

L. & M.
1000-8-8-90.

Local & Munl. (1896) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 387 M.

DATED 7th March 1896

[Despatch Abstract.]

Dindigul Dispensary

Requesting the Municipal Councilor Dindigul &
the Dindigul Taluk Board to furnish certain information regarding
the new dispensary to be started in the town.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Madura Collector's
office
30th October 1895

My Dear Mr Price

CHIEF SECRETARY
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
2 NOV. 1895

With reference to your
Demi-official dated 23rd August 1895,
I beg to state that the records upon which
the Collector's letter No 249, dated 10th September
1890 to the Board of Revenue read in G.O.
No 1888 R.D. were based are not forthcoming.
24.11.90
being either in this or in the Divisional
& Taluk offices.

Yours Sincerely

Swamy

30-10-95
2-11-95

Stating that the records
upon which the Collector's
letter No 249 dated 10th
Sept 1890 were based
are not forthcoming
either in this or in the
Divisional or Taluk
offices.

Current No. 670

DEPARTMENT.

L. Am

From

The Collector of Madura

No.

Dated 30th - 10 - 95

Received 2 - 11 - 95

Enclosures

SUBJECT.

Stating that the records upon which the Collector's letter no. 249 dated 10th Sep^r 1870 were based are not forthcoming either in this or in the Divisional or Taluk offices.

Copy of Communication Dis. No. 1455 dated 6.11.95 from J. K. Batten Esquire, Acting Sub-collector of Madura to the Collector of Madura.

Adverting to your Rb. no. 3675 dated 4th September 1895, I have the honor to report that Reverend Doctor Chester, M.D., informs me that he has received no further information since his last letter was written. I am of opinion that he is not likely to provide any further information.

Disposal No. 4101 of 1895

Submitted to the Chief Secretary to Government, Local and Municipal Department, Madras, with reference to Government Order no. 1352 M. Mis. Local and Municipal Department, dated 17th August 1895.

2. The Sub-collector's opinion is endorsed.

Madura Collector's office
16th November 1895
mes

Wm.
Acting Collector

Local & Municipal Dept.
CURRENT No. 3594 M

The collector of
Madura

Dated 16th }
Received } November 1895

Disposal No. 4101 of 1895

DESPATCHED.
18 NOV. 95

CHIEF SECRETARY
20 NOV. 1895
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Subject

Letter from the

Submitting, with remarks Sub-Col-
lector's letter regarding further inform-
ation called for about the site and
buildings of the Mission Hospital.

1649 M.L. 16-10-95
1352 M.L. 17-8-95
328 M.L. 1-3-95

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Again G.O., dated

189 , No.

Current No.

of 189 , dated

from the

Current No. 3594 M

of 1895, dated

16th November

from the

Collector of Madras

FOR ORDERS.

Please see the notes and
orders in fo. No. 1352 M dated
17th August 1895. No further
information on the subject
is forthcoming either from the
Collector or from Dr. Chester.

189

29/11/95

The only evidence as to whom
the site belongs is fo. No. 1858
24.11.70

assuming it from assessment. In
the absence, therefore, of any proof to
the contrary, the site belongs to Govt.

Even if this is so, it will be
necessary for the Municipality to find
a new site for their hospital, as Dr.
Chester intends to maintain his
hospital, even without a contribution,
it would be very hard on him to
be turned out after such long faithful
service. The Municipal chairman
might be asked to look out for a
suitable building to rent for the new

There is no doubt of this - Asst. Col. Ch.
has personally measured the land
and made a plan of it to scale which
is submitted. I made the land
over to D. C. M.

He has been well paid for then his
service. It can not be described as
gratuitous.

a. 29.11.95

hospital, as at present there are
no funds to build a new one,
& submit proposals for starting it.

If Dr. Chester is able to get the
subscriptions necessary for keeping
up his hospital, it will be very
hard for the new hospital to compete
with the old one, unless it is
of a superior class, which seems
impossible in the present financial
position of the municipality.

W.D. 3/12/95 There is clearly nothing more
to be done in the way

of information to be obtained such
the question as to what is to be done
regarding Dr. Chester has to be settled.
Is he to be allowed to remain where
he is, or is he to be ousted or are
the public and local B.D. to run
an opposition dispensary?

18
4/12/95

I think on the whole it will be
best to let him alone - this
getting an old man - when he
dies a new departure may be
made

JJ
6/12/95

Care =

Yes, Dr. Chester has done good work
for many years & is entitled to great
consideration on that account. His
arrangements do not meet the
requirements of the present day

It is not a question of turning
Dr. Chester out - but the provision
of a suitable and competent
medical officer to carry on the
work which foot. requires in a large
centre of population such as
Dindigul. We want a man to
look after the police and the
sanitation ^{vaccination etc} who will be under the
District S. M. O. which Dr. Chester
is not, and who will be able to
give proper certificates to foot
officers. He should have an out-
patient dispensary at all localities -
which could be easily and cheaply
run - & there is no reason why the
local Boards should not as they now
do subsidize Dr. Chester for his
hospital. From a foot-point of view
the present arrangement is very
unsatisfactory - and from a public
point of view too.

W
10 Dec

But it would cost more than
the improvement is worth to
start a new hospital.

JJ

5/12/95

I don't think that if a Municipal
Dispensary with a good man in
charge is started in Dindigul - that
there will be any doubt as to its
beating Dr. Chester out of the field.
The public at Dindigul have gauged
Dr. Chester's abilities long ago.

