L. & M.
4,000—8-8-96.

INDEXED.

Local & Munl. (1896) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 1717 M.

DATED 9-10-96

[Despatch Abstract.]

Petition. Returning to the Collector of North Arcot, 'for report'. — from K. Sundaraswara Aiya late Municipal Councillor Walajapet bring to notice the Conduct of the Chairman in attempting to keep the petitioner out of the Municipal Council.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

's Office,
North Arcot, Collector's

Vacancy in Walajapet Munl. Council.

Lo M Department.

[G. / 25 A.]
1,000—21-3-96.

C. Nos 2580, 2584, & 2603 M

C. S.

FOR ORDERS.

N.

2584
2580 &
Read C. Nos. 2603 M

Order. No. 1717 Muni. d/ 9-10-96

The petition will be returned to the Collector with the request that he will submit a report on the facts therein alleged, as if they are true there appears to be no reason for not reappointing the petitioner as a municipal Councillor

(from C.S. orders)

WWD
5.10.96

7.10.96

P&O

To

The Collector of North Arcot. ✓
(with Encl. no. 1 to C. No. 2603 M)
Despd. 18/10/96

Neither the Hd. Asst: nor the Collector has commented on M. Sundareswara's petition forwarded with the last current. If the ~~facts~~ statements in it are ~~is~~ at all trustworthy, there is no reason to remove the man from the Munl. Council. It is submitted that the Collector may be requested to report on the petition, before orders are passed on the question of filling up the vacancy on the ~~Munl.~~ Council.

WWD
2.10.96

Yes.
3.10.96.

FOR ORDERS.

H. M.

Local & Munl. (1896) Department.

CURRENT No. 2580 M

CNos. 2580, 2584 & 2603 M

From The Collector of
N. Arcot

C.S.
misc.

Order

The appointment recommended by the Collector will be gazetted.

P.R.
29/9/96

No. 1604 / Dis. Eng. of 96.

Dated 13. 9. 96

Received 17 SEP 96

Registered "

Enclosures 3.

Spare copies.

SUBJECT.

Recommending the appointment of Mr. Ry. K. Papi Chettiar avl. as a member of the Walaja Municipal Council in place of Mr. Ry. M. Sundarasa Aiyar ~~whose term of~~ office has ~~expired on 22-8~~-96.

Gazette.

23/9.

a
20/5

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

R'S OFFICE,
NORTH ARCOT, COLLECTOR'S

Enclosure to Letter No. 340
28-7-96

EXTRACT FROM THE MINUTES OF PROCEEDINGS
of the Municipal Council, Wallajapet,
at a Meeting held on 28th January 1896.

1. × × × × ×

The Council wishes to place on record their disapprobation of the tone of M.R.Ry. M. Sundaresa Aiyar's report and of the intolerant and vituperative language it bristles with and the carping spirit it displays, and regrets that he did not take a dispassionate view of the facts of the case as was acknowledged by him when he said that he wrote it in anger. The Council however notes with satisfaction that he now withdraws the objectionable remarks made therein.

× × ×

(Sd) C. Venkatasubbayachi Reddiyar
Chairman

(True Extract.)

Chairman.

Office of the Municipal Council,
WALLAJAPET,
Dated. 28th July 1896

28.7.96

Enclosure to Letter No. 340
28-7-96

EXTRACT FROM THE MINUTES OF PROCEEDINGS
of the Municipal Council, Wallajapet,
at a Meeting held on 14th Sepr. 1895.

18. Read the Sanitary Peon Kuppusami's statement and other papers about failure on the part of M.R.Ry. Sundaresa Aiyar Avl. to get his brick-kiln licensed.

The Council are unanimously of opinion that Mr Sundaresa Aiyar, one of our councillors and sometime a chairman-delegate, had not conducted himself in the manner befitting a councillor in the matter of the brick-kiln opened by him without license admittedly with Municipal limits. He first denied his responsibility and liability and subsequently prevaricated and contradicted his own statements and eventually admitted his liability and responsibility. The Council views with great displeasure and disapprobation his conduct in trying to throw his responsibility on an innocent person by paying the fees for burning the kiln through the latter and in his name without a word of explanation which cannot but be considered as an aggravation of his conduct. He did not take out license for the earth used for the bricks as required in Section 229. The fee for it, if any, may not be collected now considering the length of time that has elapsed. The Council regret that one of their

Office of the Municipal Council,
WALLAJAPET,
Dated..........189

~~Chairman.~~

body

body should have rendered himself liable to a prosecution; but the council would be satisfied with a vote of censure on the present occasion.

