

Local & Munl. (1896) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 1926 L

DATED 16-10-96

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Petition

Informing petitioner Mr. Subrah-
manyam, contractor of certain ferries,
Kistna dt., that Govt is not prepared
to advise him in the matter of the
opening of a private ferry by certain
paniaks.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[L. & M.]
[500-18-8-20.]

Local & Munl. (1896) Department.

CURRENT No. 2671 L

C. S.
Nesse

Order No 1926 Lm d 16. 10. 96 1926 L

The Government is not prepared to advise the Petitioner.

From M. Subramanyam
Contractor of certain ferries
in the Godavari Dy.
No. Kishan Dr

R. S.
12/10/96

W. S.
12. 10. 96

M. S. W. S.

Dated 19/9

Received 28/9.

Registered 29/9

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Complaining that a hearing
has not been sustained by him
by the opening of a
private ferry by
certain parties having
that order may be passed as to
whether he may file a suit against
the parties.

The Petitioner

12/10/96

A. S.
12/10

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

CHIEF SECRETARY
24 SEP. 1896
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Local & Municipal Dept
CURRENT No. 2671

To the Chief Secretary to Government
of Madras.

The humble Representation of Maddury
Subramanyam a Contractor of Kaldindy and
Kondaugy ferries in Guduvada Taluk,
Krishna District.

Most Respected Sir,

I humbly and Submissively beg to Represent
that the two ferries (Kaldindy and Kondaugy)
were put up to sale and knocked down to me on
the 4th March 1896 for the official year 1896-97.

In accordance with my contract, when I began
working at Kaldindy ferry on the 1st April 1896
the pariahs of Maddhawanygudem, a hamlet
of Kaldindy situated in about $\frac{3}{4}$ mile to the ferry
at Kaldindy, have opened a separate Private ferry
at Maddhawanygudem and have crossed the
passengers, carts, on payment of fee without any
license being obtained.

This course has been adopted in contravention
to the provisions of Act I of 1890. Since the commencement
of my lease, I have addressed on several occasions
the President, District Board, Krishna to the effect
that the pariahs have done contrary to the rules

and Consequently I have undergone much damage. No orders are yet passed by the said president on the subject though a period of Six months has elapsed. I have not opened the ferry.

2. As the said ferry is under the control of the District Board I am unable to act under Section 18 of act II of 1890 of Madras Government against the pariahs who have caused me much loss in the absence of orders from the president.

Under the above circumstances I request that your honour will be pleased to pass orders as to whether I may file a charge against the said pariahs even in the absence of orders from the President District Board, Krishna, against whom I am going to file

a civil suit for the damage occasioned by the absence of final orders on the subject.

For which act of Kindness I shall, as in duty bound, ever pray for your honour's prosperity and Longevity.

Dated 19th September 1907 I beg to remain

Raikalor. Most Respected Sir

Your most obedient and

humble Servant,

M. Subramanyam
Contractor

Mr. J. B. ...
Contractor

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.