

Local & Munl. (1896) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 757 M

DATED 4th May 1896

[Despatch Abstract.]

Erratum.

v Requesting the Chairman, Municipal Council, Negapatam to insert the word "not" before the words "have been notified &c," in clause (1) of Government memorandum No. 299 dated 5th March 1896.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[7] INDEXED.

Miscellaneous.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPT.	
G.O., DATED	4-5-96
No.	757/m.

When given for copying.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Erratum

Requesting the Chairman,
Mun. Council, Nagapatam,
to insert the word "not"
before the words "have
been modified to" in
clause 11 of Government
Memorandum No 299
dated 5th March 1896.

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
189, No. MISCELLANEOUS.

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

N.B.

- A - Copy in full
- B - Not to be copied
- P - Copy abstract only
- Q - Copy heading only

BACK PAPER, No.

OF 189

FURTHER PAPER, No.

OF 189

Local & Municipal Department
From CURRENT No. 4790

The Chairman,
Municipal Council,
Negapatam.

To
The Honorable The Chief Secretary
to Government, Fort St. George,
Madras.
Local and Municipal Department.

No. 41

Dated. 16th
Despd.
Received, 18 APR 1896

Reference

SUBJECT.

Submitting a reference
as to whether in the Budget
Statement the figures
in Column 5 of Statement
II should be inclusive
or exclusive of the
Subsequent allotments

Copied by
Examined by

W
Order No. 757 Min 9 4596

The Chairman will be
requested to insert the word
"not" before the words "have been
modified &c" in clause (a) of
the first. memo. referred to in
his letter.

W
1-5-96

Who is responsible for this from
carelessness in fair copying? The
"not" is clear enough in the fair
copy.

It is difficult to ascertain who fair copied the
as hitherto it was not the practice to require the
copyists to initial their copies or to remember
fair copied them. None of the copyists remember
whether the fair copy in this case was made
by him. The copying was done by the
examiners. It was however during a very
busy time (the budget was on) that the
was copied, and the examiner to copy the
word "not" seems to have been due to over-
sight amidst pressure of work. The copyist
the examiner has been warned to be
more careful in future.

W
5/5/96

W. H. H. H.

440
The Chairman of the Negapatam
M.C.C. 11/12/96

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
189 , No. , MISCELLANEOUS.

BACK PAPER, No.

OF 189 .

FURTHER PAPER, No.

OF 189 .

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

N.B.

- A - Copy in full
- B - Not to be copied
- P - Copy abstract only
- Q - Copy heading only

Copied by

Examined by

6041
OFFICE OF THE MUNICIPAL COUNCIL.

Local & Municipal Department
Negapatam, 1896

CURRENT No. 1194

From

The Chairman, Municipal Council,

Negapatam.

To

The Honorable The Chief Secretary

to the Government of Fort Saint George,

Madras,

(Local and Municipal Department.)

Through the Head Assistant Collector and the Collector of Tanjore.

SIR,

In the government Office Memo
numbered 299 dated 5th March 1896
it was observed as under. -

(not)
"In Column 5 of Statement II, the
budget estimate for 1895/96 should
have been modified with reference
to the allotments of funds subse-
quently sanctioned"

In the note to Statement II -
printed with S. O. No 2193 dated 10th
December 1891, it is noted as under -
"Statements I and II should accom-
pany budgets as well as reports.
When submitted with the budgets
if"

"if Columns 2 and 3 show the estimate
for 1891/92, Column 4 should
exhibit the revised estimate
for 1890-91, Column 5 the budget estimate
for the same year exclusive
of subsequent allotments and
Column 6 the actuals of 1890-91."

I beg to know if the Order of
government contained in
the former Order as to the budget
figures being modified
with reference to the subsequent
allotments is in supersession
of the instructions issued in the
Caher.

I am, Sir,
Yours most obedt servt

D. D. C. [Signature]
Chairman