× × ×

/Sd/ C. Venkatasubrayalu Reddiyar,
Chairman

[True Extract]

Chairman

CR
28.7.96

Statement Shewing the Constitution of the Walaja Municipality as it Stood on 22-8-96

Maximum Strength	Serial No.	Names of Members	Date of appointment or Election If vacant the word vacant should be entered against each	Official or Non-official	Remarks Date and cause of vacancy
16	1.	The Head assistant Collector	Ex officio Councillor		
	2.	M.R.Ry C. Venkatasubrayalu Reddiyar avl Chairman	2.10.94	official	
	3	„ C. Ramachandra Rau Garu	30.10.94.	Do.	
*	4.	. . . .	Vacant		caused by the Expiry of the term of office of M.R.Ry C. Kothandarama Naidu Garu on 15.8.96
	5	„ W. Vijiaraghava Mudaliar avl	14.7.96	Non official	
	6	Hakkim Badrudin Saib	9.10.94.	Do.	
	7.	M.R.Ry K. Chinnasami Chettiar avl	18.12.94	Do	
	8	„ C. Thiruvengada Mudr avl	9.1.95	Do	
	9	„ P. Vardayya Naidu Garu	Do	Do	
	10	„ P. Alwar Chetti Garu	12.2.95	Do	
	11	„ R.N. Thanthoni Pillai avl	26.3.95	Do	
	12	„ M. Viswanatha Aiyar avl	18.6.95	Do	
	13	„ Pt. Narayanasami Chetti Garu	12.11.95	Do.	
	14.	„ V. Ramasami Chetti Garu	Do.	Do.	
	15	. . . . . .	Vacant		by the Expiry of term of office of M.R.Ry M. Sundaresa Aiyar Avl on 22.8.96
*	16.	- - - - - -	Vacant		by the expiry of term of office of M.R.Ry T. Kuppusami Chettiar avl on 22.8.96

* Proposals for filling up the vacancies of Nos 4 & 16 have been submitted to Government vide my letter No 1460/Repn Cen Eng of 96 dated 3rd Instant.

3
2/58

Cd.

C 25/3

For Collector

NORTH ARCOT, COLLECTOR'S OFFICE,

Chitur 13-9- 1896

No. of 189 .

Dis No 1604 of 96

FROM

S. H. Wynne Esquire, Ecy

COLLECTOR AND DISTRICT MAGISTRATE,
North Arcot,

DESPATCHED.
16 SEP. 96

TO.

THE Chief Secretary to Government
Local and Municipal
Department Madra

SIR,

I have the honor to recom-
mend that MRRy N. Papi Chetiar avergal
be appointed a member of the Wakay
Municipal Council in the place vacate
by MRRy M. Sundaresa Aiyar Avergal, wh
term of office expired on 22nd ultimo. The
nominee has consented to serve on
Council.

2. The conduct of
[illegible]
latter has been twice severely censure
the council in resolutions dated
September 1895 and 28th January 189
which are herewith subd.
tracts of which are herewith subd.
The ex Councillor submitted a petit
the 1st ultimo requesting that Govern
may be asked not to pass orders
case till he places before it in a
days a petition containing full

23/9

regarding his conduct of which objection was taken by the Council. No petition has been received. I do not therefore recommend his reappointment.

3. The usual nominal list of the Council is submitted herewith.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant.

For Collector.

Cnd

NORTH ARCOT COL[illegible]R'S OFFICE,

[L. & M. / 500—18-8-96.]

Local & Munl. (1896) Department.

CURRENT No. 2584 M

Order

From
M. Sundareswara Aiyar,
Late Municipal Councillor,
Walajapet.

No.

