[L. & M.
9,000-3-8-96.]

Local & Munl. (1896) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 678 L

DATED 7th April 1896.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Petition.

Informing A. Ramanjulu Nayudu late probationary vaccinator Puttur division North Arcot district that under the authority quoted no appeal lies to Government in the order of dismissal passed against him by the President of the District Board.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Local and Municipal Department.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT, MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read memorial dated 20th March 1896 from A. Ramanujulu Nayudu

~~No.~~ ~~dated~~

Order— No. 678 L.mis d/7-4-96

The petitioner is informed that under Local Fund rule No. 72, ~~73,~~ which is extracted below,

no appeal lies to Government in his case.
~~no appeal at present lies to Government in his case.~~
~~his appeal is barred by lapse of time.~~

(True Extract.)

V.R. 7/4/96

WWS 7.4.96

~~Assistant Secretary.~~

"72. Subject to the provisions of section 42 (3) of the Act, the President of a Local Board shall have power to fine, suspend or dismiss any servant of such Board. But an appeal shall lie to the President of the District Board in the case of all servants of Taluk Boards fined in sums exceeding one rupee, suspended, or dismissed by the President of the Taluk Board; an appeal shall also lie to the District Board in the case of all servants of the District Board, and of all servants of a Taluk Board on Rs 50 and upwards, against suspension or dismissal inflicted or confirmed by the President of the District Board; and a further appeal shall lie to the Governor in Council against dismissal in the case of all servants, whose appointment requires the sanction of Government."

* * * * *

"73. No appeal under the foregoing rule will be entertained ~~unless preferred within three~~ months from the date of the order appealed against."

To
The Petitioner

Desptd. 9/4/96

Petition from A. Ramanjulu Nayudu late Probationary Vaccinator N. Arcot D.

6787

R/mis

Local & Municipal Dept.
CURRENT No. 937 L

From

A. Ramanjulu Nayudu
late Probationary Vaccinator
Puttur Dn
N. Arcot D.

No.

Dated 20/3

Received 27/3

Enclosures

SUBJECT.

Appealing against the order of dismissal passed against him by the Presdt of the Dt Bd and confirmed by the Dt. Bd & praying for restoration to his post.

No. 40/4

Disposal No 161 of 96 + 11 Eo

Endorsement on petition dated the 20th January 1896 presented by A. Ramanjulu Naidu, late probationary vaccinator of the Karvetnagar circle, praying that copies of the records referred to therein may be ordered to be given to him.

Copies of the records asked for are herewith forwarded to the petitioner.

Chittoor }
14th February 1896 }

Ramachandra Aiyangar
Vice President
for President.

Copied Exd
13

General Remarks and Recommendations.

(a) State if the Staff is Complete &c.

(b) State if Small-pox has been reported during the week. If so, what means have been taken to prevent its extension.

(c) Any special recommendations made in regard to Sanitation and extension of Vaccination &c. in Villages Visited.

(a) Staff is Complete. Probationer A. Ramanjulu Naidu has entered as successful in his Nominal Register 9 cases, and that he Vaccinated this 9 cases in the Village of Krishnarayakandigai of Puttur Division on the 15th May 1894. On my inspection on the 18th July 1895, I found that he Vaccinated only 7 cases and the other two cases were Vaccinated by 2nd class Vaccinator, C. Sabapathy Mudly on 2-4-95, and they are entered in the Nominal Register of the latter. The Village Munsif gives a statement to the effect that Vaccinator C. Sabapathy Mudaliar Vaccinated the two cases and not Ramanjulu Naidu. I have asked the explanation of Ramanjulu Naidu on this matter, and as soon as it is received I shall submit a special report for the orders of the President, District Board, North Arcot.

(b) No report of Small-pox received during the week.

(c) Nil.

of days ut of head Quarters	Number of miles travelled	Name of Vaccinators whose work has been inspected	No of Cases inspected	Remarks
7	51 3/4	C. Sabapathy Mudaliar A. Ramanjulu Naidu N. Cunniah Naidu N. Devaraju Mudali M. Veeraraghavulu Naidu	254	Sir, I beg to state that the delay in submitting this diary is owing to the delay in getting the Vaccination registers for verification

Camp Kallore
The 27th July 1895.