Dr. H.R. will state definitely what
he would not be able to do
whether this additional medical staff can be
afforded

18/12/95

I understand H.R. to propose to
set up an out-patient dispensary
under a S.M.O. officer. This would
not oust Dr. Chester's hospital. What
would it cost?

JJ

20/12/95

Bring up in Council,

W

12 Jan

Memorandum of Costs of the Dispensary

FOR ORDERS.

3594

(10)

Resubmitted to the Honble Members with reference to the remarks of H.E. the Governor

W.S.
12.XII.95

16.12.95

Resubmitted to H.E. the Governor with ref. to the note of Hon W. Bhas 18/12/95

W.S.
8.1.96

10/1/96

For Council
W.
12 Jan

Look out what a dispensary including building - 2. lable with apothecary in charge with small subordinates etc. will cost (in pounds).

Note for the C.S.

Please see note put up.

12/1/96 W.S.
4.2.96

Notes for the Chief Secretary

The annual cost of a dispensary including building ^{works} so, may be roughly estimated at Rs 2040, as hereunder:-

I. Establishment:-		per annum.	per annum.
1. Civil apothecary (of the last grade)		Rs 50	Rs 600
charge allowance		25	300
1. Compounder (of the last grade)		9	108
1. Surgery Cooly		6	72
1. midwife		10	120
1. Peon		6	72
1. Sweeper		1	12
Total			1284
II. House rent		10	120
III. Medicines & Supplies		50	600
IV. Sundries & Contingencies		3	36
Total Cost			2040

2. The Cost of Constructing a dispensary building on approved design is estimated at Rs 11530 - vide design 103. approved in govt. 194. Public works, dated 25th august 1876, but a revised plan is now under the consideration of the Sanitary Board.

V.S.
4/2/96 W.S.
4.2.96

Sanitary Board
12/1/96
4/2/96

(Sindriul Dispensary)
Note for the Chief Secretary.

(13)

We had better have a
1st cl: Hosp: Asstt - There are
very few Civil apothecaries who
get as little as Rs 50 - none
in fact, save me, so far as I am
aware, except men who have
apparently been debarred pro-
vision for failure to pass exam-
The Govt & the Taluk Hs? will
have to be asked whether they
approve this scheme.

W 21/2/96 22/2/96
27 Feb

DEPARTMENT

C. No.

In accordance with instructions at the
last Council I circulate an estimate
of the cost of a dispensary at Sindriul.
The figure might be reduced somewhat
by substituting an Hospital Asstt
for an Apothecary of the 1st Grade
but the amount would not be very
much less. If a 1st Class H.A.
was appointed he would cost
 $55 + 10 = \text{Rs. } 65$. against $50 + 25 =$
 $\text{Rs. } 75$ the charge for an Apothecary.
The saving would in this case be
 $\text{Rs. } 10$. One could not very well
get lower than a 1st Class H.A.
The Sanitary Dept. has put the cost of
a 1st class Hospital down at
 $\text{Rs. } 12000$. A very good dispensary though
not a large one might be cost
 $\text{Rs. } 5000$ to 6000 - without quarters
for the Hospital Asstt.

intending a 1st grade MC but for
an apothecary & knocking out house
rent which would not be payable if
a Dispensary was built the annual
recurring charge would be
Rs. 1810.

The Municipality contribute Rs. 625
and The Taluk Bd. Rs. 1848
annually to D. C.'s dispensary hospital

This would have than cover the
annual cost of a dispensary but
I doubt if the Taluk Bd. would
pay so much as it now does to
the hospital, to the dispensary
alone

18/2/96.

Opening of a municipal dispensary
Dindigul

3594 In-

FOR ORDERS.

H. E. THE GOVERNOR.

✓ THE HON'BLE MR. H. W. BLISS, C.I.E. ✓

✓ THE HON'BLE MR. J. GROSE. ✓

[G.]
[24.]
1,000-15-11-95.

DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in proceedings:—

Again G.O., dated

189 , No.

Again G.O., dated 17th August 1895 , No. 1322

Current No. 3594/14 of 1895, dated 16.11.95 from The Collector of Madurai.

" " " 189 , " , "

" " " 189 , " , "

ORDER. No. 387 mins of 7.3-96

With reference to its resolution No. 7
of 12th March '95, the Dindigul Municipal
Council will be requested to report whether
it is willing to start an out-patient
Dispensary in place of the separate
hospital proposed to be established, &
The M. Bd. will also be requested to state
what amount ~~if any~~ it would be
willing to contribute towards the
upkeep of such an institution. ~~It~~
In addition to the initial cost of the
building (about Rs 6000), its annual
cost would be approximately Rs 1800
made up as follows:—

Pay & charge allowance of 1 st cl. Hosp. Asst.	Rs 780
" " Compounder	108
" " Midwife	120
" " 3 Servants	156
Medicines	600
Contingencies	36
	<u>1800</u>

Recd
12-35 PM
19/2

The Council of the M.B.D. should also
state whether, in the event of a dispensary
being started, they would be willing to
continue their present contributions to the
M.B.D. Chesters' Hospital.

(from orders)

W.D.
5.3.96.

[Signature]

Diindigul Hospital

FROM ORDERS.

3594^m

C. S.

[Signature]

387^m

To

The Chairman, *M.C.* Diindigul
President Taluk Board Diindigul with
Copy of the Council's resolve no. 1
thru the Presd. Board Madurai.
G.M.P. 11/3/96

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

189.

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
189 ,

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
F = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.