

INDEXED.
Local & Munl. (1896) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 1957 M.

DATED 13-11-96

[Despatch Abstract.]

Munl. Council

Ordering ^{with remarks} that the election of Mr. P. R. Venkatesalu Nayudu as a member of the Madura — be notified.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Local & Muni. (1896) Department.

CURRENT No. 2983 M

From
The Collector of
Madurai

Order.

no 1957 Muni d/ 3-11-96

13/11/96

The Government Considers
that there are no valid grounds
for cancelling the Election in question. Dated 31st October 1896.
The petition of objection will accordingly be rejected and the name of the successful Candidate published in the Fort Saint George Gazette.
Ref: on Current No. 2171 M.P. of 1896
Received 3 NOV 96
Registered
Enclosures 4
Spare copies

12/11/96

H. M. S. P. R. S. P.

SUBJECT.

Reporting on the objection petition against
the election of MARY P. R. Venkatesulu
Nagudu Ganga as a councillor for the
1st Ward of the Madurai Municipality.

The Collector of Madurai. (with 3 Enclosures)
Gazette. 13/11/96.
17/11/96. 16/11/96

10/11

13/11

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

NOTIFICATION.

UNDER Section 10 of the Madras District Municipalities Act, 1884, the under-mentioned gentlemen have been duly elected Municipal Councillors of the Municipality of Madura :-

M.R.Ry. P. R. Venkatesulu Chayudu Sen.

Fort St. George Gazette
Part I. A.

RR
13/11/96

Ref. on Current No: 2171
M-P 796

From

Madura Collector's Office

Dated 31st October 1896.

CT

2983 M

J. Twigg Esquire
Collector of
Madura

To

The Chief Secretary
to Government,
Local and Municipal Department,
Madras

Sir,

Under rule no: 37 of the Election
Rules, I have the honor to forward letter
no: 45 dated 9th October 1896 from the
Chairman Municipal Council Madura,
and to report as follows:—

2. At an election in the 1st ward held on the
9th October 1896, Mr Dy Vankasesalu kaidu
Garu polled 142 votes and Mr Dy Zulasa-
mania Eyer Anaral 20. The latter put
in a petition of objection, alleging
(a) that the Counterpetitioner was already
a Councillor for 7th ward, and is a clerk of
Mr Fischer, the Chairman
(b) that intimidation was practised
(c) that a fraudulent attempt was made
in respect of voter no: 83 Kuttawa Kuppe

(a) 16

(a) The first objection cannot stand under the present law.

(b) The petitioner's Vakil applied for an adjournment on the ground that his witnesses who are of the silkweaver caste would not come to Court as they were busy making clothes for sale at the approaching Dipavali. I refused the application, and the Vakil then named the petitioner and four others as the witnesses he would examine.

The petitioner says he heard a Councillor say to some of the voters "It will not be safe for you to vote for the Brahmmin. He will not be given ward charge". He also heard the bill Collector who was identifying voters say "Vote for the Naidu" for which he was promptly warned by the polling officer.

The next witness is a sort of agent of the petitioner who attended at the poll. He says he heard the same Councillor say "You must all vote for Rukatesalu Naidu, otherwise I will increase your taxes". This Councillor was by Selvaraj Naidu Gann

Gann is the Councillor in charge of no: 1 ward.

The rest of the witnesses were not examined. Utterances like the above do not appear to amount to intimidation, even if established. No one seems to be affected by them: the ballot gives absolute protection to the voter and there is no suggestion of any thing wrong with the polling officer.

3. Voter no: 83 appeared to vote in the afternoon, and it was found that his vote had already been polled in the morning. As the afternoon voter was the right man his vote was recorded (for the petitioner) and the morning vote was cancelled. The petitioner was somewhat hasty in attributing fraud to the Counterpetitioner in respect of this incident. There was no evidence on the point and a reference to the balloting papers showed that the spurious voter had voted for the petitioner himself.

4. I would therefore submit that the
election may be confirmed.

I have the honor to be
Sir

Your most obedient servant

Wm.
Collector

By
M.D.
31/10

Name of
Municipality.

1

983 mofys

may be confirmed H. D. 1910.

1. Mr. P. A. Buntakala
2. Mr. P. A. Buntakala

521

522

523

524

Chairman.

Current No. 464 of 1896
1896. 12-10-16

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL
DEPARTMENT.

FROM
THE CHAIRMAN,
MUNICIPAL COUNCIL,
MADURA.

No.

ENCLOSURE. nil

Dated } 9th October 1896.
Received }



SUBJECT.

Reporting the result of
election held in the 1st
Ward on the 9th October
1896.

Enclosure No. 1

In a letter

From
The Collector of
Madura

Reference on current No. 2171 of 96
M.P.

Dated 31st October 1896

on given for copying
when copied 14/11
When examined 14/11/11
When signed 14/11 } 1896

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
189 ,

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PRES NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B. --

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.