L. & M.
2,000-5-2-96.

Local & Munl. (1896) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 537 L

DATED 19th March 1896.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Report.

Recording with remarks, information in connection with the Administration ---- of the Kistna District Board for 1894-95.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Miscellaneous.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPT.
G.O., DATED 19-3-96
No. 537 L.

INDEXED.
When given for copying.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Report

Recording with remarks information in connection with the Administration of the Kistna Dt-Bd for 1894-95.

BACK PAPER, No. OF 189 .

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED 189 . , No. , MISCELLANEOUS.

FURTHER PAPER, No. OF 189 .

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

N.B.—
A — Copy in full.
B — Not to be copied
P — Copy abstract only.
Q — Copy heading only.

Copied by

Examined by

Current No. 2008 & of 1895.
Aud dy 11-9-95 No. 1283

The following points are noticed in the Presidents and the District Medical officers reports and in the statements submitted with the former: —

(1) In cols 2 & 4 of Statts I-A and I-B, showing the actuals of 1893-94 the Accountant-General's finally audited figures have not been adopted in some cases.

(2) In cols 5 to 7 of Statt I-A the figures of the revised estimate for 1894-95 have been given instead of those of the budget estimate.

(3) In cols 2 to 4 and 8 to 10 of the same statt the contributions received from special funds towards the travelling allowances of the local audit clerk should have been shown under 8. Contributions from special funds and not under 7. Contributions from provincial funds.

(4) In statt I-B the cost of repairing the pulleys of the wells in the Mangalagiri union should have been debited to Grant III — Sanitation — Improvement of water-supply and not to

to Grant I – Repairs, Miscellaneous Public Improvements as appears from statement III to have been done.

(5) In the same statement (I. B) the cost of constructing latrines in the unions at Sattenapalle and Mangalagiri should have been debited to New works – Miscellaneous Public Improvements and not to New works – Civil buildings, as appears from statement III to have been done.

(6) In column 12 of statement II B the expenditure on Repairs – Communi-cations in the Avanigadda union is given as Rs 289, whereas according to statt III it was only Rs 229.

(7) In column 20 of the same statement (II. B) details of the fees and miscellaneous receipts, &c, have not been given, as required by the heading.

(8) In column 6 of statement III the total allotment for New works – Civil Buildings under the Masulipatam Taluk Board is given as Rs 5,785 according to the details.

(9) In the same statement works for which no allotments were sanctioned in the year should have been omitted.

(10) In the same statement works executed by the Public works Department should have also been shown.

(11) In col 9 of statt IV. A, a sum of Rs 12 66 is entered as the cost of new roads constructed under the Guntur Taluk Board, whereas in col 6 the number of miles of road constructed is shown as nil.

(12) The entry of a minus figure in col 9 of the same statement under the Repalle Taluk Board is not understood and should be explained.

(13) In col 26 of the same statt the total outlay on roads under the Masulipatam Taluk Board is given as Rs 2,444 instead of Rs 2,443 according to the details in cols 8 and 14.

(14) In col 6 of statt V, a sum of Rs 28 is shown as the expen-

on works connected with the ferry at Ibrampatam, whereas from statement III it appears that this charge related to the ferry at Vibharampuram.

(15) In col 12 of statt VIII, the total balance under house-tax is given as Rs 313, whereas according to Cols 4 and 7 to 9 it should be Rs 297.

(16) In col 15 of statt XII, the cost of each patient treated in the hospital at Bápatla is given as Rs 0-5-5, instead of Rs 0-5-4.

(17) In col 31 of statt XIII, the percentage of the number of successful cases to the total number of persons vaccinated in the Masulipatam and Vinukonda ranges is given as 93.5 and 90.3 respectively, instead of 93.6 and 90.4.

(18) In col 24 of statt XIV, the total number of places in which sanitary arrangements were made during fairs and festivals is given as 10 instead of 13 according to the details.

(19) The water-pandal and lighting charges should not have been included in the other sanitary outlay shown in column 27 of the same statement.

(20) The choultry at Kaikalur which from statement III appears to have been completed within the year has not been shown in statement XV.

(21) The value of the following buildings entered in column 5 of Statement XV differs as shown below from the expenditure incurred on their construction as given in Columns 7 and 11 of Statement III.

