L. & M.
6,000-2-1-96.

# Local & Munl. (1896) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 2327/L

DATED 10-12-96

INDEXED.

---

[Despatch Abstract.]

Administration Report.

Recording, with remarks, explanation of points noticed in the —— of the Coldstream Local Board for 1895-96.

## BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | |

## FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | |

[ 5 ]
700—6-4-96.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

be read in Proceedings:—

Again G.O., dated 89 , No.

Current No. 3140Z of 1896, dated 30th October 1896 from the President, Dt. Board, Cuddapah.

Current No. of 189 , dated from the

Order. No. 2327 Dated 10-12-96

Recorded with the following remarks:-

(1) Column 6 of Statement III should show only the amount actually sanctioned, as required by the heading, and no expenditure should be incurred on works without the requisite allotments being sanctioned by competent authority.

(2) All apparent discrepancies between the main and the subsidiary Statements should be explained by means of foot notes in the latter, as required in para 6 (1) of G. O. No. 987L. dated 4th May 1889.

(3) The raising of the house-tax levied in the Pulivendla Union from one-third to two-thirds of the maximum rates, from the year 1895-96, is approved.

(4)

(4) It is presumed that ~~resignation has been obtained~~, as required in G. O. No. 1168 L, dated 1st June 1887, the resignation has been obtained on his transfer from the hospital who was a member Assistant, of the Kadiri Union Panchayat, ~~who was transferred to Jammalamadugu.~~

(5) In Statement XVI-A and in the Statement showing the vacancies that occurred and the appointments made in the several Union Panchayats in 1895-96, the numbers of official and non-official members on the 31st March 1896, on the Pulivendla Union Panchayat are given as 5 and 4 respectively and not as 4 and 5, as now stated by the President.

(6) The proportion of the expenditure to the allotment under communications in 1894-95 is 96·2 and not 97·3, the expenditure and the allotment being Rs 83,061, and Rs 86,380 respectively and not Rs 80,838 and Rs 83,061, as taken by the President.

(7) The expenditure incurred on works by other agencies should be excluded in calculating the



the proportion of the cost of the Local Fund Engineering establishment to the total outlay on works executed by it.

(8) As para. 44 of the President report relates to Endowed institutions, the receipts and charges of markets should have also been included in the figures given in it.

(9) The necessary corrections have been made with reference to paragraphs 4, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 16, and 17 of the President's letter.

(10) The information promised in paragraphs 11 and 26 should be expedited.

V.R. 27/12/96 (11) The President's letter now noted although dated the 30th Oct. was not received in the Post office till the 16th Nov.

To

The President, Dt. Board, P&O

Cuddapah

Dupt. 10/12/96

D

4

# Local & Munl. (189/) Department.

CURRENT No. 3140

*Order.*

*From*

The President
District Board.
Cuddapah.

*No.* 979
of 96

*Dated* 30. 10. 96
*Received* SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT 16.-NOV.-1896 LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT
*Registered*
*Enclosures*
*Spare copies*

SUBJECT.

Submitting explanation with regard to various points noticed by Govt in the Director of Public Administration Report for 1895-96.

## BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | |

## FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | |

No. 979 / 976

CUDDAPAH,
DISTRICT BOARD'S OFFICE,
dated 20 · 10 · 1896.

Enclosures

FROM A J Forbes Esqr. I.C.S., Recd 14-11-96
PRESIDENT,
DISTRICT BOARD,
CUDDAPAH.

Local & Municipal Dept.
CURRENT No. 3140

To
The CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVT.,
LOCAL FUND DEPARTMENT,
FORT SAINT GEORGE.

SIR,

I have the honour to submit the following explanation in regard to the various points noticed in Government memorandum No: 1181 of 16th October 1896.

Clause 1.

