[L. & M.
9,000-8-8-96.]

Local & Munl. (1896) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 792 M

DATED 9th May 1896

[Despatch Abstract.]

Petition.

Declining to interfere on behalf of the inhabitants of Toorpooguden Ellore, regarding their request that permission may be granted to them to rebuild their huts which were destroyed by fire and drawing the attention of the Municipal Council Ellore to certain remarks of the Collector of Godavari on the subject.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

---

[7]

INDEXED.

Miscellaneous.

Local and Municipal Dept.

G.O., dated 9—5—96

No. 792 M

Miny'e.

When given for copying.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Petition

Declining to interfere on behalf of the inhabitants of Toorpoogudem Ellore, regarding their request that permission may be granted to them to rebuild their huts which were destroyed by fire and drawing the attention of the Munl Council llore to certain remarks the Collector of Godavari the subject.

√

Local & Municipal Dept. 13043

Code Class. D.h.

INDIAN TELEGRAPHS.

Monthly Number.

To (Office) Madras

From (Office) Ellore Godavari

Words.	Day.	Hour.	Minute.
34	23	17	5

Official Instructions. Cfd rp eight as

To (Person) Secretary. to the Govt. of Madras.

From (Person) Inhabitants of Toorpoojudem

Our huts burnt accidentally municipality prohibits rebuilding beg to grant permission to rebuild suffering for want of shelter.

COLLECTOR 12 MAR 96

SECY. GOVT MADRAS 24 FEB 96 REV. DEPT.

Pass for ans eight enclosed

(Given to the Govt

Recd. from LN. Sent to

At Hrs. Mts. by At Hrs. Mts. by

MADRAS 23-2-96

Telegraph Master

G G P—No. 451 T—21-7-95—20,00000.

A = Copy in full

B = Not to be copied

F.A.

Class. (in full)

INDIAN TELEGRAPHS.

Receipt for an Inland Telegram.

To (Office)

Number.

Date Stamp of Post Office.

Cost Rs. As.

Extra charges Reply, Delivery, &c. Rs. As.

Initials of Postmaster, &c.

Above particulars, giving full cost, to be filled up when the Telegram is paid for, PARTLY or WHOLLY, in Postage Stamps or Reply Passes.

SPACE FOR UPPER HALVES OF TELEGRAPH STAMP.

N.B.—When Telegraph Stamps form only PART PAYMENT, the entire stamps must be fixed lengthways BELOW the dotted line.

Telegraph Stamps are accepted at all Offices. Postage Stamps are only accepted at Post Offices and when telegrams are posted to a Telegraph Office for transmission.

For INLAND TELEGRAMS *only.*

Stamps cut, torn or in any way defaced cannot be accepted.

SPACE FOR LOWER HALVES OF TELEGRAPH STAMPS OR FOR ENTIRE POSTAGE STAMPS.

N.B.—Stamps may be affixed along this line up to the left of the form and continued on the corresponding space on the back, if necessary.

Code Class.	No.	Words.	Day.	H.	M.	Official Instructions.

NOTHING TO BE WRITTEN BY THE SENDER ABOVE THIS LINE EXCEPT, HIS OFFICIAL INSTRUCTION.

To (Telegraph Office) ……

(Person) ……

(Address) (in full) ……

ADDRESS FREE

From (Telegraph Office) ……

(Person) ……

Here write whether your telegram is State *or* Private. *Unless classed* State *by sender, it will be sent as* Private, *and no change or refund is afterwards admissible.*

Here write whether your telegram is to be sent URGENT, ORDINARY *or* DEFERRED.

NOTHING TO BE WRITTEN ON THE BACK.—CONTINUE ON ANOTHER FORM.

Sent to *LN.*

At *Hrs.* *Mts. by* ……

Sender's Signature and Address or Designation. *(Not signalled.)*

The *ACCURACY* of telegrams is not guaranteed: the sender must accept AL
arising from non-delivery, errors or delays.