	According to Statt XV		According to Statt III.
	Rs		Rs
Deadhouse at Gudivada	41	-	67
School at Nidumolu	400	-	356
Rest house at Mailavaram	4447	-	4373
Choultry at Gurazala	3310	-	3030

(22) In columns 7 and 8 of Statement XVI-A the numbers of ex-officio and nominated members on the union panchayat of Bápatla

Bápatla on the 31st March 1895, are given as 1 and 5 respectively, whereas according to columns 11 to 13 of Statement XVI·B they were 2 and 4.

(23) In columns 11 and 12 of the same statement (XVI·A), the numbers of official and non-official members on the union panchayats of Challapalle and Répalle on the 31st March 1895, are given as 1 and 4 and 3 and 6 respectively, while the total number of members on them is given in column 10 as 6 and 8 respectively.

(24) In column 15 of statement XVI·B, the cause of vacancy in each case and the reason for appointing an official other than a medical officer as a member of the Narasaraopet union panchayat in place of Dr. Singayya Rao, should have been given, as required by notes 2 (a) and 2(c) at the foot of the ~~same~~ statement.

(25)

(25) In col 2 of statt XVIII the receipts of the District and Taluk Boards are given as Rs 312909 and Rs 283504 respectively instead of Rs 286329 and Rs 310160, according to Statt IA

(26) In col 3 of the same statt the expenditure on roads under the District and Taluk Boards is given as Rs 159599 and Rs 73584½ respectively, whereas in cols 29 and 28 of statt IV·A they are shown as Rs 162174 and Rs 76163 respectively.

(27) In col 12 of the same statt (XVIII) the percentage of the expenditure on Sanitation to the total receipts under the District Board is given as ·06 instead of 0·6.

(28) In para·8 of the Residents report the incidence of taxation per head of population in 1893-94 is stated to have been as 4-5, instead of as 4-8.

(29) In para·12 the unions of Pedana, Inaavaram, Vallury, Mangalagiri, Bápatla and Vitapalem should have also been mentioned as those in which distress warrants were issued during the year.

(30) In para·15 the interest derived from the Jubilee and

Thanks

Bobbili on the 21st March 1896

Provident Funds is given as Rs 181 instead of Rs 143 as given in col 5 of statt VI.

(31) In para. 22 it is stated that the total charges in 1894-95 and 1893-94, excluding debt heads and the Provident Fund, amounted to Rs 609032 and Rs 554189 respectively, instead of Rs 588506 and Rs 530608, according to Statement I. B.

(32) In para. 24 (2) the quantity of rough stone metal carted for rivetting the Gundlapalle tank bund, is given as 500 cubic yards, instead of 685 cubic yards, according to the last col of statt III.

(33) In para. 25 the average cost of maintaining each mile of road repaired during the year is given as Rs 166, instead of Rs 230, according to the details.

(34) In para. 27 it is stated that the expenditure on the Hyderabad road amounted to about Rs 52000 as shown in paragraph 25 of the report, but no mention has been made of this work in para. 25.

(35) In para. 29 the expenditure

of wells, the amount paid as compensation for lands and the expenditure on other miscellaneous sanitary works, are given as Rs 2368, Rs 644 and Rs 542 respectively, whereas according to statt III, they were Rs 1892, Rs 440 and Rs 592 respectively.

(36) In para. 38 it is stated that the dispensary at Inglavaram was started only in February 1894, whereas in para. 37 of the report for 1893-94, it was reported to have been opened on the 12th December 1893.

(37) In the same para. it is stated that the expenditure on diet of patients was less than that in 1893-94 by Rs 1201 instead of Rs 1104.

(38) In para. 39 it is stated that midwives were newly entertained at Kykalur & Narasaraopet, whereas according to para. IV (h) of the Medical officer's report they were entertained at Kykalur and Sattenapalle.

(39) In para. 42 the number of sources of water supply repaired or otherwise improved in 1893-94. is given as 93, instead of 95, notwithstanding

the

the remark in clause 23 of the Govt Memorandum dated 20th September 1894, No. 832.

(40) In the same para, it is stated the numbers of tanks repaired and wells sunk at a cost of Rs 200 each and upwards each, are given as 6 and 5 respectively, whereas according to statt XIV, they were only 5 and 1 respectively

(41) In the same para, the percentage of the expenditure to allotment under Sanitation in 1893-94, is given as 86·5 instead of 81·3.