(Signed) T. Aroomoogam Pillay
2nd Class Dy Inspector of Vaccination

(Signed) C. Adams
Surgeon Major
District Medical and Sanitary Officer
North Arcot

Correction nil.

Date	Name of place visited by the Deputy Inspector, Taluk and Division	Distance in miles from head quarters	Distance travelled from village to village	Population of village	Date of last visit by Deputy Inspector and number of vaccinations since last visit	× Rank and name of Vaccinator and result of inspection of his work 1. Condition of books 2. instruments 3. and lymph 4.	Result of verification: Successful	Unsuccessful	Absent	Result of revaccination		State of Vaccination If backward, state cause, &c.	Condition of registration of births and deaths. State number of births and deaths for previous month and number verified	Water supply, its sources, character &c if sufficient	Sanitary condition as to cleanliness, drainage, &c. Health of people. State if small pox, cholera, fevers, &c prevalent
14-7-95	Narupanavur	3	3		21-1-95 54 cases	2nd class Vaccinator C. Sabapathy Mudr.	48	.	6/95	-	-	~~Unsatisfactory B12 D2~~ Vaccination is fairly carried on	Unsatisfactory B12 D2 ~~River & well water~~	River & well water	Streets are kept clean but prickly pears around the village, good, no epidemic.
15-7-95	Kulagaram	4	1	.	7-5-94 by Vaccinator 13 cases	Pro. A. Ramanjulu	3	.	-/95	-	-		B2. D1	Channel & well water	Not so bad, good no epidemic.
						2nd C. Vacr. C. Hanumappa Mudr.	7	.	-/95	-	-				
						ag do A. Ramanjulu	3	.	-/95	-	-				
16-7-95	Subbanaidu Kandigai	6	2	.	1-4-95 17 cases	2nd C Vacr. C. Sabapathi	5	.	-/95	-	-		Curnam absent at Rajahs Taluk Cutchery	River and well water	Do — Do
						ag do A. Ramanjulu	7	.	-/94	-	-				
						2nd C. Vr. C. Hanumappa Mudr	5	.	-/94	-	-				
16-7-95	Shutabur	8	2	.	29-3-95 3 cases	Pro. A. Ramanjulu Naidu	1	.	-/94	-	-		B4. D3	Do	Heaps of manure are lying to dwellings, good, no epidemic.
						ag do Devarajulu	2	.	-/94	-	-				
	Valathoor Kandigai.	8	1/2	Nl.	Do 62 cases	ag 2nd C. Vacr. A. Ramanjulu	46	.	8/94	7	1		Do	Well water	Do — Do — Do
17-7-95	Kacheravadu hamlet of Kulambacham	11	3	Nl.	2-8-94 11 cases	2nd C. Vacr. C. Sabapathi	10	1	-/95	-	-		B. 0. D. 0.	Do	Do — Do
						Ag Prob Devarajulu	9	.	-/94	-	-		curnam absent at Rajahs Taluk Cutchery	Do	Streets are kept clean, good no epidemic
	Vorthrappa Kandigai	11 1/2	~~1~~ 1/2	.	5-8-94 9 cases								B1. D 0.	Do	Do — Do
	Malambakam	12 1/2	1	.	2-8-94 20 cases	2nd C Vr. Sabapathi	17	3	-/95	-	-		B3 Do 2	Do	Not so bad, good, no epidemic
	Chevarambakam	13 1/2	1/2	.	Do 5 cases	Do	5	.	-/95	-	-		B1 D 1	Do	Streets are kept clean, good no epidemic.
18-7-95	Nainar Kandigai	13	1/2	.	2-4-95 by Vacr. 5 cases	Do	5	.	-/95	-	-		B1 D, 0	Do	Do — Do
	Krishnamarajee Kandigai	14	3/4	.	Do 27 cases	Do	19	.	1/95	-	-		Curnam absent	Tank water scanty	Do — Do
						ag 2nd C Vr. Ramanjulu	7	.	-/94						
19-7-95	Ranigunta	16	27	.	31-5-95 2 cases	2nd C Vr. Veeraraghavulu Naidu	2	.	-/95	-	-		B 0 D. 0	Tank water	Heaps of manure are lying close to dwellings, good, no epidemic
20-7-95	Venkatapuram	18	2	.	26-5-95 by Vaccinator 7 cases	Do	5	.	-/95	-	-		Curnam promised to produce his register but he did not do so	Tank water	Do — Do
						Prob. Vacr. A. Ramanjulu	2	.	-/95	-	-				
	Mangalam	19	3	.	Do 10 cases	Do	1	.	2/95	-	-				
						2nd C. Vacr. Veeraraghavulu Naidu	4	.	3/95	-	-			River & channel water	Streets are kept clean, good, no epidemic.
	Thiruthanur	20	4	.	9-11-94 31 cases	Do	27	1	2/95.	1					

