

25-3-07

R
[L. & M.]
[9,000-8-8-96.]

Local & Munl. (1896) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 850. *m*

DATED 18th May 1896

[Despatch Abstract.]

Licences.

Declining, with remarks, to sanction the resolution of the Municipal Council, Guntur, proposing to levy a fee of one anna per square yard on ---- for permission to build verandahs, &c.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[7]

INDEXED.

Miscellaneous.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPT.

G.O., DATED 18-5-96
No. 850 m

When given for copying.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Licenses

Reclining with remarks to
sanction the resolution of the
Mun. Council, Guntur proposing
to levy a fee of one anna per sq.
yard on — for permission to
build verandahs etc.

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
189 , No. , MISCELLANEOUS.

BACK PAPER, No.

OF 189

FURTHER PAPER, No.

OF 189

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

N.B.—

- A — Copy in full
- B — Not to be copied
- P — Copy abstract only
- Q — Copy heading only

Copied by *Muz*
Examined by *Sud*

No 582

Quintu Municipal Office

Dated 25th December 1895

From

M^r P. Venkatakrishnaiah naidu gambar

Chairman, Municipal Council,
Quintu

To

The Chief Secretary to Government
Local and Municipal Department
Madras

(through the Divisional Officer & Collector,
Kistna)

Sir,

In obedience to para 1 of G.O. No 1826-M.L.S.
dated 24th November 1895, I have the honor to submit
herewith a copy of the resolutions of the Council
adopted at a meeting held on the 23rd Instant, and
in doing so, I beg to state that the Council expressed
its opinion that when it proposed a varying rate
of licenses for permission to build verandahs &c
under section 169, it did not base its proposal
on any principle, but had simply adopted the
rate sanctioned by Government in G.O. No 852
5-5-79
long ago as 1879. The Council now deems it

reasonable, to have a fixed scale, and has therefore
adopted the rate proposed by the Sub-Committee
appointed to revise the whole list of license fees
as directed by Government in G.O. No 1058 M.L.S.
5-7-95.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient servant

P. Venkatakrishnaiah
25/12
Chairman

Extract from the Proceedings of the Municipal Council
Quintur at a meeting held on the 23rd December 1895

Present

M.Ry. P. Venkatakrishnaiah naidu garu B.A. Chairman

A.A.G. Malet Esquire

A.P. Fernandez Esquire

" A.M. Sundarasiva Aungab B.A.

" M. Subbaram Pantulu garu B.A.

Choudagar Mahammad Ibrahim Sahib garu

Syed Bajet Sahib garu

" S. Venkata Subbaraidu garu

" N. Ramayya Pantulu garu

" G. Krishnamma garu

" Ch. Lakshmanma garu

" A. Set. Balanukundras garu

I Read G.O. No 1826 M.L.S. dated 4th November 1895 sanctioning
with certain remarks, the revised scale of license fees

Instead of the varying rate i.e. from one rupee
to ten rupees, the Council resolve to levy a fixed
rate of one anna per square yard. This resolution will
come into effect from the next official year.

The new scale of fees sanctioned by the Government
will be put into force from the next official year

(Signed) P. Venkatakrishnaiah

Chairman

(True Extract)

From *Chairman*
10584 5-7-95
16694 7-14-95
85240 5-5-99
The Chairman
Municipal Council
Quintus

10 FEB. 9

To

The Chief Secretary to Government
Local and Municipal Department
Madras.
(Through the Divisional Officer Collector
Kishna)

Dated 25th December 1895

coined

No 582

Enclosure 1

Subject

Submitting herewith copy of the
resolution of the Council passed
at a meeting held on the 23rd December
proposing to levy one anna per sq ft
on licenses for permission to build
verandahs instead of the varying rate

Forwarded

Due 29 of Feb 96
Forwarded. 207/1/96

2. The Council proposes
to levy fees for erecting
Verandas &c at 1 anna
a square yard. It appears
that this is to be paid once
for all; it seems to be
merely a fee for the licence
to construct. I doubt whether
the fee should not be the same
for every construction, of whatever
size. A license for 1896
costs no more than one for a
country-made fan.

