Local & Munl. (1896) Department.

✓

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 2406 L

DATED 19-12-96.

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Establt.

Approving the transfer to the permanent clerical — of the Sanl. Engr's office as a Head Clerk on Rs 60 of the Accountant referred to in para. 1 of G.O. No. 2376 L, dy 16-12-96; requesting the Sanl. Engr. to state whether with refce to certain remarks of Govt. he sees any reason to modify his views as to the strength of his drawing staff; & forwarding to the Financial Dept. for necessary sanction the propd. staff submitted by the Sanl. Engr.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Papers to be read in Proceedings:—

Again G.O., dated 16th December 1896, No. 2376 L

Current No. 2565 L of 1896, dated 12th September 1896 from the Sanitary Engineer

Current No. 2979 L of 1896, dated 27th October 1896 from the Public Works Department.

Issue

Order. No 2406 Lm, dt 19-12-96

transfer to the permanent clerical staff

The ~~entertainment~~ as of a Head Clerk on Rs.60 ~~in lieu~~ ~~one of~~ of the accountant referred to in para. 1 of the Government Order read above is approved. The system of incremental pay does not commend itself to Government.

2. With reference to para. 4 of the Sanitary Engineer's letter, the Government observes that ~~there is no use whatever in attempting to work on a grander scale than the~~ having due regard to Provincial resources ~~will permit~~ the Sanit Engineer shd limit his ~~and that it would be more than enough, if the~~ drawing staff ~~be~~ shd ~~limited~~ to what ~~may~~ will suffice for the designing of schemes to cost not more than eight lakhs of Rupees per annum. Mr. Jones will be requested to state whether with reference to these ~~remarks~~ limitation and to the interpretation put upon No. 1

of

of the [illegible] Sanitary [illegible] in Government Order No. 2163 L dated 14th November 1896, he sees any reason to modify his views as to the strength of this staff.

3. The proposition statement received with the Sanitary Engineer's letter will be forwarded to the Financial Department for the necessary sanction.

(For orders)

F.R.
18/12/96

To

The Sanitary Engineer
" Financial Department
with two enclosures
" Accountant General

Desp. 19/12/96

Nt

The Memos: below give [illegible] description of the work in Sanit. Engineer's Office [illegible] Deptt.

I have since spoke with Mr Hormasji the Asst. S.E. about the matter, and the 1st Asst. of this Dept. has also scrutinized the results.

The present Staff in the clerical branch consists of

Manager on R. 120 – 150,
1 clerk on R. 30 – 50,
1 " on R 20 – 25, &
2 copyists on R 15 – 20.

The 2 copyists are not too many for the work to be done

Of the 2 clerks, one has to accompany the S.E. on tours, thus leaving only one clerk during such periods to receive register & despatch pp., arrange records supply references, keep the registers specified by the S.E., prepare salary bills & do such other clerical work as may be required of him. The Manager has ample work

BACK PAG

of the … tary … in Govern-
-ment Order …
… respondence, & when
… ber 1896, …
away has also to
his vice …
… arge of the office. Considering
3.
… of the pp. & that a great
recei …
the corresp^ce. is important
lette …
… ty, I think an additional
dep …
on R50 or R60 is necessary.

Mr. Jones has proposed to abolish the
… le of the temporary estab^t.
… ting R205 with the exception
the one man whom he
… sks to be ~~retained~~ transfer to the permanent clerical staff to strengthen it as above. This man he wd pay R50-75 but as H.O. objects to incremental pay he may get R60 & be the head clerk under the manager.

Orders have been issued to Mr Jones sanctioning the abolition of the whole of the above temporary estab^t. with the exception referred to, ~~about~~ whose retention is allowed temporarily till further orders are issued.

With regard to the Drawing estab^t. it is impossible for me to give any … nion of value as the work is wholly … nical: but when the further orders approving or disapproving of the extra clerical hand are passed, Mr Jones will be asked if with reference to the interpretation put upon Rule 16 of the S. Bd. rules* he sees any reason to modify his views as to the strength of ~~that~~ the Drawing staff.