Address any complaint regarding this telegram to the Telegraph Check Office, Calcutta, within two months from the date of the telegram, enclosing this receipt.

For detailed rules, see the Telegraph Guide (price 4 annas.)

ADDITIONAL SPACE FOR STAMPS.

N.B.—When Telegraph Stamps are affixed here, the upper halves, if they accompany the receipt, must be placed above the dotted line.

No Stamps to be affixed below unless spaces allotted are insufficient.

G. P.—No. 407 T—12-11 93—8,00,000.

9 }

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT

Local & Municipal Dept.
CURRENT No. 686 M

II

E

TO BE RETURNED WITH REPLY

189

Date.

Telegram

From

The inhabitants of
Toorpoogudem, Ellore.

S.R. 28/2/96

Reply paid not enclosed S.R.

put this in the next reply
S.R. 28/2/96

No.

Dated 23-2-96

Received 24-2-96

Enclosures Reply paid for eight annas

SUBJECT.

raying that permission may be granted
o rebuild their huts which have been
urnt accidentally.

Encl 1

No. 264

Referred to the municipal Council, Ellore, for early report, which should be submitted through the Collector.

(By order)
W. W. Phillips
Ag. Assistant Secretary

P.R.
25/2/96

Fort St. George
26th February 96

To
The Chairman, M.C. Ellore.

(with Encl.)

Extract from the Proceedings of the Municipal Council Ellore dated 4th July 1894.

× × × × ×
× × × ×

Read letter from the Collector Godavari District No. 830 dated 3-6-94 requesting to be informed the steps taken regarding the removal of Thurupugoodem & the latrine there.

Read and resolved that notice be given under Section 207 to the owners of the site on which these Thurupugudem huts were built to provide and maintain 2 latrines one for males and one for females, each measuring 16 × 8 yards having tatty enclosures for the present; and if the same was not done within a fortnight the same to be done by the Municipality at their Cost and prosecution to be ordered under Section 264.

True Extract

C Sitaramayya

E/H Chairman

Extract from the Proceedings of the Municipal Council Ellore dated 21st and 22nd August 1895

× × × ×

× × × ×

II. 9. Read letter from the Collector Godavari dated 12-8-95 No. $\frac{1042}{\text{Eng}}$ of 95 requesting to erect latrines in Turupugoodem as the parties failed to erect the same although notice under Section 207 was given

Resolved that a latrine be Constructed as per former resolution dated 4-7-94.

× × ×

True Extract

C Sitaramayya

E/H Chairman

Extract from the Proceedings of the Municipal Council Ellore dated 16th October 1895

x x x x x

x x x x x

IV Read letter Ref. No. 1386/Eng dated 27-9-95 from the Collector Godavari enquiring what provision the Council has made for giving house sites to the Shoorupus in case its proposal to remove them from their present sites is sanctioned by Government.

Recorded with the remark that at present the Council is not in a position to acquire land and to provide for Shoorpu huts.

x x x

True Extract

C. Sitaramayya.

Chairman

Encl 3/1140 M/95

Extract from the Proceedings of the Municipal Council Ellore dated 22nd and 24th February 1896.

x x x x x

x x x x x

XX Read the following memos from the Chairman delegate (1) Stating that Thurupu people are re-constructing huts near the Kistna Canal without permission & that steps should be taken to prevent the reconstruction

Resolved that no new huts & pandals be permitted to be raised by the Thurupu people between the harbour and the Kistna Canal, near Gopalaswamy's temple, Gajavellyvari tank & in Powerpeta & that huts & pandals raised without permission be removed within a week & if not removed, to be removed at their cost by the Municipality. Resolved that Mr. Sundarasiva Row garu be authorized to carry out the resolution who promised to point out site to them beyond the limits.