3. In Cujerum, Government
has laid down as the minimum
charge for all verandas &c,
built on the public street,
6 pias per square foot
per annum. This, however,
does not appear to be a
license-fee, but an annual
rent.

4. I venture to suggest that a
minimum rate of annual
rent be also fixed at the
same time as the license-fee.

1
Municipality
Forwarded
J. D. Atkinson
Collector

Papers to be read in Proceedings:—

Again G.O., dated 4th November 1895, No. 1826a. and

Current No. 542 M of 1896, dated 25th Dec. 1895 from the Chairman M.C. further.
Current No. of 189, dated from the

Order.

See Note below

a license under S. 169
does not contemplate
any erection on free-
land, but only on the
owner's land. The lic. is
for a projection over the
street or in front of the
occupier's house and.

[Signature]

The Government agrees
with the Subcollector in
considering that the fee levied
for license to construct
verandahs &c should be the
same for every construction
irrespective of its size
in addition to the license fee
that it is desirable
that an annual rent
should be charged for all
Municipal lands occupied
by houseowners for
verandahs or other simi-
-lar constructions, at
least not less than Six
pias per square foot per
annum, the sanction
of Government being
previously
obtained for all such
alienation occupation.

2. The Municipal

Council will be requested
to reconsider the matter.

with reference to the above remarks

138
12/3/96

License for further municipality

542 hr

CS
misc

Note for order.

Submitted that fees should vary with the
size of the structures to be built. A
large verandah with take longer time
in building, or with consequently be
a greater nuisance obstruction, than
a small one, and it is only fair that
the builder should pay accordingly.
one anna a sq. rd. is very cheap.

This wd. allow a verandah of ~~from~~
20 ft x 6 ft. to be built for a fee
of 13 or 14 annas. This rate does not
appear to be the best way of dealing
with the case, as the land covered is
provided for by the annual rental, one
anna a sq. rd. cannot take into
account the height of any structure.
Either a cubic yard should be taken as
the unit, or a fixed fee be levied
according to the style & size of the structure.

e.g. Not exceeding 300 c. ft. Rs 1
500 c. ft. Rs 2
1000 c. ft. Rs 5

Wood (I think this wd. be too elaborate
to calculate by the cubic foot)

P.T.O.

To The Chairman M.C. Function
thru. the Coll. with line. etc.

What on earth
does all this
relate to?

22
27/5

Note for H. b. & m. Dept

I think the papers to
which these notes belong were
disposed of some days ago

12/5
29 May 1896

Council will be requested
to reconsider the matter.

with reference to the above remarks

12/3/96

increase for public utility

542M

CL
misc

Chs. 542M. 1/26
C. 12/5

u.s.

Please see slip attached.
The sheet containing the orig-
draft order does not seem
to have been received in
Madras.

11/5-

This file can now be
circulated - as it is

11.5.96

provided for by the annual rental, some
amount of 8d. cannot take into
account the height of any structure.
Either a cubic yard should be taken as
the unit, or a fixed fee be levied
according to the style & size of the structure.

e.g. Not exceeding 300 sq. ft. Rs 1
300 sq. ft. Rs 2
1000 sq. ft. Rs 5

Wood (I think this wd. be too elaborate
to calculate by the cubic foot)

P.T.O.

To the Chairman R.E. Franks
thru. the Coll. Secy. etc.

License for further municipality

Chas 542 m

C.S.
misc

H.C.
L.H.

Chas 542 m (re license fees,
further mun^y) came into ^{the} office
the other day for license without
the original note for orders
but with the notes on a separate
sheet of Mr. Forbes and the
C.S. C.S. remembers seeing
the orig. note. H.C. thinks
it has got mixed with
some other file & has asked
that a search be made
for it. This has been done
here but up to date
without result. Please
see if you can find the
missing note is anywhere

1/19/5

To The Chairman H.C. Fulton
thru the Coll. with Lic. recd.

Not for H.C. & H. ref. dot
at on top with the
new pencil paper with lines

new all in the cubic foot

in the madras office.
if you find it, send immediately
meanwhile the Dr. of
the paper is deferred.

awa
7/5/96

No note for orders was put up with
C. no. 542M. Only a draft
order was put up, which must
be with the current itself.