S.E. 14/12/96

Very well - Sanction on Rs 60

RS

~~But~~ I see no reason to differ from Mr Jones' est …

5

*by G.O. 2163 L D 14/11/96

Very good - But let Mr Jones know that it is of no use whatever to attempt to get to work on a grander scale than the Provl. resources will support. If the staff he has will suffice for the designing of schemes to cost 8 lakhs p.a., that is more than enough, for we are not likely to be able to spend more - and of course we could not possibly at present sanction any increased estt. at all. Unless India gives us much better terms on the contract than it has proposed, we are bankrupt at this moment & shall so remain for at least two years - Rigid economy must therefore be the ruling of the future.

RS
16/12/96

Local and Municipal

No. 15

MADRAS

17th November

My dear Jones,

With reference to the proposals in your letter No. 2804, dated the 12th September last, I am to ask that you ~~please furnish me~~ will be good enough to furnish me with details of the amount of work of the clerical portion of your office establishment for which you recommend an addition of one hand

Yours sincerely

& (b) of the drawing estabt., the temporary section of which you leave it to Govt. to decide whether it should not be made permanent

Yours [illegible]

S. F.

Despatcher
Please return this [illegible] after despatch
2nd [illegible]
16/11/96

Despd. 17/11/96

7

[L. & M. 500—21-10-96.]

FROM CIRCULATION

WITH REMARKS.

or Secy. of the Sanitary Bd.? whether

Have [illegible] to H.G. Please draft [illegible] to Jones asking him to furnish [illegible] work [illegible]

Draft please

+ put up

The H.M. wants that the Secretary should go and see what work there is in the Sanitary Engr.'s office as compared with the other offices such as the Consulting Architect and the Executive Engineer's offices and report.

12/11

Revision of the Sanitary Engineer's Office establishment.

8

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Current Nos. 2565 L and 2979 L

From the Sanitary Engineer and the Public Works Deptt.

FOR ORDERS.

Issue the d.o. below & draft giving effect to the immediate abolition of the acct. branch & increasing the Muchi's pay & saying that further orders will be passed on the other proposals
S. F.

In the first of the letters read above, the Sanitary Engineer makes certain proposals for the revision of his office establishment owing to the alteration of his duties and the transfer of ~~construction~~ execution to the Public Works Department, under G.Os. Nos. 2073/M dated 9th December 1895 and 847/M dated 15th May 1896. The proposals are:-

(1) To entertain an additional clerk on Rs.50 - 75 in the permanent staff of the Clerical branch, which at present consists of 12 hands and costs Rs.315 per mensem.

A

B

		Minimum Rs.		Maximum Rs.	
1 Manager	..	120	-	150	
1 Clerk	..	30	-	50	
1 Do	..	20	-	25	
2 Cerks	..	15	-	20	each
6 Peons 5 on Rs.7) 1 on Rs.8) each.)		43	-	43	
1 Muchi	..	7	-	7	
				315	

(2)

(2) To change the designation of the at two Clerks on Rs.15-20 in the permanent staff into that of copyists.

(3) To abolish the temporary staff in the Clerical branch consisting of one **Accountant** on Rs.60, one Accountant on Rs.40, one clerk on Rs.45 and three copyists on Rs.30 each from 1st April 1897.

(4) To raise the pay of the Muchi from Rs.7 to Rs.9.

Mr. Jones says that he does not at present propose to ask for any increase to the drawing branch of his office, the cost of which amounts to Rs.948- Rs.628 - permanent and Rs.320 temporary - but that as it is unlikely that the temporary staff can ever be reduced he leaves it to Government to consider whether it should not be made permanent. He also draws the attention of Government to a claim made by the Head draftsman of his office for an increase to his pay. The Chief Engineer whose opinion was called for, states that judging from the number of water-supply and drainage schemes, the work in the drawing and estimating branch must be heavy, that as regards the correspondence branch more is probably known about it in the Chief Secretary's office than in his own and that it is possible that the transfer of the Sanitary Board's work may lessen correspondence in the Sanitary Engineer's office, but it is doubtful and it would perhaps be will not to make any reduction till the new system has been tried. Col. Campbell has not reported on the proposals of the Sanitary Engineer to make the temporary staff in the drawing

---

drawing branch permanent and to increase the pay of the Head Draftsman. In Current No.2814/L since received from the Sanitary Engineer and forwarded to the Chief Engineer for remarks, Mr. Jones estimates that if the additional work contemplated in No.16 of the Sanitary Board rules is to be done by his office, an additional establishment in the drawing branch costing Rs.420 a month will be required. It is therefore submitted that, as suggested by the Chief Engineer, no reduction need be made in the Clerical and Drawing branches, excepting the Accountants who will not be required hereafter.