Dated 11-9-96
Received 17-9-96
Registered 17-9-96
Enclosures
Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Bringing to notice the conduct of the Chairman of the Municipal Council Walajapet, in attempting to keep the petitioner out of the Municipal Council.

23/9

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Cur	2580	2/96	

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

North Arcot Col r's Office,

CHIEF SECRETARY GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS 15 SEP 1896

T. O. Municipal Dept.
2584 M

To

THE HEAD ASSISTANT COLLECTOR,

NORTH ARCOT.

SIR,

In continuation of my letter to you dated the 1st August, I beg herein to submit, as promised in the above, the following few facts regarding the Municipal affairs of Wallajapet for your kind consideration and for such notice as you may deem fit to take.

The term of office of Councillorship of myself and M. R. Ry. T. Kuppusawmy Chettiar Avergal expired on the 22nd August 1896. I have been informed that the Chairman has recommended the renomination of M. R. Ry. T. Kuppusawmy Chettiar Avergal alone and not of myself, and that he has taken to the notice of Government through you that I am not eligible for re-nomination by reason of my alleged misconduct as a Councillor on two occasions.

Apart from the question of losing the seat in the Council, the slur thrown on my name by the Chairman calls for some action on my part now that the matter is taken up to Government to pass muster though until now, a solicitude to prevent further dissensions in the Council had made me unmindful of what appeared but a trifle. I therefore beg to be excused for troubling you with the following statement of the facts of the case.

The first instance of my alleged misconduct is in connection with a brick kiln with regard to which the Chairman got the Council to pass a vote of censure on me on the 14th September last. The facts of this affair are:—

Just outside the Municipal toll-gate towards Ranipet, there is a tank called Pari Eri belonging to the village of Vannivedu. It has never been known to belong to the Municipality, nor has the Municipality ever exercised any act of ownership on the same. From time immemorial brick and other kilns without number used to be burnt on the *bed* of the tank and no fees were demanded nor the obtaining of any licenses enforced. The year before the last I had some bricks made for my use and burnt them in a kiln among many other kilns of different persons on the bed of the tank. But before the burning was complete I found that they would not be of use to me, and therefore sold the whole kiln in a lot to a professional brick-maker named Varadappa Naicken. Subsequent to the above transaction I happened one day during the tenure of office of Grimley, Esq., as Ex-officio Chairman, to go about these parts on duty to revise the land-tax when I suspected that the tank-bed was within Municipal limits, and that as such, the owners of brick-kiln thereon may be asked to apply for and get a license. This was reported to Mr. Grimley and he ordered accordingly. Whereupon demands were, for the first time since the introduction of the Municipality in this town, issued against the owners of all the brick-kilns threon, and the amounts collected. In the meantime the present Chairman took charge. A demand was in due course, issued against me, along with the others, as many of the Municipal servants knew that I had first started the kiln, but were not aware of its subsequent sale. Whereupon I returned the demand and wrote to the Chairman to say that the brick-kiln in question no longer belonged to me and that the demand should issue against the purchaser Varadappa Naicken.

One Kuppusami the son of the said Varadappa Naicken is a *Maistry in* Municipal Service. He offered to pay the demand amount from his pay for his father and did pay the same accordingly. Sometime after this, a statement from this Kuppusami the Municipal subordinate was sent to me from the Chairman for remarks. In the statement I found that Kuppusami explained how it came about that he paid for the demand issued on my name. He stated that he paid the money not for his father as the purchaser and present owner of the brick-kiln in question, but he paid it for me, as he said he owed me some money being part of the price due to me for the kiln I had sold to his father. I read the statement and not suspecting anything but fair play and straight forwardness, wrote in reply that now that the demand had been satisfied, I did not mind if I was made the licensee. I said that as I thought that the present owner should take out the license on his name I wrote that the demand may be issued on his name; but that if the demand could have retrospective effect I did not mind if the receipt (which I now found had been granted on my name to Kuppusami) was issued on my name.

The matter was then placed some days after, before a meeting on the 14th September and the following vote of censure was passed—*Vide* Enclosure II.

It will be seen from the above that I am charged with an attempt to cheat the Municipality out of its license fees. I have already stated that it was I that was instrumental in bringing to notice that the owners of these kilns should also be asked to take out license. The brick-kiln in question was actually standing on the site.