2. The Sum of Rs 136, which appears from the note at the foot of statement II B to have been received from Provincial Funds for cleaning the Sub jail latrines, is included in the Sum of Rs 1122 under T No 2. Sundry receipts, in accordance with G.O. No: 968 Local 27.5.96 which cancels

D

cancels the G.O. No: 2353L/15.11.94 referred to by Government.

Clause 2.

3. In statement III the Local Fund Engineer has shown the allotments which were actually spent by other agency and by himself on the authority of the Local Board Presidents before they were sanctioned by Local Boards.

But in statement I B those allotments which were actually covered by proper sanctions of Local Boards were shown. Hence the difference.

Clause 4.

4 In column 2 of statement I B the closing balance of Rs 10,162 under Endowments in 1894-95 does not agree with the balance shown in statement II as the

(3) 7

as the balances of the marginally noted markets which were closed during 1895-96 were excluded and credited to general balance under the Madanapalli Taluq Board and the net balance of Rs 10047 which appertains to the existing markets is shown in statement II. But in statement I B. Rs 10162 was shown to make it tally with the amount in the Presidency Review. The difference between the amounts (24188 + 24204) in statement I B and II is due to the omission to include the sum of Rs 16 being the balance of interest in favor of the Salary Result Muhammadan School at Madanapalli.

(1) Aravedu 8-6-0
2. Nulivedu 20-7-10
3. Karapuvaru 5-0-0
4. Dudalu 9-9-3
5 Davyapuripalli 49-10-9
6 Talupulu - 22-0-0
115-1-10

Clause V

5 As this objection has not hitherto been raised by Government the cost of Engineering Establishment and Tools and plant was shown in statement III as usual. It will be omitted in future.

Clause 6.

6. The cost of constructing road dams &c: was being shown in statement IV A as usual. But I beg to submit in this connection that if this amount is not shown in statement IV A the amounts therein shown will not agree with those shown in statement I B, both under New works and Repairs communications, &c also with the figures in the Local Fund Supplementary Treasury amount. It will however be omitted in future as directed.

Clause 7.

7. It is usual to collect Rs 20 before the sale of Toll gates as earnest money and 2 months instalments as advance after the sale is confirmed. Some of the Tahsildars have not credited these amounts to proper head before the end of the year. As the amount has been collected, whether in deposit or transferred to proper head, for purposes of administration, the Correct balance of Rs 425 was shown the head having been taken as collected though in deposit. As the Treasury Deputy Collector said that the amounts in deposit should not be taken as collected until actually credited to proper head, Rs 1262 are shown as the balance which has been subsequently transferred to proper head in 1895-96.



Clause 8.

8. In statement VIII the difference of Rs 4 under house tax is due to rounding of (and of) figures under several Taluq Boards. The correct amount is Rs 17360-2-8 or 17360 in round numbers.

Clause 9.

9. The details of Rs 302 and Rs 37 referred to in Column 10 of statement VIII are as follows:—

Tolls.

Porumamilla Toll Gate for 1894-95 = Rs 302

House tax.

10

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Cuddapah Taluq Board | Rs | 3-8-0 |
| Proddatur do | | 22-10-0 |
| Madanapalli do | | 10-16-0 |
| | | 37-0-0 |

Clause 10.

9. The number 346 shown in statement IX is a mistake for 366.

Clauses 11, 12 & 13.

11. The Presidents of Taluq Boards and the Assistant Inspector of Schools will be requested to explain and on receipt the information will be furnished.

Clause 14.

No villages were concerned under the Proddatur Taluq board, except the union villages, the figure 6 put down in column 17 of statement XIV is a mistake for cypher.

The total number of men employed for conserving the towns and villages is 227 and not 201.



11

Clause 15.

15. The total number of existing sources of water supply repaired, village sites improved and villages in which prickly pear and rank vegetation were removed are 35, 7 & 22 as shown below.

| Cuddapah Taluq Board: | Sources of water supply | Village sites improved | Villages in which prickly pear removed. |
|---|---|---|---|
| | 3 | 1 | 2. |
| Proddatur | 15 | 4 | 1 |
| Sidhavattam | 8 | 4 | 11 |
| ~~Lidhavattam~~ Madanapalli taluq | 9 | 2 | 8. |
| Total | 35 | 7 | 22 |

Clause 18.