(42) In the same para, it is stated that the number of villages provided with Conservancy establishments remained unchanged, whereas from statt XIV it appears that there was an increase of 2 and according to para III of the district medical officer's report there was a decrease of 2:

(43) The statistics relating to vaccination and the number of births registered during the year, given in para IV of the Medical Officer's report, differ, as shown below, from the

on the construction and repair of

the information furnished in * Statement XIII :—

	According to the district medical officer's report	According to statement XIII
Total no. of persons vaccinated	65189	65326
Total no. of children under one year of age vaccinated	15612	14074
Total no. of children under one year of age successfully vaccinated	15320	12973
Number of births	58393	56054

(44) In the same para. the total numbers of persons successfully vaccinated in 1894-95 and 1893-94 are stated to have been 32·6 per mille and 29·7 per mille respectively of the population respectively, instead of 34·1 and 29·4.

(45) The information regarding the number of beds available in the several hospitals and dispensaries, as given in the statt in para V (a) of the same report, differs in the following cases from that shown in statt XII.

	According to the Medical Officer's report		according to statt XII.
Gudivada	8	—	10
Avanigadda	2	—	8
Nandigama	5	—	6
Tiruvur	7	—	6
Tenali	"	—	4
Bapatla	"	—	4
Dachepalle	8	—	"
Karempudi	1	—	"
Vinukonda	"	—	1

2. The necessary corrections have been made with reference to clauses (1) to (5), (8), (13), (16) to (19), 25 and 27 of the preceding paragraph. The discrepancies noticed in clauses (6), (11), (14), (15), (21) to (23), (26), (34) to (36), (38), (40) (42), (43) and (45) and the omission referred to in clause (20) should be explained and the omissions pointed out in clauses (7) and (12) and the information required in clause (12) should be supplied as soon as possible.

3. Notwithstanding the remark in para 3 of the Govt memorandum dated 20th September 1894, No 832, the President's report and the accompanying statements have been carelessly prepared. ~~This is very unsatisfactory and~~ the President should see that greater attention is paid to the matter in future

V.R
24/8/95

W.F. 3.9.95

Despatched this date
Acknowledgment
after despatch
Jha
5/9/95

To
The President, District Board,
Kistna.

Pfdm
Despd 11/9/95

9. The necessary corrections

Points noted on the Kistna local
Insp report for 1894-95

N
Oth

1283.
Oth 11-9-95

Copy of letter Dis No: 151/D.D.K. of 95 from the district medical & sanitary officer Kistna to the Vice President, district Board. Kistna.

Your office Cus: 1679/D.B. of 95.

I have the honor to reply as follows: —

1 38. The medical officers report is correct. Kykalur and Sattenapalli are the places which were provided with midwives during the year. Narsaraopet was supplied in February 94.

2 42. We cannot explain this as we have no records to check the statement in Para III that the number of villages provided with Conservancy Establishments was 18.

3 43. The difference between 65,189 and 65,326 is 313 and this I find is the number of cases done by medical subordinates working in Municipalities and at Duggirala (which is a P.W.D. dispensary) These have apparently been subtracted by the late District Surgeon from the General total when subtracting municipal vaccinations

65326
65189
137

137 X

and

Office Establishment including Contingencies.

No.

KISTNA DISTRICT BOARD'S OFFICE,
Camp
Dated the 31st January 1896.

397/96

From

J. N. Atkinson Esquire,
PRESIDENT, DISTRICT BOARD
KISTNA.

To

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT,
MADRAS.

SIR,

In reply to para 2 of Government Memorandum No. 1283 dated 11-9-95, I have the honor to submit the following explanation.

Para 1 (6). The sum of Rs 229 shown in Statement III is correct and the entry in Statement II-B is accordingly corrected.

(7). The details of fees &c entered in Statement II-B are given below:—

	Rs
Market fees	145
School fees	213
Police fines	1162
Pandal fees	491
Slaughter house fees	143
Cart stand fees	604

and this seems the more correct procedure as these subordinates work should be included in the Municipal returns. We have however no means of explaining the other discrepancies with regard to children under one year of age, and the number of births as the records on these points are with the Sanitary Commissioner & no copy of the return be found in this office.

