& M.
0-8-8-96.]

Local & Munl. (1896) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 775 L

DATED 29th April 1896.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Budget.

Recording with remarks, explanation of points noticed in the Trichinopoly Local Fund ----- for 1896-97.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

---

[7]

Miscellaneous.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPT.

G.O., DATED 29-4-96-
No. 775 L.

INDEXED.

When given for copying.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Budget.

Recording with remarks explanation of points noticed in the Trichinopoly L. F. for 1896-97.

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED 189 , No. , MISCELLANEOUS.

BACK PAPER, NO. of 189 .

FURTHER PAPER, NO. OF 189 .

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT NO.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

N.B.—
A — Copy in full.
B — Not to be copied.
P — Copy abstract only.
Q — Copy heading only.

Copied by Vil

Examined by Srnd

29-4-96.
775a.

Budget. e-c

Regarding points made
explanation of points in
the Trichinopoly L. F.
for 1896-97.

Cur No. 3658 L of 1895

O.M. $\frac{121}{30/1/96}$

The following points are noticed in the budget statements and the President's letter:—

(1) In Columns 5 and 7 of statement I-B, the Contribution of Rs 180 payable to Provincial Funds for constructing a dead house attached to the Ariyalur hospital should have been debited to (f) Contributions to Provincial Funds and not to (a) New works-Buildings, under Grant I.

(2) In Columns 6 and 7 of the same statement, the actuals of 1894-95 and not the budget provision for 1895-96, should have been repeated under Contributions to Provincial Services for the Medical College, Sanitary Inspection and Medical Reserve

e-c

Staff, with reference to G. O. No 641 L dated 2nd April 1887.

(3) In Column 5 of the same statement the provision made by the Taluk Boards under Grant III (h) (3) Miscellaneous, is given as Rs 2970, but from Column 11 of statement VIII it appears that an expenditure of Rs 3155 is to be incurred on lighting alone, in Unions.

~~(4) In Columns 11 and 13 of the same statement (I-B) the closing balance of 1896-97 under Endowment funds is given as Rs 7,020, but according to Column 20 of statement VII it should be Rs 8400.~~

(4) In Column 19 of statement VII, the total charges of the Kulittalai dispensary are given as Rs 1470, whereas in Column 41 of statement V they appear as Rs 1500.

(5) The submission of ~~the~~ abstracts of the revised estimate for 1895-96 and the budget estimate for 1896-97 was unnecessary.

(6) In the proposition statements, the establishments entertained for the departmental management of the toll-gates, referred to in para 16 of the President's letter, and the particular schools in which revision of establishments is proposed, together with the present and proposed scale of establishments appertaining to them, have not been given.

(7) In the same statements, the periodical increments to be given to the Compounder of the Udaiyarpalaiyam dispensary and the employment of water carriers for four months in the Kalpatti and Tirumalai Pillai's Choultries should have been omitted, with reference to G.O.s No 1381 L dated 7th June 1890 and No 807 L dated 11th April 1893, respectively.

(8) In para 40 of the President's letter, the allotment for Communications and the Contributions to the Pudukkottai State and the Trichinopoly Municipal Council for the maintenance of local fund roads, are given as Rs 1,32,780 and Rs 2,110 respectively, instead of Rs 1,35,780 and Rs 2,100, according to Column 7 of statement I-A.

(9) In para 42 it is stated that the provision of Rs 300 on account of Contribution to the Public Works Department for the scrutiny of plans and estimates for local fund works, represents the charge for the scrutiny of the estimate for the Marungapuri – Puttanattam road, but the estimated cost of this work being Rs 8,000, the charge for scrutiny at 2 1/2 per cent of the estimate amounts to only Rs 200.

~~(10) In para 55, the assignment for Grant II in 1894-95 is given as Rs 31,110 instead of Rs 31,950.~~

2. The necessary Corrections have been made with reference to clauses (1) and (2) of the preceding paragraph. The discrepancies noticed in Clauses (3), (4) and (9) should be explained and with reference to clause (6) revised proposition statements for the toll and Educational establishments should be submitted.

V.R.
24/1/96

W.W.G.
27.1.96

To The President, District Board,
Trichinopoly

Despd. 30/1/96

Points noticed in the Trichinopoly L. F. Budget for 1896-97.

n / O.M

121

30-1-96

VIII: Union Budge

to Grant II.