× 1. Good
2. Kept
3. clean
4. good.

(True copy) /

Vice President

4 corrections

Copied by A. Aandavan(?)

compared by M. Kandaswami.

(Signed) T. Aroomoogum Pillay
2nd class Dy Inspector of Vaccination
Karvetnagar Circle North Arcot

Karvetnagar Circle
North Arcot District

Diary and Inspection Report
for the week ending Saturday
the 20th July 1895.

(Signed) T. Arunachala Reddy
2nd class Dy Inspector of Vaccination
Karvetnagar Circle

To

The President
District Board
North Arcot

Through

The District Medical
and Sanitary Officer
North Arcot

Received 189

Periodical L. Di. No 1146 of 1895

North Arcot,
District Board's Office
Chittoor 3rd August 1895

From
S. H. Wynne Esquire,
President, District Board,
North Arcot.

To
The District Medical and
Sanitary Officer,
North Arcot.

Sir,

The Deputy Inspector of Vaccination, Karvetnagar Circle, in his diary and Inspection report for the week ending Saturday the 20th July 1895 states that probationary Vaccinator A. Ramanjulu Naidu has entered in his nominal register 2 successful cases, which were Vaccinated by C. Sabapathy Mudali in Krishnamarapa Khandiga, as having been Vaccinated by him and that he would submit the probationary Vaccinator's explanation soon after receipt, I have the honor to request that you will be good enough to obtain and forward the explanation with your remarks at an early date.

I have &c.
(Signed) T. Rama Row
Vice President
for President

(True copy)

Vice President
for President

Correction nil.
Copied by [illegible]
Compared by M. Kandasawmi

No 104 Office of Deputy Inspector of Vaccination
Ranchunagar Circle.
27th August 1895.

From J. Aroomoogam Pillay
2nd class deputy Inspector of Vaccination
Ranchunagar Circle North Arcot.

To The District Medical and
Sanitary officer
North Arcot.

Sir,

With reference to your endorsement No 2221 of 12-8-95 on letter to you from President, District Board, No 1146/Sanitary of 1895, I beg to submit herewith the explanation of the Probationary Vaccinator A. Ramanujulu Naidu.

Enclosures [illegible] only

He says that he Vaccinated the 2 children and the lymph he used having been good he thought the cases would be successful and entered in the Register accordingly and that these two cases might have proved unsuccessful and that Vaccinator C. Sabapathy Mudali might have Vaccinated them again.

The Village Munsif has given his report on the 19th July last that these children were not Vaccinated by A. Ramanujulu Naidu. A. Ramanujulu Naidu in his explanation says that the Village Munsif was not present

correction 1

at

at the time he Vaccinated these cases. The Village Munsif's report is also submitted herewith.

On the day the Village Munsif gave me his report, I enquired the parents of these two children ~~[illegible]~~ were Vaccinated only once and that by C. Sabapathy Mudly and not by A. Ramanjulu Naidu.

The Probationary Vaccinator is expected to use good lymph only in Vaccination and he cannot enter the cases as successful or unsuccessful on his expectations without seeing them. If he thought that any case would be surely unsuccessful he need not Vaccinate such a case at all. I beg to submit that the matter contained in his explanation is quite false.