(Sd) B. Sitaramayya
Chairman delgt

True Extract

C. Sitaramayya.
Chairman

E/6

7

Extract from the Proceedings of the Municipal Council Ellore dated 15th January 96

× × × ×

× × × ×

III Read Proceedings of the Collector Godavari Dis $\frac{A}{Eng}$ dated 21-11-95 regarding the proposal of the Council to remove the Thurupus from their present quarters and also Ref No $\frac{2698}{30-10-95}$ from the Head Asst: Collector regarding dwelling sites for Sweeper servants of Ellore Municipality.

Resolved that 6 acres of the site near the new slaughter house be acquired under the Act and necessary sketches be prepared for immediate action

× × ×

True Extract

C. [illegible]

Chairman

E/H

Ellore Municipal Office.

Dated the ~~7~~ 11th March 1896

Despatched 11th

No. 139 Eng. Encl 6. six

FROM,

M-R-Ry,

C. SITARAMAYYA PANTULU GARU;

CHAIRMAN,

MUNICIPAL COUNCIL ELLORE.

To,

The Chief Secretary to Government
Fort St. George
Madras

Sir.

* Herewith returned

In reference to your* endorsement No. 264 dated 26-2-96 on the Telegram from the inhabitants of Turupugudum, I have the honor to state as follows:-

The Sanitary Commissioner in his Inspection notes dated 19th and 26th October 1894, embodied in G. O No. 801 M. dated 6th May 1895, remarked that the gudum huts are in a highly insanitary condition and suggested to the Council either to do away with or to reform these hut grounds on a pre arranged system. The Council at its meeting dated 7th August 1895 addressed Government to sanction the removal of the huts under section 187 of the Act but the Collector wanted to know what provision the Council has made for re-housing them in case Government sanctions their removal. As some land owners in the town are willing to give their sites to this class of people on nominal rent for dwelling purposes, the Council at its meeting dated 16th October 1895 resolved that it is

Clause (2)

not

not in a position to acquire land for Purupus.

2 The Collector however disapproved of the proposal and informed the Council by his proceedings Dis No. R/Eng of 21-11-95 and suggested to the Council to acquire a suitable plot of land for the Purupus beyond Powerpetta and to utilize the site at present occupied by them for a market; and on the receipt of the proceedings the Council at its meeting dated 16th January 1896 resolved to acquire 6 acres of land for Purupus and Sweepers at the extreme end of Powerpetta and the Collector was requested by my letter No. 103 Eng dated 5-3-96 to acquire the said land under the act.

3 The persons who now addressed Government by telegram are the Purupus whom the Council intended to remove from the centre of the town as they are a source of great nuisance.

4 The Council at its meeting dated 4th July 1894 ordered the issue of notices to the owners of the lands occupied by the Purupus to erect latrines and the owners having failed to construct them, they were prosecuted and convicted. The owners after this also requested the Council to remove the Purupus from the site as they were erecting huts in their sites against their will and without Councils permission, whereupon the Council at meeting dated 22-8-95 resolved not to permit Purupus to build their huts in the said land. But however

the

the Purupus built their huts in spite of the Councils repeated orders and the remonstrance of the owners. The Council in view of the remarks of the Collector deferred to take any immediate action before making any provision for their house sites.

5. It has now unfortunately happened that almost all the huts intended to be removed were burnt accidently and endangered Powerpetta. The Council on the representation of several respectable persons in the town and petta, resolved at meeting dated 24-2-96 not to permit them to rebuild thatched huts or pandals, taking care however to make ample provision, through private agency, for giving house sites to them either temporarily or permanently.

6. It may not be out of place to mention here that these Purupus are not residents of the place but only a class of homeless wanderers who have been imported here during repeated and recent famines from Vizanagaram side and who have thus no claim against the Council for any house site accommodation. Any interference now at this crisis, I beg to state will greatly affect the health, property and comfort of the people of the town and is likely to result in Ellore being the hot bed of Cholera in the impending future.

7. Under these circumstances I hope Government will be pleased to find that the Council had done what was absolutely necessary in the interests of the town-people.