V.R.
30/10/96

D

Please see note on that file

H.G.

As the C.E. suggests no reduction need be made at present until we see how the new system works – with the exception of the Accountant estabt. which may be dispensed with.

As to additional drafting estabt., that will depend on the orders passed on the linked file.

8/10/96

This wd. be a decrease of Rs 205 and an increase of 50-5-75 – The decrease may be carried out at once. As to the increase, I think he should have some one in his office between the manager on Rs 120–150, but I don't approve of increment that way nor do I know that the number of hands in the office need be increased – I should like the Sec: to go & see what work there is in the office as compared with other x offices & report.

x e.g. Architect's Office
Ex. Engr's office

HS

Not needed

HS
10/11/96

I don't see why the reduction should be held over until next April – The Muchi should get Rs 9 as recommended –

FOR ORDERS.

Local & Munl. (1896) Department.

CURRENT No. 2565 L

C.S

TO BE RETURNED
WITH REPLY.

~~Order~~ No 1131

Referred to the Chief Engineer, Public works Department ~~(Buildings and Roads Branch)~~ for favour of his opinion.

W W Phillips
Ag Assistant Secretary

V.R.
28/9/96

Fort St. George
~~September~~ 1896
1st October

From
The Sanitary Engineer
to Government

No. 2804

Dated 12 September 1896
Received [illegible]7 SEP 96
Registered 19/9
Enclosures 34
Spare copies.

SUBJECT.

Submitting proposition statement for certain alteration proposed in the Staff

To
The Public works Department (Buildings and Roads Branch).

22/9.

1731 W

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

---

12 ✓

Enclosure 208 to letter No. 2804 of 1896

Sanitary Engineer's Office
7th. August 1896.

To

J. A. Jones Esqr., M. I. C. E., F. R. S. E.,
Sanitary Engineer to Government
Through The Assistant Sanitary Engineer to Govt.

Respected Sir,

I respectfully beg to submit the following few lines for your kind consideration & favourable orders.

* classed as special vide P.W. code.

2 I was holding the appointment of Head* Draughtsman on the progressive pay of Rs 80-4-100 per mensem in the Superintending Engineer's office at Trichinopoly in 1890 when your honor was pleased to take me to this office in the same capacity & on the same pay. Before I could earn the maximum pay of the grade you were so kind as to mark your appreciation of the work I had turned out by giving me temporary promotions to higher appointments in this office & latterly by submitting proposals to Government to raise the pay of my appointment from Rs 100 to 150 which unfortunately did not meet with the approval of Government.

Vide Ord No 908 M/26-10-92 m/o

* G.O. No. 527 W dated 19-2-92.
G.O. No. 1044 W dated 31-5-92.

3 I understand that Government have in their orders noted in the margin* been pleased to revise the pay of the Head Draughtsman in the Public Works Department & to fix it at the progressive scale of Rs 100-15-130 each increment of Rs 15 being passed after four years approved service. On receipt of this order my colleagues in that Department have had increases to their pay & my successor in the Superintending Engineer's office at Trichinopoly is in fact getting more pay now than I do at present. Had I remained in that department I would be now drawing Rs 115 per mensem since 1895 and would become entitled to the maximum pay of Rs 150 in the month of February 1897. It would appear

3/2565 L/96

13

also that Government have under consideration the proposals made by certain Superintending Engineers to further increase the pay of the Head Draughtsman & to revise the salaries of other members of that class.

In these circumstances I respectfully beg to approach your honor with the humble request that you will be pleased to consider my poor case & secure for me the benefit of the G. O. above referred to. I would not have ventured to trouble you with this application if the G. O. had been communicated to this Department since in that case you would have an opportunity of considering whether any action should be taken on my behalf without a request from me.

I beg to remain,
Respected Sir,
Your most obedient & humble servant,
(Sd) P. Shunmoogam
Head Draughtsman.

(True Copy)

Sanitary Engineer to Government.

Ackn
Ved

G.O.
8847/96

Statement showing the Budget allotment for 1896-97 and the expenditure up to 31st July 1896 under

(24) Medical (C) Sanitation & Vaccination.