I beg to submit herewith extracts from both the Registers of C. Sabapathy Mudly and A. Ramanjulu Naidu where from it would be seen that the two children are entered in both registers. A. Ramanjulu Naidu was at the time he entered these Cases acting as 2nd. class Vaccinator of Puttur Division and Sabapathy Mudly is the permanent Vaccinator of the Division.

Corrections 2

I beg &c.

(Signed) D. Arunmugum Pillai

2nd. class Dy Inspr of Vaccination

Ranenagar Circle North Arco

No 2383

Forwarded to the President, District Board, North Arcot, with reference to his letter No 1146/Ofm Revi dated 2nd. Instant.

(Signed) C. Adams

Surgeon Major

District Medical and Sanitary Officer

North Arcot

Vellore }
29-8-95 }

(True copy)

Vice President

for President

No correction

Copied by A. Swaminathan

Compared by M. Kandaswami

Extract from the Nominal register of Vaccination of A. Ramanjulu Naidu Probationer Puttur Division for May 1895.

Yearly number	Monthly number	Caste	Name	Father's name in full	Residence	Age		Sex		Date of Vaccination	Nature of lymph used	Initials of Vaccinator	Initials of Inspector	Date of inspection	No of successful Vesicles	Date of revaccination	Revaccination successful vesicles	Remarks
						Years	Months	Male	Female									
144	34	Raju	× Achamma	Koneti Kristnamma Raju	Krishnama Rajukandrigai	2	„	„	1	15	Scab	Initial NR	-	-	× 6	—	—	
151	41	Doby	× Venkatigadu	Naraidu	Do	× 2	„	1	.	Do	Scab	Initial NR			× 6	„	.	

(True copy)

(Signed) T. Aroomoogum Pilly
Dy Inspector of Vaccination
[illegible]

27-8-95.

(True copy)

Vice President
for President

Correction nil
Copied by [illegible]
Compd by M. Kandaswami

Extract from the Nominal Register of Vaccination of C. Sabapathy Mudr. 2nd class Vaccinator Puttur Division for the month of April 1895.

Yearly number	Monthly number	Caste	Name	Father's name in full	Residence	Age		Sex		Date of Vaccination	Nature of lymph used	Initials of Vaccinator	~~Initials of Inspector~~	Date of Inspection	No of successful Vesicles	Date of revaccination	Revaccination successful vesicles
						Years	Months	Male	Female								
10	10	Raju	Achama	Koneti Pettha Krishnama Raju	Krishnama Raju Kandrigai	3	„	„	1	2nd		Initial CSh	„	.	6	„	.
24	24	Doby	Vengatigadu	Naraidu	Do.	3	.	1	.	Do	.	Initial CSh	–	.	6	–	–

(True copy)

(Signed) T. Arumoogam Pillay
Dy Inspr of Vaccn [illegible]

27-8-95

(True copy)

Correction nil
Copied by [illegible]
Compd by M. Kandaswami

North Arcot.
District Board's Office
Chittoor 2nd September 1895.

Refd L. No 2409 of 1895.
+ 7 Enclosures.

From S. H. Wynne Esquire
President. District Board,
North Arcot.

To The District Medical and
Sanitary Officer,
North Arcot.

Sir,

In returning herewith your endorsement No $\frac{2383}{29-8-95}$, I have the honor to invite your attention to the concluding portions of this office letter No 1146 of the 2nd ultimo, and to request that it may be sent to me at a very early date with your remarks and recommendations on the Vaccinator's explanation.

I have &c
(Signed) T. Rama Row
Vice President
for President

(True copy)

Correction nil
Copied by [illegible]
Compd by M. Kandaswami

Vice President
for President

North Arcot.
District Board's Office
Chittoor 23rd September 1895

Copy of P. L. No 2499/1895
+ 7 Enclosures

[illegible]

[illegible] 1895 [illegible] 10 [illegible]

[illegible] 2024 [illegible]

[illegible]

1895 [illegible]
[illegible] 19 [illegible]

(Sd) [illegible]

(True copy)

Correction nil
Copied by A. C. [illegible]
Compared by M. Kandaswami

Vice President
for President

Office of Sanitary Commissioner,
Fort St George 3-9-1895.