8. Copies of resolutions referred to herein are enclosed.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

Chairman.

Cur. $\frac{518}{E.C.}$ of 1896 8/4/96

From

The Chairman
Municipal Council
Ellore.

To

The Chief Secretary to
Government Fort St George
Madras

Dated 11th March 1896
Despatched 11th do
Received

Encls. 6.
No 109 Eng

Subject.

Referrence. Endorsement No 264 dated 26-2-96.

Forwarding reply to the above reference.

Wk 8/4/96 at 7 P.m
K

No $\frac{431}{Eng}$ of 1896 d/ 8.4.96

Submitted.

2. The petitioners live on private land which is situated in a central part of the town. Their huts are crowded and lie in irregular groups and are kept in a very insanitary condition. Successive Sanitary Officers have pronounced the occupation of the locality by these low caste and dirty people a danger to the health of the town in general.

Please see L.O. $\frac{801}{6.5.95}$

3. The question of removing the Turpus from this place arose in 1893. A latrine in the use of these people was ordered by the Municipality to be closed on the ground of its being in an objectionable locality. The result was that the people committed nuisance in every vacant space near so that the closure of the latrine did more harm than good. The Municipality then addressed lette no 130/d 12·93 to Government applying for orders for the removal of the Turpus from the place. Mr Wynne, the then Collector returned the letter and asked for information on the following points:-

1 That the step taken by the Municipality was legal.

2 That it would not entail undue hardship, "steps being taken to mitigate such hardship as there was". He remarked that "the step of removing a latrine and then prosecuting for nuisances which that step had induced was a strange step". A copy of the Collector's Proceedings 479/Eng of 16·12·93 is enclosed.

4. For some months no action was taken by the Council in the matter. Meantime the Turpus complained of the inconvenience caused to them by the removal of their latrine. The Chairman was therefore instructed to restore the latrine; but he served notices on the owners of the sites on which the peta stands under Sec 207 of the District Municipalities Act reminding them of the penal provision contained in Sec 264 of the Act. The notice was not heeded & the prosecutions instituted in consequence, though they ended in the conviction of some of the land-owners, had no better effect. The Council therefore constructed a latrine at its own cost - vide resolution II-9 of the meeting dated 22 August 1895.

5. Copies of further correspondence between the Council and me regarding the removal of the Turpus are enclosed. It will be seen from it that while the Council persisted in removing the Turpus from the sites in question, the latter firmly resisted the Council which in consequence applied to Government for sanction of the removal of the huts under sec 187 (2) of the Act.

6 While I agreed with the Council in regard to the risk there is to the health of the public residing in the neighbourhood of the Turkas, in allowing them to remain where they were, I insisted on sites being found for them elsewhere. The Council for some time demurred but has at last resolved on acquiring 6 acres of land for the Turkas among others – Vide Resolution III of 15-1-96.

7 While matters stood thus, the petitioners' huts recently took fire, & the Council seized hold of this opportunity to prevent the reconstruction of the huts on the site by withholding its permission. It is of this action of the Council that the petitioners complain. Similar petitions have been presented to me, & I have been in correspondence with the Chairman urging him as aforesaid to find sites for the Turkas before turning them out.

8. That the huts in their present locality are a great nuisance is undoubted. But I cannot accept the Chairman's statement that the Turkas are a wandering people. It is true that famine has driven them from the northern Districts to this; but they have settled all over this District for years and though they pay no taxes should be shown that indulgent treatment which long residence in the place from which it is contemplated to turn them out, entitles them to. The Council is within its rights in withholding permission for their reconstruction and, considering the Council has undertaken to

purchase land for house sites for these among others, there appear no grounds for interfering with the discretion of the Council in the matter complained of beyond directing it at once to provide some other site for the petitioners to build upon and instructing it to see that they construct their new dwellings on some system with due provision for streets and cross streets.

/signed/ V. A. Brodie
Collector

for Collector.

