

L. & M.
3,000-19-11-96.

Local & Munl. (189) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 1011 M

DATED 29-4-97

DESPATCHED

[Despatch Abstract.]

Petition

Informing Abdul Rasid Sahib
of Trichinopoly that Govt. cannot in-
terfere in the matter of the right to certain
mantapams in the town.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

L.M.
1,000-11-11-96.

Local & Munl. (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 1583

Misc

Order. No 1011. Muni de 29.4.97
14M.

From

J. Abdul Quadir Sahib
merchant, Trichinopoly.

The Petitioner's request cannot
be complied with.

18
23/4/97

8/8
17/4/7

No.

Butter say "The Govt cannot interfere
in the matter"

BS
28/4/97

Dated 7-4-1897

Received 10-4-1897

Registered

Enclosures /

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Note.

The Petitioner is apparently
another son of Asa Bibi ammal
who petitioned unsuccessfully for
the restoration of the same property.

Praying that in the circumstances
stated Municipal Council Trichinopoly
may be ordered to restore the
mantapams to him.

Vice Geo 1332m 612m 1790m
15.8.92 8.5.93 22.10.96

To

The Petitioner. BS

Sup. 8/5/97

Cus 2839 1/997 Loc 1/2
101790 1/2 22 1/2 1/2

To,

His Excellency

The Right Honorable

Governor-in-Council

Fort St George.

Madras

The humble petition of J. Abdul Khader Sahib
Merchant,

Most respectfully Sheweth,

That the

that are in the Chinna Chouk at Trichinopoly, were
the property allotted to my Grand Father Muhammad
Sahib by the then Nawab as my Grandfather was the
Nawab's Commanding Officer. When the British took
possession of the Town these Mandapams and other
places of the temple property adjoined to the Rock Fort
were used by them as military Store houses and
arrack Godowns.

In the year 1869 when these
places were cleared off of the articles contained therein
they were handed over to the respective owners. When
these Mandapams as shown in the accompanied
plans were used by the British, one of the three Mandapams
A, was sold in the year 1812 by my father to one
Naidoo who held it until 1853 when it was purchased
by one Annamalai Mudely, who in his turn sold it
to the present holder Veerakrishna Naidoo under the
condition that the buyer should take possession of
the property when it was vacated by the British.
The other two Mandapams are divided into 5 parts,
three of which were mortgaged by my father to one
Kannupoirum Pillay and the other two parts were rented
to one Sannia Pillay who sub-rented to one Chidambaram

Chidambaram

Chidambaram Pillay, & these two Mandapams were in the possession of Karumpoirum Pillay and Chidambaram Pillay as mortgaged and rented properties respectively till the year 1886.

In the year 1886 the Municipality of Trichinopoly filed a Suit in the additional District Munsiff's Court at Trichinopoly (Suit No. 130 in the year 1886) against Chidambaram Pillay to whom the two parts were sub-rented and took possession of them. Similarly Karumpoirum Pillay also had to give up the remaining three parts mortgaged to him to the Municipality by the threats of the Municipal authorities. From 1887 till now these are rented by the Municipality.

We were not in the Station when the Municipality filed the Suit against Chidambaram Pillay, nor we knew of the proceedings of the Madras Revenue Department of February 1870 as we were living in the Tanjore District.

I therefore most respectfully request the Government will be pleased to order ~~that~~ the Municipal Council Trichinopoly in whom the property now vested by gift of the Government, to consider my claims and restore the Mandapams to me.

I beg to remain
Your Excellency's most Obedient
& humble servant.

Dated 7th April 97 }

(Signed) J. Abdul Khader Saib
Merchant.

Chowk Trichinopoly

Chidambaram Pillay, to these two Mandapams.
were in the possession of Karumpoirum Pillay and
Chidambaram Pillay as mortgaged and rented properties
respectively till the year 1886.

In the year 1886 the Municipality
of Trichinopoly filed a Suit in the additional District
Munsiff's Court at Trichinopoly (Suit No. 130 in the year
1886) against Chidambaram Pillay to whom the two
parts were sub-rented and took possession of them.
Similarly Karumpoirum Pillay also had to give up
the remaining three parts mortgaged to him to the
Municipality by the threats of the Municipal authorities.
From 1887 till now these are rented by the Municipality.

We were not in the Station
when the Municipality filed the Suit against
Chidambaram Pillay, nor we knew of the proceedings
of the Madras Revenue Department of February 1870
as we were living in the Tanjore District.

I therefore most respectfully request
the Government will be pleased to order ~~that~~ the Municipal
Council Trichinopoly in whom the property now vests
by gift of the Government, to consider my claims
and restore the Mandapams to me.

I beg to remain
Your Excellency's most Obedient
& humble servant.


Dated 7th April 97 }

(Signed) J. Abdul Khader Saib
Merchant.

Chowk Trichinopoly

North.


Lane



D


C

Door No. 1339



B

Lane




40x23

Maulabau A

Deera Kuehwa hui

East.



F.

Door No. 1333.



E

Lane.

South.

Trichinopoly Chinn Chord.


Lane

West.

(Signed) Abdul Khader
Sahib
Merchant.

h
S
u
h
e
r

1553



1553

When given for copying
When copied
When examined *1/8*
When signed *1/5*

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING WANT FOR DESPATCH
ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only