L. & M.
3,000-8-8-96

# Local & Munl. (1896) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

**G.O., No.** 2346 L

**DATED** 11-12-96

INDEXED. ✓

---

[Despatch Abstract.]

Administration Report.

Recording, with remarks, expln on certain points noticed in the — of the South Arcot Dt Bd for 1895-96.

## BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | |

## FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | |

---

# Local & Munl. (1896) Department.

**CURRENT No.** 3265 L

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT 30. NOV. 1896 LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT

**From**

The President,
District Board,
South Arcot.

**No.** 4

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT 30. NOV. 1896 LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT

**Dated** 27th November 1896

**Received**

**Registered**

**Enclosures**

**Spare copies.**

## SUBJECT.

Subs fort

Reply to memo No 1197 dated 21-11-96 in connection with the Administration Annual Report for 1895-96.

Mis. **Order.** No 2346 Local d/ 11-12-96

Recorded with the following remarks:-

(1). All apparent discrepancies between the main and the subsidiary statements should be explained by foot-notes in the latter, as required in para 601 of G.O. No. 987 L dated 4th May 1889.

(2). The statements relating to the educational statistics furnished by the Presidents of taluk Boards, should have been scrutinised in the district Board's office before being adopted in the consolidated statements submitted to Government.

V.R.
10/12/96

A 10/12
W/12

To

The President, Distt. Bd., South Arcot.

Desp. 12/12/96

6

## BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | |

## FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | |

PAPERS.

Date.

Reply.

The actual No. of schools aided from Local Funds was 51[illegible]. Vide the Assistant Inspector's Statement I.

|  |  | No. of schools visited |  | No. of schools prepared for Examination Local Funds |  | Others |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Cuddalore by Inspecting school masters | = | 160 | = | 26 | = | 140 |
| Tirukoilur Taluk | Do | 184 | — | 24 | — | 168 |
| Kallakurichi Do. | Do | 151 | — | 21 | — | 157 |
| Tindivanam Do | Do | 330 | — | 17 | — | 231 |
| Tiruvannamalai Do | Do | 194 | — | 13 | — | 77 |
| Villupuram Do | Do | 162 | — | 16 | — | 82 |
| Chidambaram Do | Do | 397 | — | 25 | — | 69 |
| Vriddhachellam Do | Do | 217 | — | 52 | — | 165 |
| Total |  | 1795 | — | 194 | — | 1089 |

The Assistant Inspector's reply was received only recently.

This reply is submitted in this form for the sake of convenience and easy reference as was done in previous years.

Cuddalore
27: 11:96 }

J. R. Coombes
For President
District Board.

T.E.

| No. | Remarks |
|---|---|
| 8. | "In the last column of statement X the actual no of schools aided from Local Funds has not been given". |
| 16. | "In para 59 the no of villages visited by the Local Fund inspecting school masters and of the other schools prepared by them for examination have not been given". |

To

The Secretary to Government
Local Self Govt Dept
with refce to Memorandum dated
21st October 1896 No 1197.

3

28 ]

*N.B.—The reply to this communication should be addressed to the Chief Secretary.*

CHIEF SECRETARIAT, MADRAS.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

South Arcot District Board's Report for 1895-9

# Memorandum.

C No. 1959 L

Dated the 21st October 1896.

No. 1197

N

The following points are noticed in the President's and the District Medical officer's reports and in the statements submitted with the former,—

(1) In columns 8 and 10 of Statement I.B, the balance in favour of markets, amounting to Rs 417 should have been excluded from that ~~balance~~ under Union funds, as it is ~~already~~ included in the balance under Endowments.

(2) In column 10 of ~~the same~~ Statement I A, the total income from avenues is given as Rs 9287, whereas ~~from~~ according to column 7 of

of Statement VIII and the foot notes appended thereto ~~to it~~, it was ~~appears~~ ~~as~~ Rs 7582.

(3) In columns 17 to 19 of Statement IV-A, the average cost of maintaining each mile of village, taluk, and district roads repaired is given as Rs 351, Rs 509, and Rs 776 respectively (which figures are merely the totals of the averages under ~~of~~ the several taluks) instead of Rs 47, Rs 64 and Rs 95 respectively.

(4) In columns 8 and 22 of Statement IX, the total average attendance and the total average net cost per pupil in ~~of~~ the several schools and departments have not been given.