No 1385/V

From Surgeon Major C Mallins M.D.
Inspector of Vaccination and
Deputy Sanitary Commissioner.
Madras.

To The President,
District Board,
North Arcot.

Sir, I have the honor to forward herewith in original true copy of letter No 104 dated 27-8-95 from the Deputy Inspector of Vaccination, Karvetnagar Range, to the District Medical and Sanitary Officer, and to request that you will be good enough to let me know if the Vaccinator referred to has been punished.

I have &c.
(Signed) T. Balasundram
Manager & assistant
for Inspector of Vaccn. and
Dy Sanitary Commr. - Madras.

(True copy)

Correction nil.
Copied by A. C. [illegible]
Compared by M. Kandaswami

Vice President
for President

Office of District Medical
and Sanitary Officer,
North Arcot, Vellore 9th September
1895.

From Surgeon Major C. Adams,
District Medical and
Sanitary Officer,
North Arcot.

To The President,
District Board,
North Arcot.

No 2501. Enclosures. 5

Sir, In returning herewith the correspondence received with your letter Ref C No 2409 dated 2nd September 1895, I have the honor to state that the Probationary Vaccinator in question should not have returned the two cases as successful without seeing the result. I would, therefore, recommend that he be transferred to Palmaneir under the 1st class Vaccinator of that Division and the Probationer of that place to Puttur.

I have &c
(Signed) A. J. Hesterlow.
Asst Surgeon.
for District Medical & Sanitary Officer
North Arcot

(True copy)

rection hut
readly a. Sandana
ped by Mr Kandasawmi.

North Arcot
District Board's Office
Chittoor 19 – 9 – 95

No $\frac{424}{\text{In}}$ of 1895 + E.

From S. H. Wynne Esquire
President, District Board,
North Arcot.

To The District Medical and
Sanitary Officer.
North Arcot.

Sir, With reference to your letter No 2501 of the 9th Instant, I have the honor to say that the probationary Vaccinator A. Ramanjulu Naidu of Puttur has been guilty of fraud. He is, therefore, dismissed.

I have &c.
(Signed) T. Rama Row.
Vice President
for President

Copy communicated to the Treasury Deputy Collector and the Inspector of Vaccination and Dy Sanitary Commissioner, Madras, with reference to his letter No $\frac{1385}{\text{V}}$ dated the 3rd Instant. The enclosure received with his letter is returned herewith.

(Signed) T. Rama Row
Vice President
for President

(True copy)

Correction [illegible].
Despatched [illegible]
Compared [illegible]

Vice President

Extract from the Minutes of Proceedings of the District Board, North Arcot, passed at their meeting held at Chittoor on Wednesday the 8th January 1896.

× × ×

11. Read petition dated 25th November 1895 from A. Ramappulu Naidu, probationary vaccinator, Karvetnagar Circle, appealing against the President's order of dismissal.

Appeal dismissed.

(True extract)

Dis 20 28/96

Communicated to the appellant. Copy to the District Medical and Sanitary officer, North Arcot, for information.

(Signed) S. H. Wynne
President

11-1-96

(True copy)

Ramanathulu
Vice President
for President

Comparison bil
Copied by [illegible]
Compd by M. Kandaswami

CHIEF SECRETARY
26. MAR. 1896
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Local & Municipal Dept
No.

(1)

To

His Excellency
the Right Honorable the Governor
~~Governor~~ In Council
Fort Saint George—

The humble appeal petition of
A. Ramanujulu Naidu, late
Probationary Vaccinator of Putter
Division, in the North Arcot
District, against the order (Copy enclosed)
of the President District Board,
North Arcot, dismissing his
appeal,

Most Respectfully Showith,

I That the petitioner most humbly begs to lay before Government the Circumstances under which he was unjustly dismissed from the post of Probationary Vaccinator and his appeal was illegally Rejected by the District Board, North Arcot.