18. The Revd: Doctor Campbell is the only European Member on the Proddatur Taluq Board; the Revd Mr: D: Leighton is a Christian Convert and neither an European nor an Eurasian.

Clause 21

21. The rate at which house-tax was levied in Pulivendla union during 1895-96 is 2/3 & not 1/2 as stated by Government, Government states

12

~~Statement showing of the Kadiri, Thrayapatri~~ ~~Statement~~ ~~1/2~~ being a mistake for 2/3 and the amount provided in the sanctioned budget for 1895-96 was at the rate of 2/3 and not 1/2 the maximum rates.

Clause 24.

In column 10 of statement XVI B the amount for which 21 distress warrants were issued in Royachoty union is said to be Rs 11-5-4.

Clause 25.

17. 3/2 percent is the correct rate of interest. The Madanapalli Taluq Board President erroneously stated it to be 3 per cent in statement XVIII

Clause 26.

18. The resignations from the Medical officers on Jammalamadugu and Madanapalli unions have been received by the Taluq Board Presidents concerned and the omission of the information is due to them not noting the fact in the statements submitted by them.



13

Clause 27.

19 The Hospital apistant of the Kadiri union Panchayat was transferred to Jammalamadugu Hospital who is under the Proddatur Taluq Board. Hence the Vacancy.

Clause 29.

20 The maximum strength of Pulivendla union was 12. But there were only 9 members on the 31st March 96. As there were three vacancies the actual number of Members on the 31st March was 4 officials and 5 Non officials. Even then the number of officials was not in excess of the number of Non-officials. In Madanapalli union the strength consisted from the beginning of the constitution of the union of 6 Ex officio (1 Medical officer + 5 non officials) and the same strength continues during this year also. The Madanapalli Taluq Board President will be requested to take steps to raise the number of Non officials over officials.

Clause 32.

21 The proportion of Expenditure

to the allotments under Communications in 1895-96 and 1894-95 is 91.6 and 97.3 as shown in the margin. It is not understood how the figure 96.2 given by Government is arrived at.

(1) 88266) 8083800 (91.6
774394
1398610
882360
5157400
4945960
163440

(2) 83061) 8083800 (97.3
747549
508310
531427
268830
247183
17647.

86380) 8306100 (96.2
777420
531900
518280
136200

Clause 34.

22 The proportion of the cost of the Local Fund Engineering Establishments to the total outlay on works during 1894-95 is 21 per cent and not 23 per cent as stated by Government vide working in the margin.

outlay on works. Cost of Estt:
92335. 2018600.

92335) 2018600 (21.
184670
171900
92335
79565

Clause 36.

23 In para 44 of the report the actual interest on Government Securities realized and spent as shown in statement VII and XVIII is shown as receipts and charges of Endowed institutions, and not the transactions of the markets to which do not find a place in statement XVIII to which this para refers.

Clause 37.

24 The explanation of the decrease in expenditure on Education noted



noted in statement I B was not sufficient for being dealt with in the report and for the variation under each head a more detailed explanation was necessary.

Clause 39.

25 The correct number of pupils who appeared for and passed the grant examination in 1895-96 is 4473 and 2975 respectively, as given in statement X.

Clause 40.

26 The Local Fund Engineer, the Presidents of Taluq Boards & the assistant Inspectors of Schools will be requested to report on this subject and their replies will be submitted to Government on receipt. Clauses 3, 16, 17, 19, 20, 22, 23, 28, 30, 31, 33, 35, & 38 are noted.

I have the honor to be
Sirs.
Your most obedt servt
R Knox Boswell
Vice President
for President.

Courtesy
G Peters
Clw
12.11.96.

