council, but that the work was urgent, that there is no ground for asserting that any loss has been caused to the municipality and that the Sanitary Commissioner has referred to its construction with evident approval vide para.4 of the Inspection notes of the Sanitary Commissioner embodied in G.O.No.87 M, dated 25th January 1897.

Flag B

1897. He therefore submits that no interference with the Council's action in passing the bill is called for. The Collector believes that the matter of the slaughter house above referred to will also be shortly laid before Government.

V.R
28/4/97

H.O.

In the circs. explained by the Collector, the Govt. need not interfere, but the Mty. may be warned against similar irregularities.

4/97

19

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in Proceedings :—

Again G.O., dated 189 , No.

Current No. of 189 , dated from the

Current No. 1273 m of 1897, dated 9th march. from the Chairman M.C. Madura.

Order. No. 1136 M. Mis. d/ 10-5-97

In the circumstances stated by the Collector, the Government will not object to the payment by the municipal Council of the bill in question. Care should however be taken that irregularities similar to those brought to notice in this case are not repeated.

(From orders.)

I.R.
8/5/97

To.

The Chairman. M.C. Madura. Plus D.O. ✓

" Collector. ✓ with Enclosures etc.? D.O.

Desp. 18/5/97

n given for copying 13/5
n copied Lib. 13/5 189
n examined 14/5 14/5
n signed 14/5

AGAIN G.O., No. DATED 189 ,							
NT No.							
OSURES TO C. No.							
ME OF GOVERNMENT	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
TES OF GOVERNMENT							
EMENT WITH DITTO							
ES NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.							

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only