Referred to letter No. 2804 of 189

Major & minor heads of a/cs under which the proposed expenditure will fall	Sanctioned grants for major & minor heads	Recorded outlay against those grants by latest available accounts up to 31-7-96	Proposed reduction in sanctioned grants from which the expenditure will be met	Expenditure if no appropriation is considered possible. In such cases the emergency & necessity for the outlay must also be explained
	Rs			
(24) Medical	13,07,000	4,13,330-7-8	nil	Vide my letter No. 2804 of 12 Septr 1896
(C) Sanitation & Vaccination	1,33,800	38,638-5-11	nil	

Sanitary Engineer to Govt.

Office of Sanitary Engr to Govt
Fort St George Septr 1896

8847/90

S. E./6. 1,000—22-7-96.

15

No. 2804

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE MADRAS
15 SEP 96

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

OFFICE OF SANITARY ENGINEER,
Fort St. George, 12 Septr 1896

Local & Municipal D.
CURRENT No. 2315 / [illegible]

From

J. A. JONES, Esq. MEM. INST. C.E., F.R.S.E.,
SANITARY ENGINEER TO GOVERNMENT,

To

The Chief Secretary to Government, 54
Local & Municipal Department.
Through The Accountant General to the Government of Madras.

SIR,

(Flag A) G.O. 2073 M / 9-12-93

(Flag B) 847 M / 15-5-96

Owing to the alteration of the duties of this department & the transfer of construction to the Public Works Department I have the honor to request the alteration of the office staff as shewn in the accompanying statement.

Clerical Branch.

vide G.O. 2254 L / 18-11-93 (Flag C)

2. The permanent staff amounts to ₨ 315/- as a maximum yearly & the temporary which has been sanctioned upto the end of 1897-98 to ₨ 205/- total of ₨ 520/-.

3. The permanent staff originally sanctioned in 1890 & revised between that date & 1892 was of course a tentative one because the work which would fall eventually to the department could not then be guaged but it can now be so & I therefore submit that, while the temporary staff amounting to ₨ 205/- may after the 1st. April 1897 be abolished, it is necessary that there should be an addition of one clerk to the permanent establishment. This man will be the chief clerk whose duties are at present carried on by one of the accountants of temporary staff & I propose that his pay be ₨ 50 to ₨ 75. I also propose a small increase to the pay of the

16

Moochie from Rs 7 to 9 which is the ordinary pay of men of his class. The reduction in annual cost of the establishment will be from Rs 505-12-0 (average) to Rs 369-8-0 as proposed.

Drawing Branch.

4. The duties of this branch increase from year to year & the staff is hardly sufficient for present demands. I do not at present propose to ask Government for any increase in this staff which amounts to

Rs 628 ------ permanent.

Rs 520 ------ temporary.

but, as it is unlikely the temporary staff can ever be reduced, I leave it to Government to consider whether it should not be made permanent.

5. I have also to draw attention to the accompanying claim (copy) of the head draftsman which I beg to leave in the hands of Government.

6. An early reply is requested so that the framing of the budget for 1897-98 may not be delayed.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Sanitary Engineer to Government.

OWS. 5
8547/96

17

Local & Munl. (1896) Department.

CURRENT No. 2979 L

CHIEF SECRETARY
28 OCT. 1896
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

From
The Public Works Dept

Order.

No. 3746 C

Dated 27-10-1896
Received
Registered
Enclosures C No. 2565 L/96 Retd.
Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Transferring note by the Chief Engineer P.W. submitting his opinion regarding the revision of the Sanitary Engineer office establishment

A 30/10
29/10

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Public Works Department.

Local & Municipal Dept.
CURRENT No. 2479L

Note by the Chief Engineer, P. W. D

It is quite impossible for me to give any opinion regarding the sufficiency or otherwise of the establishment of the Sanitary Engineer's Office. Judging from the number of water supply and drainage schemes under investigation, I should say the work in the drawing and estimating branch must be heavy.

2. As regards the correspondence more is probably known about that in the Chief Secretary's Office than in mine.

3. It is possible that the transfer of the Sanitary Board works may lessen correspondence in the Sanitary Engineer's Office, but it is doubtful and it would perhaps be well not to make any reduction till the new system has been tried.

(Signed) D. McNeil Campbell,
Colonel, R.E.,
Chief Engineer, P. W. Dept.

No. 3746 C d/27th October 1896

Transferred to the Local and Municipal Department with reference to its endorsement No. 1131, dated 1st October 1896.

Col. R.E.,
Secretary.

To The Local Munl. Department.