[ 28 ]

*N.B.—The reply to this communication should be addressed to the Chief Secretary.*

Soon

16

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT. MADRAS.

C. Nos. 2006 & 24942

# Memorandum.

*Dated the* 16th October 1896.

No. 1181

N
Dm.

The following points are noticed in the Presidents' reports and the accompanying Statements.

(1) In column 4 of ~~the~~ Statement I.A. the sum of Rs 136, which appears from the note at the foot of ~~the~~ Statement II. B, to have been received from Provincial Funds for cleaning the sub-jail latrines, has not been shown under "Contributions from Provincial Funds" "General purposes", as required in clause (3) of G. O. No. 2353 L dated 15th November 1894.

(2) In column 3 of ~~the same~~ Statement I. B the allotments for "New

Use

tolls and house tax amounting to Rs 302 and Rs 37 respectively, have not been given.

(10) In Statement IX the number of boys who passed the infant Standard examination is given as 346, whereas according to statement D submitted by the educational officers it was 366.

(11) In statement A submitted by the educational officers, the number of primary schools maintained by the Local Boards on the 31st March 1896 is given as 112, whereas according to Statement IX it was 108.

(12.) In the same statement (A) the numbers of primary schools aided from local funds and of the pupils attending them on the 31st March 1896 are given as 331 and 6,014 respectively, whereas according to statement X they were 359 and 6,251 respectively.

(13) In statement D the number of



of girls who passed the grant examination in 1895-96 from the result schools under the Proddatur Taluk Board is entered as 64, but the number of those who were presented for examination has not been given.

(14.) In column 17 of Statement X the total number of villages conserved in the Proddatur Taluk is entered as 6, but the names of these villages have not been given, nor has the cost of conserving them been shown separately in column (19), and in column 18 the total number of mens employed for conserving the towns and villages is given as 200, whereas according to the details it was 227.

(15) In the same statement and in para. 39 of the Presidents' first report the total numbers of existing sources of water supply repaired, village sites improved and villages in which prickly pear and rank vegetation were

were removed are given as 41, 8
and 24 respectively, whereas accord-
ing to the details, they were 38, 7
and 22 respectively.

(16) In column 1 of statement
XVI only the names of such of the
numbers as were on the District
and the Proddatur Taluk Boards
on the 31st March 1896 should
have been numbered, as required
in para 4 (4) of G.O. No. 1273 L
dated 28th May 1890.

(17) In columns 11 and 12 of State-
-ment XVII. A the number of offi-
cial and non-official members on
the District Board is given as 19
each, instead of 18 and 20 respect-
-ly, according to statement XVI
and para. 3 of the Presidents first
report.

(18) In column 13 of the same State-
-ment (XVI-A) the number of Euro-
-peans and Eurasians on the
Proddatur



Proddatur Taluk Board is given
as one instead of two according
to statement XVI.

(19) In column 18 of the same State
-ment (XVI-A), the total of the average attendance
of members of the unions under the
Madanapalle Taluk Board is
entered as 29 instead of 25.

(20) In column 2 of statement
XVI-B, the total population of the
unions is given as 110,194 instead
of 142,464, according to the details

(21) In Column 4 of the same
Statement the rate at which house
tax was levied in the Pulivendla
Union is given as two-thirds of the
maximum, whereas according to
G.O. No. 271 L. dated 12th February
1895 it should be one-half.

(22) In Column 6 of the same
statement the average assessment
per house taxed in 1895-96 in
the unions of Hindupur and

Pata Cuddapah are given as 7 as 4 p and 6 as 1 p respectively, instead of 7 as 6 p and 6 as 6 p.

(23) In column 6 of the same Statement and in para. 11 of the President's first report the average assessment per house taxed in the several unions is given as 7 as 3 p instead of 7 as 11 p.

(24) In column 10 of the Same Statement (~~XVI.B~~) the amount for which 21 distress warrants were issued in the Royachoti union has not been given.