(5) In the Statement showing the working of the Secondary schools, the strength of the schools at Panruti, Tirukkoyilur and Kallakurchi is given as 126, 83, and 50 respectively, whereas according to ~~from~~ column 6 of Statement IX it was ~~appears as~~ 116, 71 and 51 respectively

e-c

d

P. 25 25 66 = 116
T. 38 33 " = 71
K 25 26 " = 51
88 84 66 = 238

(6) In column 6 of Statt. X, the total number of pupils in the aided schools on 31st March 1896 is given as 11,821, whereas according to the Assistant Inspector's Statt. I it was 11,891.

d

o-s

e-c

(7). In column 11 of the same Statement, grants amounting to Rs 1581 are shown as having been paid to the Aided Schools in the Kallakurchi taluk, but in column 9 ~~of the same Statement~~ only Rs 1443 are shown as having been earned by these Schools.

(8) In the last ~~remarks~~ column of the same Statement the actual number of Schools aided from local funds has not been given.

(9) In column 27 of Statement XIV, water pandal charges amounting to Rs 17-8-0 should not have been shown as they do not relate to sanitation.

(10) In columns 16 and 17 of Statement XVI-A and para 5 of the Presidents report, the average attendance of the official and ~~the~~ non official members of

of the District Board is given as 6 and 10 respectively instead of 5 and 11.

In Statement XVI-B

(11) M.R.Ry. Ramaswami Ayyar, the Head master of the Salary result school at Pannadam ~~and member of the Pannadam panchayat, should not~~ should have been treated as a nonofficial and not as an official member of the Union ~~list, as appears to have been~~ Panchayat of that place. ~~done from columns 9 and 12 of Statement XVI B~~

(12) In column 15 of the same statement the circumstances under which Submagistrates and Sub Registrars were appointed as panchayatdars should have been explained.

e-c

(13) In the Statement showing the incidence of house tax in the several Unions the average assessment per house taxed in the Unions of Tindivanam, Villupuram, Tiruvannamalai and Tirukkoyilur, and the total average assessment per house have not been given.

e-c

(14) In the same statement the average assessment per house taxed in the Unions of Srimushnam and Kurinjippadi is given as As 10-7 and As 9-5 respectively instead of As 11-6 and As 4-9.

(15) the Statement showing the proportion of the General Local Fund revenues spent on the several services has not been submitted.

Cancelled with refce to C No. 2815 L Since recd.

e-c

~~(16) In column 9 of the Abstract~~ given in para. 25 of the President's report, the receipts are given as Rs 4.26.190 instead of Rs 4.26.434 according to column 10 of Statement I-A

Cancelled with refce to C No. 2815 L Since recd.

e-c

~~(17) In para 32, the amount for~~ which distress warrants were issued and the amount for which property was distrained are given as Rs 551 and Rs 46 respectively instead of Rs 912 and Rs 77 according to columns

~~columns 7 and 8 of the Statt showing the incidence of house tax in the several Unions.~~

~~(18) In para 52 the total expenditure on Public Works is given as Rs 182945 instead of Rs 181557 as in column 10 of Statement I-B and column 11 of Statement III~~

(~~15~~6) In para. 59, the numbers of villages visited by the Inspecting Schoolmasters, and of the Local Fund and other Schools prepared by them for Examination have not been given.

(~~22~~17) In para. 62 the strength of the Board primary Schools on the 31st March 1896 is given as 8731, whereas ~~from~~ according to Columns 6 and 7 of Statt IX it ~~appears~~ was 8622.

(~~[illegible]~~18) In para. 64 the Statistics of the Schools which did not receive aid from local funds should not have been given.

(~~[illegible]~~19) Important variations from the actuals of the previous year

should

Cancelled with refce
to G.O. No. 2815 L

e-c

o.s

d

7348
1274
8622

e

should have also been ~~noticed~~ explained in the President's report, as required in para. 3 of G.O. No. 987 L dated 4th May 1889.

(2~~8~~0) In para 5(e) of the District Medical officer's report, the amount realized from the sale of medicines is given as Rs 53, whereas in Columns 8 and 10 of Statement I A, it is shown as Rs 85.

~~(23) The submission of the Local Fund Engineer's report was unnecessary.~~

d

2. The necessary corrections have been made with reference to clauses (1), (3), (9), (10), (11) (14) ~~(16)~~ ~~(18)~~ of the preceding paragraph ~~above~~. The discrepancies noticed in clauses (2), (5), (6), (7) (~~22~~17) & (2~~8~~0) should be explained, ~~and~~ the omissions pointed out in clauses (8) and (~~19~~16) should be supplied as soon as possible, and those referred to in clauses (4). (8) (~~11~~) (12) (~~15~~)

13, (15), ~~(17)~~ 16 and ~~(22)~~ 19 should be guarded against in future.

V.R.
5/10/96

13 ~~w.~~ /6

Points noticed in the South Arcot Distt. Bd's Report for 1895-96

N/ow

To
The President, Distt Board,
South Arcot

Desp. 21/10/96

---

When given for copying
When copied 12-11-96
When examined 14/8/12
When signed 12/12
189 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. DATED 189 ,

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. .. A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.