II That the petitioner was entertained as Probationary Vaccinator in March 1893, and he Continued as such till 1-5-94, when he was appointed acting 2nd Class Vaccinator of Putter Division. He Continued in that Capacity ~~from 1-5-94~~ till 1-7-94. On the 15th May 1894 he Vaccinated 9 Cases in the Village of Krishnamarajir Condigaiand, Returned them in his Nominal Register as Successful ones. His acting appointment Ceased on 1-7-94 when he Reverted to his permanent post as Probationary Vaccinator in the Same (Putter) Division. Some months after, misunderstandings arose between the petitioner

and the Deputy Inspector of Vaccination, Karactnagar Circle, and
his requests for transfer were not complied with nor were
his claims for promotion to the grade of 2nd Class Vaccinator considered.
He therefore applied to the District Surgeon for transfer on 19th
June 1895, and he submitted his petition through the aforesaid
Deputy Inspector who called on the petitioner in memo No. 102 dated
26—6—95 to explain the circumstances under which he was
obliged to state that there was ill-feeling between him and that
officer. He stated in his explanation that his claims were not
considered, when vacancies occurred; that his juniors were
given promotion; and that his repeated requests to him (Deputy
Inspector) for transfer having had no effect, he addressed the
District Surgeon on the subject. The District Surgeon was pleased
to say that the transfer applied for could not be granted.
The petitioner was therefore compelled to work under the very
same Deputy Inspector, and the latter was thus given a very fine
opportunity of ruining him (petitioner) Having been bent on
the ruin of the petitioner the Deputy Inspector, in less than a week,
began to work out and manufacture Records against the
petitioner and on the 18th July 1895, he succeeded in his attempt.
In his diary for the week ending 20th July 95 he recorded that the
petitioner entered, in his vaccinal Register, 9 Cases as successful,
as having been vaccinated by him in the village of Krishnamarajin Condiga
on the 15th May 1894; that on his inspection on the 18th July 95
he found that only 7 cases were vaccinated and that the
other two cases were vaccinated by Sabapathy Mudaly,
2nd Class Vaccinator on 2. 4. 95. and that he would submit
a Special Report on the subject on receipt of explanation
from the petitioner. On the 3rd August 95 the President
called for a report in the matter and on the 27th idem the
Deputy Inspector submitted his report to the District
Medical and Sanitary Officer, which was forwarded in

two Cases there. Besides the above the Village [illegible] (Ex. [illegible]) shows that [illegible] on [illegible] 1095 inspected the [illegible] District Board. ~~Therefore~~ Thereupon the latter original to power the letter received from the Deputy Inspector Returned on him to send it with his Remarks, and Recommendations on the petitioner's explanation. The District Medical and Sanitary officer, in reply thereto, Reported to the President that the petitioner should not have Returned the 2 Cases as Successful without seeing the Result and Recommended that he petitioner be transferred to Palmanair under the 1st Class Vaccinator of that Division (vide Exhibit A). Thereon the President was pleased to inform him that the petitioner was guilty of fraud and that he was therefore dismissed (vide Exhibit B). Against this order of the President the petitioner appealed to the District Board, North Arcot, on 25th November 95 and the appeal was dismissed on the 8th January 1896 and a Copy of it was Communicated to the petitioner on the 11—1—96 which reached him on 18—1—96, and it is against this order of the District Board, North Arcot, that the present appeal to Government is now preferred.

III That in this Case the District Medical and Sanitary officer, who was aware of the ill-feeling between the petitioner and the Deputy Inspector, was of opinion that he (petitioner) was not guilty of fraud, but was guilty of having Returned the above two Cases as successful without seeing the Result and recommended him for a transfer as punishment, whereas the President, who Relied on the Report of the Deputy Inspector, arrived at the Conclusion that the petitioner was guilty of fraud and passed the order of dismissal.

