Date taken out.	For what purpose.			Initials of clerk taking the paper or of attender.	Date returned.	Initials of attender.
	Department.	C. No.	Year.			

[L. & M.
3,000–8–8–96.]

Local & Munl. (1896.) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 2262 L.

DATED 1–12–96.

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Administration Report

Recording, with remarks, Explns of points noticed in the — of the Madras District Board for 1895-96.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Local & Munl. (1896) Department.

CURRENT No. 3118 L

From The President, Dis^t Board, Madura

Dis No. 1324

Dated 11th November 1896.

Received

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Adm Annual Report for 1895-96 Explaining certain discrepancies noticed in the—

Mis. Order. No 2262 Muni d/ 1-12-96

Recorded with the following remarks:-

1. The abolition of the market at Rajasingamangalam should have been noted either in the last column of Statement VI, or in the President's letter.

2. The necessary corrections have been made with reference to the explanation furnished on clause (12) of the Government Memorandum No. 1100 dated 23rd September 1896.

V.R.
30/11/96

A 27/11
17/11

To

The President, Distt. Bd., Madura.

Desp. 2/12/96

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Local & Municipal Dept.
CURRENT No. 3118 L

Dis No. 1324

MADURA DISTRICT BOARD OFFICE,
11th November 1896.

From

J. Twigg Esquire,
President, District Board,
Madura.

To

The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to Government,
Local and Municipal Department,
Madras.

Sir,

In reply to Memorandum of Government, No 1100, dated 23rd September 1896, I have the honor to explain as follows—

Point 2. The President of the Ramnad Taluk Board reports that no fees were levied at Rajasingamangalam market, and that in fact, the market has ceased to exist.

Point 6. The discrepancy is due to a Karnam having been shown as an official member in the Statement for 1894-95 and correctly as non-official in the Statement for 1895-96.

Point 12. The figure given by the District Medical and Sanitary officer is correct. The discrepancy is due to Tiruchuli and Karakudi dispensaries having, by clerical mistake, been shown by the Taluk Boards concerned as having been held in Local Fund buildings though the former was held in a rented building since 15th May 1895 on the sale of the Local Fund building under G.O. No 216 L. Mis, dated 4th February 1895, and the latter was not removed to the new Local Fund building constructed for its use, till May 1896.

Point 13. The figure in Statement I-A comprises of of Rs 18-13- receipts from paying patients in dispensaries, and Rs 387-12-4 realised on account of sale of medicines. The District Surgeon's figure does not include the former item. There is a small difference between the latter item and the figure given by the District Surgeon, due to mistakes in consolidation in District Surgeon's office. Treasury Deputy Collector's figure, viz Rs 387-12-4 is correct. The aggregate was shown in Statement I-A as Rs 407 in round number.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servt.

Amasarke
For President.

Points noticed in the rev
District Board's Report
for 1895-96

C No 2098

1100
23-9-96

VH 22/9/96

1043 22/9

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

[28]

N.B.—The reply to this communication should be addressed to the Chief Secretary.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT, MADRAS.

Memorandum.

C No. 2098 L of 1896.

Dated the 23rd September 1896.

No. 1100

The following points are noticed in the President's and the medical offi reports and in the statements submitted with the former,—

(1) In columns 9 and 10 of Statement I-B, the establishments and contingent charges relating to watersheds and cravis shoul have been shown under Grant III (h) (i) miscellaneous endowed institutions and not under (e) Choultr as appears to have been done from columns 10 and 11 of Statement XI and the footnotes ~~attached~~ appended to it.

(2) In Statt VI, the market at Rajasingamangalam has not been shown

(3) In Statement IX the syste under which the Secondary Schoo

at

at Tirumangalam and the Primary and Secondary departments of the General Education and Practising branches of the Technical Institute were maintained has not been shown.

(4) In the same statement the transactions relating to the Lower Primary departt. of the General Education and Practising branches of the Technical Institute should have been shown separately and not included under Upper Primary departt. of the same School

~~(4) In Statement XVI, Mr J.H. Middlecott who vacated his seat on the District Board in December 1895, and Rev. D. Bakya Nadan who vacated his seat on the Ramnad Taluk Board on 19th September 1895, should not have been numbered but only those ~~ ~~held seats on these boards~~ on ~~31st March 1896.~~

Vide G.O No. 2647 L. d/20-12-1894 e.c

(5) In column 6 of Statement XVI-A the sanctioned number of members for the Dindigul Taluk Board is given as 12 instead of 18.

(6) In columns 3, 4 and 5 of Statement XVI-B the number of official and non official members on the Chinnamanur Panchayat at the commencement of the year is given as 2 and 10 respectively, whereas ~~according to~~ in columns 11 and 12 of Statement XVI A submitted with the Report for 1894-95, they ~~are~~ were shown as 3 and 9 respectively.

(7) In the same statement (XVI B) the reasons for appointing Sub-Registrars, Deputy Tahsildars, Sea Customs Superintendents &c

ces

as Panchayatdars have not been given, as required in foot note (2) (c) ~~attached~~ appended to ~~St.~~ the statement.

(8) In column 8 of Statement XVIII, the percentage of expenditure on Medical Relief to the total receipts is given as 16 instead of 11.

e.c

(9) In para. 4 of the Presidents report the average attendance of the official members on the Taluk Boards is stated to have ranged between 3 in Tirumangalam and .7 in Ramnad instead of between 2.8 in Tirumangalam and .2 in Madura.

e.c

~~Of the officers [illegible] is 2.4~~

~~(10) From 48. it appears that the number of aided Schools at the beginning of the year was 497 whereas in para. 80 of the report for 1894-95. the number at the close of that year appears as 496~~

(10) In para 48, the charges relating to ~~account of~~ hospitals and dispensaries, excluding those on account of the District Surgeon's office establishment, ~~are~~ given as Rs 38,921 instead of Rs 38,915.

e.c

(11) In para. 61 the expenditure on account of endowed institutions up to 31st March 1895 is given as Rs 56,915 instead of Rs 58,915

d

(12). In para 17 of the District medical officer's report 18 medical institutions are stated to have been located in rented buildings, whereas ~~in~~ according to para 48 of the Presidents report and column 3 of Statement XII their number was ~~only~~ 16 ~~are stated to be so located~~.

(13) In para 29 of the same report the income from the sale of medicines is given as Rs 387-6-10, ~~and~~ whereas not

~~Rs 407 according to column~~ in column 10 of Statement I.A it is shown as Rs 407.

The necessary corrections have been made with reference to clauses (1) ~~(2)~~. (5). (8). (9) ~~(10)~~ (11) ~~and (12)~~ above, and the discrepancies noticed in clauses (6), (10), (12) and (13) & the omission pointed out in clause (2) should be explained as soon as possible. The omissions referred to in clauses ~~(2)~~ (3) ~~(4)~~ and (7) should be guarded against in future.

S.R.
7/9/96

18·9·96

To

The President, Dist. Board, S Madras.

Dept 23/9/96

When given for copying
When copied A.U.
When examined M. 1/12
When signed 1/12
} 189 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. DATED
189 ,

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	A	A	A	A	A	A	A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.