45. The correct figures are as follows:—

1. Gudivada	10	The first five are Hospitals
2. Avanigadda	2	The last four are dispensaries
3. Nandigama	5	and not supposed to have
4. Jaggayya Pet	8	in-patient accomodation
5. Nuzvid	8	but in 3 cases 1 bed
6. Tenali	1	is available for urgent
7. Bapatla	"	cases.
8. Karempudi	1 (not Karenchedu)	
9. Vinukonda	1.	

I have &c. &c.

(Signed) W. Vickers
Surgeon Captain
Offg. Sr. Medical & Sanitary Officer
Kistna

"True Copy"

A Dravidacharlu
4-2-96
For President.

* Either Govt. Memorandum then is written as only Karempudi but as Karenchedu the mistake probably occurred in the Pendana office.

Fees on weekly fairs 37

Warrant fees on Home tax demands } ... 33

Total 2828

Miscellaneous:-

Sale of trees on tank bunds. 287

Sale of clippings of trees - 2

Sale of rubbish 409

Sale of grass 27

Rent on lands 32

Sale of old furniture 23

Fishery rents 28

Provincial grants of schools .. 53

Total 861

Schools:-

Salaries of masters 633

" " Servants 12

Results grants 174

House rent 57

Purchase of furniture 105

Contingencies 25

Total 1006

Lighting and Miscellaneous:-

Salaries of lighters ... 817

Miscellaneous 3202

Total. 4,019

Office Establishment including Contingencies:

Salaries of Establishment 4118

Stationery 123

Postal charges 202

Printing charges 219

Miscellaneous 622

Refunds 124

Permanent Advance 10

Total 5418

Para 1. (11). The sum of Rs 1266 shown in Column 9 of Statement IV-A was spent in collecting materials for the construction of roads, but the construction was not commenced during the year. Hence Column 6 was nil.

(12) A portion of the materials collected in the year 1893-94 for a road under the Repalli Taluk Board having been used for some other works during the year, the cost thereof was credited to that Board.

(14). The sum of Rs 28 therein reported to pertains to Vibhavanapuram as shown in Statement III.

(15). The sum of Rs 20.539 entered in Column

7 of statement VIII includes Rs 16 total excess collections not included in the demand.

(20) The Engineer reports that the Choultry was constructed by the Revenue department and it had thus escaped his attention when the statement XV was prepared. The building has since been included in the list.

(21) The actual amount spent on account of dead house at Gudiwada was Rs 67 as shown in statement III. As regards the other three buildings, the Engineer reports that he has shown the estimated amounts instead of the actuals as his accounts were not finally settled no completion reports despatched though the works were finished during the year.

(22) In Bapatla Union, there was only one Ex-officio member and were five nominated members as shown in statement XVI-A. Statement XVI-B is accordingly corrected.

(23) The numbers 1 and 4 and 3 and 6 shown in Columns 11 and 12 against Tsallapalli and Repalli respectively are correct.

The nominated members of these Unions being 4 and 6 the figures in Columns 8 and 10 are accordingly corrected.

(26) The amounts shown in statement VI-A include the expenditure incurred on the construction and Repair of bridges whereas the amounts in statement XVIII exclude such expenditure, as per printed instructions at the foot of the statement.

(34) A portion of paragraph 25 in the original draft having been considered unnecessary was scored out and the words "as shown in paragraph 25" in para 27 relating to the scored out portion were retained through oversight.

(35) The following amounts spent for the improvement of water supply were also added to the figure Rs 1892 shown by Government.

(1) Rs 200 For digging tank at Kotta Upparapalem.

(2) 24 For repairing tank between Palapadu Uppalapadu and Sudikapalli.

(3)

(3) Rs 252 For repairing and excavating channels at Mangalagiri.

476

The details of Rs 542 shown against "other miscellaneous sanitary works" are as follow:—

(1) Rs 142 For widening streets in Gudivada.

(2) 72 For filling up tanks in Kowtaram.

(3) 328 For excision of Village site at Gurazala.

542

Para 1.(36). Mailavaram Dispensary was opened on the 12th December 1893 and not in February 1894. No statement in para 38 of the report was therefore wrong.

Para 1.(38) Midwives were newly entertained at Kaikaluru and Sattenapalli as reported by the Medical officer. The Midwife at Narsarowpett was entertained in the latter part of the year 1893-94 but attended labor cases during 1894-95. No statement made in para 39 of the report was therefore wrong.