IV That the first thing to be Considered in this Case is whether the petitioner is guilty of fraud [illegible] by the [illegible] two Cases there. Besides the above the Village Munsiff's [illegible] by 95 (Exhibit D) shows that [illegible] on the 18th July 1895, inspected the [illegible]ristnamara[illegible]lts after they were vaccinated, found that Cases, [illegible] in question were vaccinated by Sabapathi Mudaly th Received in person a report to that effect from the Village Munsif on the 19th idem, then enquired the parents of the two Children who were alleged to have been vaccinated by the petitioner and was satisfied that they were not vaccinated by him (petitioner) on 15—5—94, but were only by Sabapathy Mudaly on 2—4—95. Excepting the report of the Village Munsiff there are no records to support the Statement of the Deputy Inspector. Had it been true that the Deputy Inspector detected that the 2 Cases in question were not vaccinated by the petitioner, what prompted him from taking written Statements from the Village Munsiff and the parents of the two Children on the 18th July 95 alone and why the Village Munsiff should give the report (Exhibit D) to the Deputy Inspector on the 19th, and why he should enquire the parents orally after the receipt of the Village Munsiff's Report on the 19th idem, while all these persons were before the Deputy Inspector on the 18th, are matters deserving of Consideration. From the Diary of the Deputy Inspector it is quite clear that he was not at Kristnamaraju Condiga on the 19th but was at Renigunta, about 27 miles away from Kristnamaraju Condiga ~~on~~ and 16 miles away from his Head-quarters (Puttur). Then how and where did the Deputy Inspector enquire the parents of the two Children? It is not even possible to presume that the Deputy Inspector might have left Kristnamaraju Condiga after Receiving the Report from the Village Munsiff and enquiring the parents of the Children on the morning of the 19th. Since these things do not find place either in the Diary or in the Report, and as he has admittedly travelled round

R[illegible] st [illegible] distance of 27 miles [illegible] two Cases there. Besides the above the Village Munisiff's [illegible] (Exhibit D) shows that it was a Reply to an order dated the 19th July 95 from the Deputy Inspector. Why did he send him an order on the 19th when he was in his presence on the 18th July 95? Further the Village Munisiff was reported against by the petitioner in the early part of 1894 for not assisting him in vaccination and he was summoned and censured by the Deputy Tahsildar (Viz: Vencatasubbaiah) of Ruttur Division. Out of the parents of the two Children, one Krishnamaraju is the brother of the Village Munisiff and the other is a Dobi (Washerman) a Village Servant who is at the beck and Call of the Village Munisiff. If it was true as alleged that the petitioner did not really vaccinate the two Children, would he have been so daring as to give the Village Munisiff, an enemy of the petitioner, a Copy of Nominal Register recording therein the two Cases, one of which relating to his own brother and the other to one of the Village Servants. The Register Contains the names of the Children, the names of parents, age, Sex &c and the Village Munisiff who had a grudge against the petitioner for the reason stated above would not have kept quiet when the Register was in his hands for 15 months if the above two Cases were falsely recorded in it. Moreover, the Deputy Inspector did not at all take the petitioner with him when he went to inspect his work and when he inspected the two Cases in question as provided in Rule 10 Section II nor did he enquire the Village Munisiff and the parents of the two Children in his presence and allow him an opportunity of Cross-examining them. All these enquiries having been held behind the back of the petitioner Credit Could be given to them and the use of any such Reco the petitioner is illegal. When the petitioner vaccinated the Cases ~~[illegible]~~ in the village of Krishnamaraju Cordiga Janaraju Reddy was with him. He is now alive but he was perhaps with the belief that the Case would fall to the ground

(6)

If the petitioner had not vaccinated the two Children in question, how could he have known their age, names, father's names &c.

---

(7)

The difference of age entered in the Nominal Register of the petitioner and that entered in the Nominal Register of Sabapathy Modaly, Clearly Shews that about a year after the petitioner vaccinated the two Children, Sabapathy Modaly might have Revaccinated them.

If the petitioner had intended to return false Cases would the Government believe that he would have Returned those Cases, Relating to permanent Residents of a village of which the headman is his enemy & the parents are Related to the Headman.

The petitioner turned out 8 Cases less than the minimum in the month of May 1894 (now in question) and he was fined for Short work. All the above facts deserve the Consideration of Government.

