R

[L. & M.
9,000-8-8-96.]

Local & Munl. (1896) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 212 M

DATED 6th February 1896

[Despatch Abstract.]

Street.

Concurring in & communicating to the Municipal Council Rajahmundry, the remarks of the Collector of Godavari regarding the extension of the Pinnamgattu ----- in the town.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

MUNICIPAL OFFICE, RAJAHMUNDRY

No 244/ab DATED. 13th September 1895
despd 16th — do — do

From

The Chairman, Municipal Council,
Rajahmundry.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government
Local & Municipal Department
MADRAS.
~~Through the Sub-Collector Godavery.~~

Local & Municipal Dept.
CURRENT No. ....

Sir,

With reference to G.O. No 872 M. Mis dated 22nd May 1895, I have the honor to state that the Council is of opinion (Vide Copy of resolution enclosed) that the sites may be taken up under Section 168 of Act IV of 1884, on payment of reasonable Compensation, as the projections existed before the year 1884 and as they were lawfully made.

2 - The Council have already ~~resolved and~~ fixed Rs 2205 as Compensation to be paid to the several persons (Vide Copies of resolutions enclosed) and have resolved that Government be requested to sanction the allotment for the purpose. I therefore request

that

that you will be pleased to move the Government and obtain the necessary sanction. An application for allotment of funds required, is herewith submitted.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

your most obedient Servant,

Chairman

NR

1.

Application for allotment of Funds required by the Municipal Council of Rajahmundry.

Particular work or purpose.	Major and minor heads in the budget.	Amount provided for the work or purpose in the budget.	Amount subsequently allotted or transferred.	Amount now required, and the source from which it is to be met.	Necessity for the allotment.	Reference to previous correspondence.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
Widening Pinnamgattu Street	Grant I New works Communications.	- - -	- -	2205 from Balance	For widening the street	Resolution 10. 11. 93. Go No 872 / 22. 5. 95. This Office letter No 28. ab / 12. 1. 95 Do Do No 264 / 3-5-95.

Municipal Office,
Rajahmundry.
16th September 1895

Chairman, Municipal Council.

NR

No.——

MUNICIPAL OFFICE
RAJAHMUNDRY.
DATE——

Extract from the Proceedings of the Municipal Council, Rajahmundry dated—— 30-8-95.

READ. papers concerning the land taken up for widening Punnamgattu street and the G.O. $\frac{\text{No. 872 M. Mis}}{\text{22.5.95}}$.

Resolution No. 1463 dated 30.8.95

With reference to G.O. dated 22nd May 1895 No. 872 M. Mis, the Council is of opinion that the sites may be taken up under section 168 on payment of reasonable compensation, as the projections existed before 1884, and as they were lawfully made. The sanction of Government will be obtained for the necessary allotment of funds to be paid as compensation already fixed by the Council.

× × ×

(True Extract)

Chairman

No.——

MUNICIPAL OFFICE

RAJAHMUNDRY.

DATE——

Extract from the Proceedings of the Municipal Council, Rajahmundry dated—— 10 - 11 - 93.

V. READ. the following Miscellaneous petitions.

13. (1311) S. Mangayya.
14. (1312) A. Ratnam.
15 (1316) M. Buchi Ramayya gar.
16. (1317) K. Lakshmayya.

} stating in reply

to notices issued, their objections to the proposed acquisition of their sites to widen the Pinnungattu road.

Resolution.

13. The site must be acquired. An allotment of Rs. 2000 is sanctioned.
14. do do Rs. 50. is sanctioned.
15. do do Rs. 20. is sanctioned.
16. do do Rs. 10. is sanctioned.
16. Latchayya's pials will be acquired as permission was formerly given to him on condition of his giving them up when required without claiming compensation. Dasari Seetaramachendrarow's pials, as per plan will also be acquired. Allotment sanctioned Rs 50 - (True Extract)

Chairman

No.————

MUNICIPAL OFFICE
RAJAHMUNDRY.
DATE————

Extract from the Proceedings of the Municipal Council, Rajahmundry dated———— 17. 11. 94.

READ. Letter No. 48 dated 12th September 1894 from the Overseer, informing that A Ratnam & D. Seetaramachendrarow have Consented to part with their sites for the increased rates of Rs 100 & 75 respectively - instead of Rs 50 each already sanctioned by the Council.

The Council sanctioned payment of the compensation agreed to.

× × ×

(True Extract)

Chairman

N.S.

✓

Rajahmundry Municipality

1895

Local & Municipal Dept.
CURRENT No. 2904 M

N.S.

From
The Chairman
Municipal Council
Rajahmundry.

To
The Chief Secretary to Government
Local & Municipal Department
MADRAS.

~~Through the Sub-Collector Godavery~~.

Dated 13-9-95
Despatched 16-9-95
Received 21 SEP 95

28 OCT 95

No. 244/Gl. Enclosures. 4

Cur. 1516/Eng

DIR.

SUBJECT.

Requesting to obtain the necessary sanction of Govt. for the allotment of Rs 2205 as compensation to be paid for widening Pinnumgattu street.

TO BE RETURNED WITH REPLY.

No 1498

Referred to the Collector of Godavari for remarks

(By order)
W. Francis
Ag Under Secretary

I.R.
9/10/95

Fort St George
2nd Octr/95

To
The Collector of Godavari

---

872 Mis 22-5-95
1600 Mis 22-11-9
315 L 28-2-95
1909 Mis 10-12-

SUB COLLECTOR'S
GODAVARI DISTRICT
Regd. No
RECD. 1 NOV. 95
C No: 4559

SCJ 11/11/95

Ref no 1516/Eng d/7.11. desptd 9/11

Forwarded to the Sub Collector for very early remarks.