(40) The numbers 4 and 5 referred to in para 42 include also the works executed by the Local Fund Engineer under Grant I, whereas statement XIV shows only the number of works whose cost was debited to Grant III.

(42)

(42) In statement XIV, the Village of Tirwoor under the Bezwada Taluk Board was shown both under "Unions" and "other Villages", as the scavenging establishment worked throughout the year, though it began to work as a Union in the latter part of the year. Again Narsarowpett Taluk in which itinerating scavengers were entertained to work in all the Villages in the Taluk, was shown under "other Villages" in the statement in order to show the expenditure incurred on account of them. Excluding these two items, the total number of Unions and other Villages concerned was 47. Hence the increase in the statement is only one and not two as pointed out. As regards the decrease, the district Medical officer reports that he has no records to check the statement and cannot therefore explain the discrepancy. No statement made in para 42 of the report was therefore wrong.

(43) Statement XIII submitted with the report was correctly prepared with reference to the information received from the Deputy Inspectors of Vaccination and Medical subordinates

4

subordinates.

The district Medical officer has not furnished any satisfactory explanation. A copy of his letter dis no: 151/D.S.K of 95 on the various points is herein enclosed for perusal.

(45). The number of beds as rightly given in statement XII in accordance with the statements received from the Medical subordinates through the district medical officer with his countersignature. These statements are herein enclosed for perusal and return.

× Yet statements have not been received.

In his letter a copy of which is enclosed, the district medical officer has furnished certain figures as correct which do not tally with those entered in the said statement but does not give any explanation for the discrepancy.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant
A Gunasekara 4/1/96
For President.

DESPATCHED
4-FEB.96

Local [illegible] Dept.
No. 397 L
From

The President District Board
Kistna

To

The Chief Secretary to Govern-
-ment, Local & Municipal
Department
Madras.

Dated 31st January 96
Despatched 4th February 96

Enclosures 1

151 of 96
Dno

CHIEF SECRETARY'S
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
3 FEB. 1896

Subject

Submitting replies to remarks
made by the Government
on the administration report
for 1894-95

given

the District Medical and Sanitary
officer with reference to clauses
10

[5]
506—27-5-95.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in Proceedings:—

Again G.O., dated 189 , No.

Current No. of 189 , dated from the

Current No. 397 L of 1896, dated 31.1.1896 from the President District Board
Kistna

Order. No. 537 L mis d) 19.3-96 here

Recorded with the following
remarks:—

(1) The necessary corrections
been made with reference to the
-nations furnished on clauses (
(20), (21), (22) and (23) of the Gov
Memorandum under reply.

(2) The explanation now fu
with reference to clause (11)
Government Memorandum
referred to, should have been
a footnote to statement II

(3) The entry of a minus
in column 9 of statemen
under the Repalle Taluk
unnecessary.

(4) The excess collections
to Rs 16 should not have

construction and repair of bridges
should not have been included in
the outlay on roads shown in State-
ment IV-A.

(b) In para. 42 of the report, the
military works executed under Grant II
ould have been distinguished from
se ~~executed~~ under Grant III.

In column 20 of Statement XIV,
Narasaraopet Taluk should not
e been numbered as one of the
es conserved during the year.
e statements received from the
el subordinates regarding the
er of beds available in the
s and dispensaries, which are
s have been forwarded with
ident's letter, have not been
e.
para. 3 of the District Medical
tary officer's letter, Copy
is submitted with the Presi
, the difference between
number of persons vacci
shown in Statement XIII
ferred in para. IV of his

given

the District Medical and Sanitary
officer with reference to clauses
(42) and (43) of the Government Memo
-randum cannot be considered satis
-factory.

(11) In para. 4 of the District Medical
and Sanitary officer's letter, above referred to, it
is stated that there was a hospital
at Avanigadda, whereas according
to column 2 of Statement XII there
was only a dispensary. The discrepan
should be explained.

V.R
17/3/96

W.W.S
18.3.96

To
The President, District Board,
Kistna.
Dupd. 23/3/96

When given for copying ____
When copied ____
When examined ____
When signed ____ } 189.

READ AGAIN G.O., No. 189, DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.								
STATEMENT WITH DITTO.								
PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.								

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.