V

That all the above facts Shew that the procedure of the Deputy Inspector is malafide and that the Records and the Report made by him are malicious unfounded and untrue and that no Credit Could be given to them and that there was no fraudulent motive on the part of ~~given to them~~ the petitioner. Thus the opinion of the President that the petitioner was guilty of fraud has no legs to Stand on an that there remains the only ~~the fact~~ that the petitioner has Returned the two Cases in question as Successful without Seeing the Result as observed by the District Medical and Sanitary officer, and the petitioner's Case amounts to neglect of duty. Cases of this nature are Common in the Vaccination Department and the President has held that Probationary Vaccinators Should be fined at the Rate of one anna & the Vaccinators at the Rate of 4 annas for every Such Case There are Several instances in which one Vaccinator Vaccinated a Case and entered it in his Nominal Register as Successful which proved unsuccessful afterwards and another Vaccinator Revaccinated the Same Case and Returned it as Successful. Such Cases are very Common in the Karvetnagar Circle.

Grounds of appeal.

1 That the order of the President is not based on proper grounds.

2 That the President did not frame a Charge against, and did not take answer from, the petitioner and his procedure is therefore opposed to G. O. No. 7170 dated 16-10-83

3 ~~16-10-83~~ That the procedure of the Dy Inspector of Vaccination in to not having taken the petitioner with him when he inspected the two Cases in question as provided in Rule 10 of Sec II of the Vaccination Code, in not having

enquired the Village Munsiff and the parents of the two Children in his presence and in not having allowed him an opportunity of Cross-Examin~~ation~~ing the persons enquired into being illegal & malicious, no value should be attached to the Records framed by him against the petitioner and the President should not have given any Credit to them.

4 That the Village Munsiff being ~~[illegible]~~ averse to the petitioner his Report, which he was not Cross-Examined by the petitioner, should not be used against him.

5 That there being no Records to support the Dy. Inspector's allegation that the petitioner did not vaccinate the two Children in question with the exception of the Village Munsiff's Report, the President is wrong in considering that the two Children were not vaccinated by the petitioner

6 That the omission to enquire Davaraja Mudaliar, acting probationer, who accompanied the petitioner to the village of Kirishnamaraju Condiga on the 18th May 1894 which tells upon the Conduct of the Dy. Inspector & weakens the Case,

7 That there is no Satisfactory evidence to show or to presume that the petitioner falsely entered these

(10)

two Cases as having been vaccinated by him without actually vaccinating them.

8 That the improbabilities set forth in sub paras 2 to 7 Supra weaken the theory of the Dy Inspector & the President in every Respect.

9 That the only Charge (as it now stands, under the above Circumstances, against the petitioner being that of having Returned the two Cases as Successful without Seeing the Result, the order of dismissal passed on him is very Severe.

10 That the petitioner Counts 3 years Service, has turned out good work since his entertainment in the Department and has Received good Rewards amounting to Rs 47 and his work was inspected & appreciated by the Sanitary Commissioner.

11 That during the petitioners 2 years & 8 months Service in the Department, he was made to act as 2nd Class Vaccinator for a period of about 2 years & he discharged his duties Satisfactorily, is evident from the Monthly Reviews of the President.

It is therefore prayed that the order of the President appealed against be cancelled and the petitioner restored to his ~~office~~ post as Probationary Vaccinator.

For which act of Justice the petitioner shall ever be thankful.

Adress 20th March 1896

A. Ramanujulu Naidu
Late Probationary Vaccinator
Pather Division
Camp at Palmanair
North Arcot District

I beg to Remain
Respected Sir
Your Most Obedient Servant
A. Ramanujulu Naidu
Late Probationary Vaccinator
Pather Division
North Arcot District

[G./40]

5,000—20-2-00.

DEPARTMENT.

Paper taken out of the Records from the charge of the Referencer.

Ordinary/Routine No. 678 , dated 7-6-96 19 .

Date taken out.	FOR WHAT PURPOSE.			Initials of clerk taking the paper or of attender.	Date returned.	Initials of attender.
	Department.	C. No.	Year.			
22/5/00	L	1193	/00		12/6/1900	
4-11-47	for research student				13-11-47	

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed
} 189 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. DATED
189 ,

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.