P.S.
9/8/96

To the Chairman M.C. Pruthi
thru. the Coll. with enc. ref. }

Coty Office.

C. S. remembers seeing the
original note for orders, but does
not know where it is. It must
have got mixed up with some
other file. Please see if it
can be found.

W.D.
6/5/96

As I have not yet come across the
missing note this I have carefully searched
every file that has passed thru my hands
for it. It is more likely that the file has
got mixed up with some file as has
gone down to Madras. I have written
to the office perhaps a reply may be
be sent before it is decided
what is to be done.

awa
9/5

Yrs. await. reply
This is not a reply
6/5

Decrease fees - further capacity

Admitted 542 m

Note for ca.

These papers wh:
relate to C.O. 542 m/96 in
fo. no 850 m appear to
185.96
have been mislaid by the
C. And discovered
recently. Please see his
note of 11.5.96 in the fo. 850.
the C's question be replied
to? no. 7. 11. 96

To The Chairman M.C. Funder
thru. the Coll. with line. ref. D.

mentate by the cubic foot
P.T.O.

License fees for Municipal

542 m

It does not seem to have been noticed that under Sec. 167 no proposals were made.

Please see what other rates charge under 167 & 169 respectively

27/3/06

The following are the fees levied under sec. 169

- Anantapur 8 as. a license
- Bogwada 1 anna per sq. rd.
- Maravaram Rs 2 per 100 sq. ft. + 1 anna annually if projecting over municipal land.
- Palai 4 as. per 50 sq. ft.
- Pinjikulam Rs 5 - Rs 2.8 per 50 sq. ft.
- Dindigul 4 as. per 50 sq. ft.
- Masulipatam 1 pie per diem per 20 sq. rd.
- Coonoor Rs 5 - Rs 2.8 per 100 sq. ft.
- Trichy. one anna per sq. ft. for every 3 years.

10.4.96

My own copy of the Act is put up. An early return is requested as there is no spare copy available here

10.5.96

NOTE FOR THE CHIEF SECRETARY.

To The Chairman M.C. Pudukottai
thru. the Collector, Arcot.

P.T.O.

H.M.

The case seems to be somewhat misunderstood in the notes above & the proposed draft

See 169 in respect of which the licenses under consideration are required are for projection over the street or in front of the house or land.

It seems clearly to contemplate the foundations being on ^{the} land of ^{the} owner or occupier

If the land is municipal another set of considerations arises, & the occupation of such land for the verandah etc must be otherwise ~~settled~~ & previously settled.

~~It is not the intention of the Council~~

~~to allow the projection of verandahs~~

~~on to the street or in front of the house~~

These projections are always undesirable

and the fee on license for them

should not be too low. Hence, 2/-

or 2/- is the least that should

be charged. The Council should

be called upon to reconsider the

matter

4/5/96

P.T.O.

Yes - I suppose you mean 2/- per s.y.p.a.

M

12/5/96

under the function
to the Coll. with the let.

This paper has been delayed in consequence
of the Draft GO and No. of the MS
having been mislaid. I saw it at
the time that my note was written.
and my view is a stated by me
in my note

12
11/5/96

CS. No. 850 mins 2, 18-5-96.

Order The Govt reports that it cannot sanction
the levy of the fees at the rate proposed.
The Munt Council will ^{therefore} be requested
to reconsider its resolution, as, in the
opinion of Govt, two annas per
square yard per annum, should be
the minimum fee leviable on
projections under sec 109 of Act II 1884
(from orders)

WbS
12.5.96

12
11/5/96

Decrease fees further. Munt

(From orders)

5421

CS

~~Order~~

To
The Chairman W. G. F. Munt
thru the Coll. with Encls. 1 & 2
recd. 21/5/96

C. 12/5/96

When given for copying _____
When copied _____ } 189
When examined _____
When signed _____

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____ DATED _____
189 _____

CURRENT No. _____

ENCLOSURES TO O. No. _____

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT. _____

STATEMENT WITH DITTO. _____

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B. -
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.