T. D.
8.4

No $\frac{479}{\text{Eng}}$ d/16. 12. 93.

Proceedings of the Collector, Godavari District.

Read: letter No $\frac{130 \text{ Enc: } 2}{8.12.93}$ from the Chairman, Municipal Council, Ellore.

Read also: Head Assistant Collector's endorsement thereon (No $\frac{1531}{9.12.93}$).

—

This letter will be returned to the Chairman with the remark that it is quite useless to send the matter up in this form. The exact procedure which the Council wish to follow must be clearly set out, and it must be shown:—

1° that the step is legal.
2° that it will not entail undue hardship steps being taken to mitigate such hardship as there is.

In this connection I notice that the step of removing a latrine and then prosecuting nuisances which the removal must have increased and induced is a strange step, and that s 208 under which a notice is said to have been issued has no application whatever to the case.

(Sd) S. H. Wynne
Collector.

(True Copy)

Asst for Collector

No.
8.4

Encl 7
1140 94/96

Ref No 1386/Eng of 95.

Godavari District
Collector's office 27.9.

From

V. A. Brodie Esquire.
Collector, Godavari Dist

To.

The Chairman
Municipal council, Ellore.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No 37 of 30-8-95 to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras, I have the honor to enquire what provision the council has made for giving house-sites to the Impus in case its proposal to remove them from their present sites is sanctioned by Govt. Until some such provision is made, it does not seem to be fair to ~~r~~move in the matter.

2. In this connection I desire to suggest that if there is no waste land available in Ellore the council should acquire some for the purpose.

I have &c &c
(Sd) V. A. Brodie
Collector.

(True Copy)

Asst for Collector

No.
8.2

Encl 8 / 114 of 96

No 67. Eng.

Ellore Municipal office
Dated 8. Nov 95.

From

M.R.Ry. C. Sitaramayya Pantulugaru
Chairman, Municipal Council
Ellore.

To.

The Collector
Godavari.

Sir,

Referring to your letter no 1386/Eng dated 27th September 1895, I have the honor to forward herewith an extract from the Proceedings of the Municipal Council of 16.10.95 for your information, and I may mention here that these squatters have occupied the land without the permission of the owners and even now refuse to leave it although warned by the owners to vacate the land.

I have &c &c

(Sd) C. Sitaramiah
Chairman

(True Copy)

Asst. for Collr.

No
8.2

No 37.

Ellore Municipal office
Dated 30th Aug 95.

Local & Municipal Dept.
CURRENT No. ....

From

M.R.Ry. C. Sitaramayya Pantulu garu
Chairman, Municipal Council.
Ellore.

To.

The Chief Secretary to Government
Fort St George Madras.

(1) near Harbour.
(2) near Gajasthanvari Tower
(3) near the car of Gopalaswamy temple

Sir,

In enclosing an extract from the Proceedings of the Ellore Municipal council, dated 7.8.95, I have the honor to inform you that the owners of sites where the marginally noted Impungudsms are situated have not complied with the notices issued to them under Sec 187 cl (1) act IV of 1884 and to request that you will be good enough to obtain sanction, under sec 187 cl (2) of the act, for their removal at the owners' cost.

I may also mention here that the existence of these huts has been considered highly objectionable by the Sanitary Commissioner and the District

Incl 9
1140 of 95

Medical and Sanitary Officer.

I have &c &c

(Sd) C Sitaramayya
Chairman

(True Copy)

Asst for Collector

B.O.
8.2

Spl 10
1140 of 96

Dis no $\frac{A}{Eng}$ of 21.11.95.

Ref: Letter no. $\frac{67}{Eng}$ of 8.11.95 from the Municipal Chairman, Ellore. Recd 23.11.95

The undersigned cannot approve for a moment of the proposal of the council to remove the Lumpus from their present quarters without providing any place for them to go to. Such action, seeing that the number of persons affected must be over 500, would be cruel in the extreme and would result in no good as, driven out of their present quarters, they would probably squat in any vacant ground they could find within the municipality from which they would ~~against~~ again have been driven.