Names of Unions.	Balance.
1	2
1 Lalgudi	..
2 Manachanallur	..
3 Puvalur	..
4 Musiri	..
5 Kulittalai	..
6 Turaiyur	..
7 Kattupputtur	..
8 Ariyalur	300
9 Udaiyarpalaiyam	110
10 Perambalur	-100
11 Jayankondasholapuram	360
12 Kilapaluvur	22
13 Kurumbalur	70
Total	960

ecrease per month.		Grounds of proposition.	Recommendations of the District Board and orders of Government.
d.	Amount.		
	RS.	Vide report and the original proposition statement.	

a. For repairing

b. The amount

of Twel

c. Cost of lig

ered in red ink."

Revised

VIII: Union Budget Estimates of the District of Trichinopoly for the Official year 1896-97.

	Names of Unions.	Receipts.						Expenditure.								
		Balance.	From House-Tax.	Fees and fines.	Contributions.	Miscellaneous.	Total	Sanitation.	Public Works.	School.	Miscellaneous.	Establishment.	Contingencies.	Total.	Balance.	Remarks.
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16.
1	Lalgudi	..	1350	210	940	10	2510	1790	[a] 100	..	210	120	100	2320	190	
2	Manachanallur	..	450	60	740	10	1,2[illegible]0	870	..	..	165 170	120	70	1230	30	
3	Puvalur	..	500	40	780	10	1,330	900	..	..	140	120	80	1240	90	
4	Musiri	..	700	115	710	25	1,550	955	..	..	520 350	155	90	1550	..	
5	Kulittalai	..	740	115	730	25	1610	1035	..	..	330	155	90	1610	..	
6	Turaiyur	..	1320	105	380	25	1,83[illegible]	1150	..	..	345	195	140	1830	..	
7	Kattuputtur	..	1300	75	35	25	1,435	1100	..	..	~~1025~~	195	140	1435	..	
8	Ariyalur	300	850	200	520	20	1890	1175	..	..	470	130	90	1865	25	
9	Udaiyarpalaiyam	110	680	100	490	10	1,390	1030	..	..	160	120	60	1,370	20	
10	Perambalur	-100	920	120	570	20	1,530	1075	..	..	150	120	60	1405	125	
11	Jayankondasholapuram	360	450	125	300	25	1260	880	..	..	150 160	120	60	1220	40	
12	Kilapaluvur	220	1010	60	280	10	1,580	1115	..	..	260	120	60	1555	25	
13	Kurumbalur	70	600	20	495	10	1,195	780	..	..	100	120	60	1060	135	
	Total	960	10,870	1,345	6,970	[b] 225	20,370	13,855	100		1300 [c] 2,845	1790	1100	19,690	680	

a. For repairing the Lalgudi Union Office Building.

b. The amount represents the realization from the sale of sweepings and withered trees.

c. Cost of lighting.

3155
310
2845.

2470
2330
140

150

V Ramaswami Aiyar
for President

Revision of Establishment. relating to Grant II.

Pay.		Proposition.						Grounds of proposition.	Recommendations of the District Board and orders of Government.
		Permanent.		Temporary.					
		Increase per month.	Decrease per month.	Increase per month.		Decrease per month.			
imum.	Maximum.	Amount.	Amount.	Period.	Amount.	Period.	Amount.		
RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.		RS.		RS.		
Board.									
9	9	1	..					Vide report and the original proposition Statement.	
..	..	..	6						
9	9	✓ 1	6						
9	9	✓ 2	..						
9	9	1	..						
9	9	3	..						
18	18	✓ 4	..						
9	9	1	..						
8	8	2	..						
17	17	✓ 3	..						
9	9	1	..						
6	6	..	1	Hanumant school not mentioned					
..	..	..	6						
15	15	✓ 1	7						
9	9	..	..						
6	6	1	..						
15	15	✓ 1	..						
9	9	..	..						
6	6	1	..						
15	15	✓ 1	..						
9	9	1	..						
6	6	..	..						
15	15	✓ 1	..						

isters of this office and are correct with the exception of the items altered in red ink.”

r Revision of Establishment. relating to Grant II.