2 A copy of G.O No 872 Mis d/22.5.95 should be obtained from the Chairman and submitted with his report.

(Sd) V A Brodie
Ag Collector

Dy for Collector

Ent 8/11

25/9

Godavari Dt. Sub. Collector office
-
Rajahmundry.

Local & Municipal Dept.
CURRENT No. 2413 M

From

W. S. Meyer Esquire
Sub Collector

To

The Collector
Godavari

Sir

In returning herewith your endorsement No: 1516/Eng d/7-11-95 on Government reference (L&M Department) No. 1498, I have the honor to report as follows.

Sites proposed to be taken up		
owner.	Extent as ~~proposed~~ reported by the municipal council	Compensation proposed.
1. S. Mangayya.	86.1 Sqr. yds.	Rs 2000
2. M. Buchi Ramayya	3.59 — "	20
3. A. Ratnam	7.8 — "	100
4. D. Sitaramachendrarow	17 — "	75
5. K. Lakshmayya	4.44 — "	10

2. The Municipal Council request sanction to spend Rs 2205 in taking up certain sites shown in the margin for the enlargement of the Pinnamgattu street, and the question raised by Government is whether these sites are not really encroachments or obstructions which can be dealt with under sec. 168 of the Municipal Act without payment of the

compensation proposed.

3. I have personally inspected the street along with the Tahsildar and the municipal Chairman, and have no doubt that it requires remaking and widening to afford a means of communication for traffic between two of the principal streets of the town - the Main Bazaar street and the Gomalammagudi Road.

4. The sites that it is proposed to take up have been occupied and built on for many years past, and I can find no evidence of their being encroachments which the municipality could deal with, in the way suggested by Government, without paying due compensation therefor.

5. The most important item of those shown in the margin of para 2 is no:(1) for which it is proposed to give Rs 2000, which sum however the owner does not at present agree to accept. This is occupied by five godowns, the walls of which immediately border the street at the point where it is narrowest i.e. its junction with the main bazaar street, and part of which are certainly required. As they will have to be demolished, the whole of the godowns will have to be acquired; but the Council hopes to be able to resell some of the space that they occupy for Rs 600 or so. Four of the Godowns are at present rented (the fifth being empty) at an aggregate amount of Rs 10½ per mensem or Rs 125 per annum. Allowing 1½ Rs a month for the unoccupied godown, the smallest of the five, the compensation proposed will amount to about 14 times the gross rental (Rs 143 per annum) -

6. In the other cases the portions proposed to be taken up are the pyals in front of certain houses and shops, and the only ones that call for remark are nos: 3 & 4. The Council at first offered Rs 50 for each of them, but have raised the proposed payment as the owner would not accept the lesser sum.

7. No: 4 is a mud pyal with some stone steps in the centre. The total space

I find on measurement to be really some 15 sq yards, and of these only 10½ are actually occupied by the pyal. Comparing the figure offered for this with the Rs 20 proposed in the case of no: 2 which is a brick verandah, the price now proposed for no: 4 appears excessive, and the same remark applies to a less extent in the case of no: 3 which, though brick erection, is little more than twice the size of no: 2. It must be said however that no: 2 belongs to a municipal councillor who tells me that he is prepared to cede his property pro-bono publico at less than its market value. Moreover if the owners cannot be brought to terms and if on reference to a Civil Court it were held, as it might very likely be, that these pyals are integral and long standing portions of their houses, the council might find itself under the necessity of taking up the whole of the houses, which they do not want, with reference to sec: 49 Act I of 1894 (India).

8. There is unfortunately no recent plan of the Town, and I have urged upon the

2/

necessity of having a record kept of the width of existing streets in various parts so as to prevent any encroachment in future.

I have etc

(Sd) W. S. Meyer
Sub Collector.

(True copy)

No 89/Eng of 11-1-96.
dpd 17/1

Submitted to the Government of Madras, Local and Municipal Department in reference to its no: 1498 of 24-10-95.

2. Under the circumstances reported by the Sub Collector, the sites proposed to be acquired cannot be dealt with under sec: 168(1) of act IV of 1884.

3. The council will be well-advised to acquire the sites in question under act I of 1894 (India), after previously settling by private arrangement with the owners, the actual extent to be acquired

And

and so far as possible the price to be paid for them.

(sd) W.A. Brodie
Collector

Mozumdar
Dy for collector.

17.1

/

Pr. st 1498/95 R

Revenue (1896) Dept.

Local & Municipal Dept

CURRENT No. 243 M

From

The Collector
Godavari

No: $\frac{89}{mg}$

dated 11th
despd 17
Recd } January 1896.

No 2904 M of 95

CHIEF SECRETARIAT
22 JAN 1896
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Abstract

Submitting his views on the proposed extension of the Pinnamgattu Street, Rajahmundry.

C. Nos. 2904 M. of '95 &
243 M. of '96

C.S.
misc.

Order No. 212 Mis
d 6-2-96

The Collector's remarks, in which the Government concurs, will be communicated to the Municipal Council, Rajahmundry.

V.R.
30/1/96

W.W.S.
3-11-96

To The Chairman, M.C. Rajahmundry,
thro' the Collector of Godavari.

pg 1st, 2nd
80

Despd 8/2/96

(Write order)

27/1 & 29/1

When given for copying ____
When copied ____
When examined ____
When signed ____
} 189 .

READ AGAIN G.O., No. DATED
189 ,

CURRENT NO.

ENCLOSURES TO C. NO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. .. A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

Date taken out.	For what purpose.			Initials of clerk taking the paper or of attender.	Date returned.	Initials of attender.
	Department.	C. No.	Year.			