2. The first step the council must take is to acquire a suitable plot of land for the Lumpus, and such land can be got beyond Powerpet on the road to Sanivarapet. If possible the council should first arrange about the price, ~~of~~ with the owners, getting a stamped agreement (8as) to sell at this price, and then move Government to acquire it under

the act at this price in order to secure an indefeasible title. They should at the same time arrange with the owners of the land now occupied by the Tumpus to acquire it as it will be most useful to the council for the proposed market and, having arranged the price, move Government under the act for its acquisition. ~~[illegible]~~ From what the Chairman has told me personally, this also is not likely to be a matter of great difficulty.

3. Having acquired both land, the council must allot to each Tumpu hut owner a site in the new land acquired, give him a month to remove to it, and, failing compliance, have his present hut removed by its agents. The new sites should all be pegged out beforehand and care taken to see that the Tumpus erect their huts in regular lines with streets at least 25 ft. wide between opposite rows of huts and a sufficient number of cross-streets. Latrines of course must be provided in the new site, and when the Council is taking up land it should also take up land for its own toties.

The Chairman complains that the Municipal Scavengers are constantly striking or threatening to strike. They will be less likely to do so if they know that, being the tenants of the council, its reply to such behaviour will be ejectment.

4. The cost of such acquisition is, I understand, not likely to be great, and in any case this is not of much consequence, for the council being the owners of the land acquired can always recover at least 7 per cent on the cost of its acquisition in the shape of rent. The money required to acquire the land now occupied by the huts of the Tumpus will also not be unproductive expenditure, for a good general market on the site would without doubt prove a very good and paying speculation.

5. As soon as ever the undersigned is informed that the council has taken steps to acquire the land to which it is proposed to remove the Tumpus,

the application of the council for powers to remove the present Tumpugudem will be forwarded and supported.

6. So much time has been already lost in this matter that the undersigned hopes the Chairman and council will now bestir themselves and take active measures. Proposals for the acquisition of the existing Tumpugudem and for the acquisition of suitable land to which the Tumpus can be removed should be submitted by the 15th prox:. If the new site for the Tumpus is outside the existing M^l limits, application for their extension so as to include it should also be made at once. Of course it is not necessary to delay measures for the acquisition of either land, should the owners not consent amicably to sell. In that case application should still be made, the estimated value being put down as the probable cost.

Sd/ V.A. Brodie
Collector

Encl. 11
1140 of 95

(True Copy)

21.11.95
[illegible]
Asst. for Collr

No 86

Revenue {1896} Department.

From

The Collector,
Godavari District.

431
No. $\frac{107}{\text{Eng}}$ of 1896

Dated 8.
Despatched 8 } April 1896.
Received 13 APR. 96

SUBJECT.

Re Submitting Ellore municipal Chairman's letter No $\frac{107}{\text{Eng}}$ d/ 11. 3. 96 forwarding a reply to the Government Endorsement No $\frac{264}{26.2.96}$ on the Telegram from the inhabitants of Jeerpegudem in the remarks

(with order

C.No. 6884 11404.

$\frac{\text{C.S.}}{\text{Mun}}$ order. No 792 Mun 8.5.96

The Government sees no reason to interfere on behalf of the petitioners.

2. The municipal Council's attention will be drawn to the Collector's remarks in the concluding ~~portion~~ paragraph of his endorsement, & his suggestions should be carefully observed.

WWS
4.5.96

7/5/96

KR
1/5/96

To

The Petitioners (para. 1 only)
Chairman. M.C. Ellore
Thro' the Collr of Godavari
Despd 12/5/96

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed } 189 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. 189 , DATED							
CURRENT No.							
ENCLOSURES TO C. No.							
ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.							
STATEMENT WITH DITTO.							
PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.							

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.