		PROPOSITION.							
ale.		Permanent.		Temporary.				Grounds of proposition.	Recommendations of the District Board and orders of Government.
Pay.		Increase per month.	Decrease per month.	Increase per month.		Decrease per month.			
Minimum.	Maximum.	Amount.	Amount.	Period.	Amount.	Period.	Amount.		
ard RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.		RS.		RS.		
10	10	..	..					Vide report and the original proposition Statement.	
6	6	..	2						
"	"	"	6						
16	16	..	8						
8	8	1	..						
12	12	..	..						
10	10	1	..						
8	8	..	1						
6	6	..	1		Arayin panali school school not shown.				
6	6	1	..						
4	4	1	..						
46	46	3	2						
9	9	2	..						
Board									
12	12	2	..		Nangapuram & Manampalani Kuchurayapuram schools not shown.				
8	8	..	..						
6	6	..	..						
26	26	2	..						
12	12	..	..		Rs 317 provided in the budget			Vide report	
9	9	..	..						
7	7	..	..						
6	6	..	..						
4	4	1	..						
38	38	1	..						

gisters of this office and are correct with the exception of the items altered in red ink."

Revised Statement of Proposition for Revision of Establishment. relating to Grant II

Order sanctioning present establishment.		Office to which the proposition refers.	Nature of charge.								Proposition.						Grounds of proposition.	Recommendations of the District Board and orders of Government.
			Present scale.				Proposed scale.				Permanent.		Temporary.					
			No.	Designation.	Pay.		No.	Designation.	Pay.		Increase per month.	Decrease per month.	Increase per month.		Decrease per month.			
No.	Date.				Minimum.	Maximum.			Minimum.	Maximum.	Amount.	Amount.	Period.	Amount.	Period.	Amount.		
					RS.	RS.			RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.		RS.		RS.		
							Arigalur Taluk Board											
Taluk Board's Proceedings No. 28	30.4.95	Tirumanur school	1	Master	7	7	1	Master	7	7	..	..					vide report	
Do.			..	..	..	..	1	Do.	3	3	3	..						
			1		7	7	2		10	10	✓ 3	..						
Do.	Do.	Perambalur Girls' school	1	Mistress	15	15	1	Mistress	15	15	..	..						
			1	Master	10	10	1	Master	10	10	..	..						
			1	Mistress	3	3	1	Mistress	5	5	2	..						
			1	Conductress	2½	2½	1	Conductress	2½	2½	..	..						
			4		30½	30½	4		32½	32½	✓ 2	..						
G.O. No. 345 L	25.2.95	Kadur	1	Master	7	7	..	..	..	..	✓ ..	7						
Do.	Do.	Ogalur	1	Do.	7	7	..	..	..	..	✓ ..	7						

[illegible]

"Certified that the entries in the column of 'Present scale' have been compared with registers of this office and are correct ~~with the exception of the items altered in red ink.~~"

A. Krishnaswamiengar
Tay Dy Collector

V Ramaswamy
for President

Supplemental Statement ~~of Proposition fo~~ showing the r Revision of Establishment. during 1895-96 under the authority of G.O. No. $\frac{180\ L}{28.1.91}$ - $\frac{889\ L}{17.5.94}$

Order sanctioning present establishment.		Office to which the proposition refers.	NATURE OF CHARGE.								PROPOSITION.						Grounds of proposition.	Recommendations of the District Board and orders of Government.
			Present scale.				Proposed sc				Permanent.		Temporary.					
					Pay.				Pay.		Increase per month.	Decrease per month.	Increase per month.		Decrease per month.			
No.	Date.		No.	Designation.	Minimum.	Maximum.	No.	Designation.	Minimum.	Maximum.	Amount.	Amount.	Period.	Amount.	Period.	Amount.		
					RS.	RS.			RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.		RS.		RS.		
								District Board										
District Board's Proceedings No. 6 " 37 " 57 " 77	11.5.95 12.7.95 31.8.95 12.12.95	Toll Establishment: Turaiyur	..	"	"	"	1	Clerk	9	9							Vide Para 16 of the Budget Report.	
			..	"	"	"	2	Peons on Rs 7 each	14	14								
			..	"	"	"	3		23	23			1 year	23				
		Toll Establishment: Lower Anicut.	..		"	"	2	Clerks on Rs 11 each	22	22			Do.	22				
		Toll Establishment: Kilapaluvur	..	"	"	"	1	Clerk	10	10								
			..	"	"	"	1	Do.	8	8								
			..	"	"	"	1	Peon	5	5								
			..	"	"	"	3		23	23			Do.	23.				