(25) In Statement XVIII the rate of interest on Government Securities is shown as 3 per cent, but in para. 15 it is given as 3½ per cent.

(26) In the last column of the Statement showing the vacancies that occurred and the appointments made in the union Panchayats, information

Proddatur



have been obtained from the Medical officers on the Jammalmadugu and Madanapalle Union Panchayats, who are stated to have been transferred from the jurisdiction of the unions.

(27) In the same statement the circumstances under which the hospital assistant of the Kadiri Union Panchayat vacated his seat have not been stated.

(28) In the same Statement the names of M. R. Ry. K. Gundu Rao, and M. R. Ry M. Ramalingayya should not have been shown as the vacated their seats on the Vayalp union Panchayat in 1894-95.

Checked in the office

(29) In para. 5 of the President's first report it is stated that the (3) of officials did not exceed the (2) the non officials in any union, from Statement XVI-A it appears that in the Pulivendla and Madanapalle unions this was the case.

(3)

(30) In para. 11 the authority for the increased rates of house-tax levied in the several unions is given as G.O. No. 484 L, dated 13th March 1895 instead of G.O. No. 271 L dated 12th February 1895.

(31) In para. 22 the total length of roads in the district is given as 1240 miles instead of 1251 miles, including the length of new roads constructed during the year.

(32) In para. 27 the proportion of expenditure to the allotment under communications in 1895-96 and 1894-95 is given as 90 and 97 per cent respectively, instead of 91.6 and 96.2 per cent.

(31) In para 28 the proportion of expenditure on new roads to the total outlay on roads is given as 5.5 per cent instead of 5.6 per cent.

(34) In para. 29 the proportion of



of the cost of the Local Fund Engineering establishment to the total outlay on works executed by it in 1895-96 and 1894-95 is given as 21 per cent instead of 23 per cent.

(35) In para. 41 the establishment charges of the bungalows at Kesapuram and Gurramkonda (not Galivedu as stated by the President) in 1894-95 is given as Rs 71 instead of Rs 77, as in statement XL submitted with the report for that year.

Checked in the office.

(36) In para. 46 the receipts and charges of the endowed institutions in 1895-96 and 1894-95 are given as Rs 566 and Rs 559, and Rs 727 and Rs 718, respectively, instead of Rs 21,108 and Rs 12,807, and Rs 10,827 and Rs 8,408 respectively.

× Accountant-Genl's figures have been adopted. Checked in the office

(37) In para. 2 of the President's Letter report it is stated that the decrease in the expenditure on education which

which appears chiefly under primary schools has not been explained, but it is accounted for in the last column of Statement I. B.

(38) In para 8 the number of boys in the Board primary schools on the 31st March 1895 is given as 3359 instead of 3316.

(39) In para. 9 the numbers of pupils who appeared for and passed the grant examination in 1895-96 are given as 4586, and 3017 respectively, whereas according to statement X they were 4473 and 2975 respectively.

(40) The condition of the markets, the extent to which they were resorted to by the public, the condition of the avenues, the number of students who were presented for the primary examination from the sessional schools and the number of boys, as distinguished from



from girls, who were in the aided schools on the 31st March 1896 have not been should be reported.

2. The necessary corrections have been made with reference to clauses (1), (3), (5), (6), (16) to (20), (22), (23), (28), (30) to (36) and (38) of the preceding paragraph. The discrepancies noticed in clauses (2), (4), (7), (8), (10), (11), (12), (14) (15) (21), (25), (29) and (39) and the omission referred to in clause (13) should be explained and the omissions pointed out in clauses (9), (14), (24), (26), (27) and (40) should be supplied as soon as possible.

V.R.
30/9/96

Desirable
Please return the
to me after the
fair copy is
despatched
1/10

To

The President Dt. Board.
Cuddapah.

L. A
Dated 16/10/96

N&S 16/10.