"CERTIFIED that the entries in the column of 'Present scale' have been compared with egisters of this office and are correct with the exception of the items altered in red ink."

V Ramaswamy Iyer
for President

19/2/96

3/558 L/96

TRICHINOPOLY DISTRICT BOARD'S OFFICE,

Dis No. 114 of 96 dt despd } 20.2.1896.

Enc 3.

FROM,

C. Andrew ESQUIRE,
PRESIDENT, DISTRICT BOARD,
TRICHINOPOLY.

TO,

THE CHIEF SECRETARY,
TO GOVERNMENT,
MADRAS.

Sir,

I have the honour to reply to Government Memorandum No. 121 / 30.1.96

2. Clause (3): A sum of Rs 830 was provided by the Trichinopoly Taluk Board in its budget on account of the lighting charges of its Unions. The District Board reduced the above provision to Rs 520, but through an oversight Rs 830 was entered in Statement No. VIII. The correct figure is however Rs 520 and I enclose a revised statement No. VIII embodying this figure.

✓

Clause (4): The sums of Rs 200 and Rs 230 entered in Column 39 of Statement V against Musiri and Kulittalai dispensaries respectively are clerical errors for Rs 230 and Rs 200 respectively. I request that the above statement be corrected accordingly.

✓

Clause (6): Revised proposition Statements for the toll and educational establishments are herewith submitted.

Clause (9): The correct figure is Rs 200.

✓

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

V Ramaswamy

Mgr for President.

Trichinopoly District Board's office

Local & Municipal Dept.
CURRENT No. 558 L

From
J. Andrew Esquire,
President, District
Board, Trichinopoly

To
The Chief Secretary
to Government
Madras

Dt
recd } 20th Feby 1896

Dis no 114 of 1896 [illegible] 3

CHIEF SECRETARY
21 FEB 1896
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Subject

Submitting reply to Government memorandum No 121 dated the 30th Jany 1896.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in Proceedings:—

Again G.O. No. 287 L, dated 12th February 1896, ~~No.~~

Current No. 558 L of 1896, dated 20 February from the Pt. Dt. Bd. Trichinopoly
Current No. of 189 , dated from the

Order. No 775 Mis dt 29.4.96

Recorded with the following remarks;—

(1) From the explanation now furnished with reference to para. 1(3) of Government memorandum No. 121 dated 30th January 1896, it appears that the provision of Rs 2970 made by the Taluk Boards under Grant III (h) (3) miscellaneous was made up of Rs 2840 for lighting and Rs 130 for other charges, instead of Rs 3150 and Rs —180 respectively, as supposed by Government. The expenditure on lighting having been fixed in para. 4(4) of G.O. No. 287 L dated 12th February 1896 at Rs (3150-320) 2830 or only Rs 10 less than the amount provided by the Local Boards, the allotment under Grant III (h) (3) miscellaneous provided

in the above Government order will now be raised by Rs 310; and the provision under Grant I (C) (2) Scrutiny of plans and estimates will be reduced by Rs 100 with reference to the President's explanation on clause (9) of the Government Memorandum above quoted. The balance available for general purposes at the close of 1896.97 will then be Rs 8350 instead of Rs 8560, anticipated in para. 5 of G.O. No 287 L dated 12th February 1896.

(2.) The necessary corrections will be made with reference to the explanation given on para. 1(4) of the same memorandum.

(3) The addition to and alterations in the school establishment shown in the proposition statement are sanctioned.

(4) From columns 19 and 20 of Statement IV A it appears that the establishments attached to the primary schools at Kannanur, Nangapuram and Krishnarayapuram are to be revised, and that establishments are to be employed in the schools at Ariyur (pariah), Marungapuri and Jayankonda sholapuram (girls); but these are not shown in the proposition statement now submitted. The omission should be supplied without further delay.

(5) The entertainment of the toll establishment referred to in para. 16 of the budget letter and shown in the proposition statement now submitted is sanctioned.

V.R
11/4/96

WWS
13.4.96

27/4/96

To

The President, District Board,

Trichinopoly

Explanatory papers relating to the Trichinopoly D.B. Budget for 1896-97

558 L

C.S.
misc

775 L

…n given for copying …n copied …n examined …n signed } 189							
…AGAIN G.O., No. DATED 189 ,							
…MENT No.							
…NCLOSURES TO C. No.							
…ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
…LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.							
…STATEMENT WITH DITTO.							
PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.							

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.