For about half a dozen kilns that stood there so many demands were issued. If I had any dishonest intention I could simply have completely effectuated my fraud by not bringing the matter to notice. The fact of my having been instrumental in getting these kilns brought under Municipal notice which had until now been escaping attention absolutely negatives the presumption of *mala fide* intention on my part. I simply said that the demand issued against me should be on the name of the purchaser. The man voluntarily paid the money accordingly. But sometime afterwards, a statement was taken not from the man Varadappa Naicken I had named as the purchaser, but from his son who is a Municipal servant.

Why the Chairman did not send for Varadappa Naicken, but caught hold of his immediate subordinate Kuppusamy who had nothing to do with the case, is not very difficult to see through. I am also charged with having tried to implicate an innocent man; Who is this poor innocent man; I wonder to know. I never made any mention of Kuppusamy, I named Varadappa as the purchaser, but he was not called and no one asked him what he had to say in the matter. Kuppusamy was got to give a statement, behind my back, and I was charged with attempting to implicate an innocent man. I need hardly point out that this charge is as vague as it is false and malicious. I am also said to have prevaricated. This again is equally vague. The Chairman's minute is so very meagre and slip-shod. Then ~~again~~ comes the question of fees for taking the earth in making the bricks. Here ~~again~~ I am treated with extreme kindness and leniency by the Council. The minute says that owing to lapse of time no fees need be levied from me. The Chairman is careful in saying "fees if any." No such fees had ever been fixed. None of the other brick makers paid any fees nor were any fees demanded from them.

This sentence is so worded as to give one to understand that such fees had really been collected from the rest and that I alone was excused. To crown all, the Council at a meeting held on the 14th October 1895, refused to grant me copies of the records of my case and (*vide* Enclosure III) the resolution of the Council. If a low servant of the Municipality is fined, dismissed or in any way punished, he applies for and always is given a copy of the Minutes of Proceedings disposing of his case. But even this was denied me.

It may very pertinently be asked what motive the Council had in recording such censures on me. In explaining this I must first remark that the Council has all along been made only the cats paw of, by the Chairman, who I have strong reasons to fear, was prejudiced against me, in consequence of my views on the case of W. Murugesa Mudaliar, a teacher of the Municipal High School, who was first dismissed by the Council during Mr. Grimley's Ex-officio Chairmanship and was restored to office after the present Chairman took charge. I am here constrained to bespeak your kind patience to a brief resume of the facts of this teacher's case which I believe is the corner stone of all this unpleasantness.

The late Sub-Magistrate of this town suspected W. Murugesa Mudaliar the First Assistant of the Middle School to have been the author of a pseudonymons petition sent up against him. He therefore requested permission of the District Magistrate to posecute W. Murugesa Mudaliar for defamation Mr. Stuart the then District Magistrate thought that a prosecution was unnecessary but sent up the papers to the Chairman, Municipal Council, with a recommendation that as it was utterly demoralizing for a teacher to take hold of one of his pupils and get him write a pseudonymous petition, the teacher might be removed unless he vindicated his character.

The then Chairman Mr. D Vadagiri Aiyer thereupon appointed a Committee of 5 Councillors among whom I was one to enquire and report on the matter. The Committee examined the teacher and a few witnesses and sent up the records with their report. The Council at a meeting held on the 25th December 1893, was about to consider this report when the teacher requested them not to do so, but only to give him an opportunity of clearing his character by judicial proceedings. The Council granted his request. The teacher prosecuted a student in whose handwriting the pseudonymous petition had been proved to be, and who had betrayed the teacher as his dictator and author of the petition, for defamation before the Head Assistant Magistrate. The case was dismissed, the Court having found that W. Murugesa Mudaly was the author and the boy had simply spoken the truth. Whereupon the Council at a meeting on the 22nd June 1894, presided over by Mr. Grimley as Ex-officio Chairman dispensed with the teacher's services as he had failed to vindicate his character.

Against the acquittal of the boy by the Head Assistant Magistrate, the teacher appealled to the Sessions Court where the acquittal was upheld. The teacher then filed a Civil Suit for damages in the District Munsiff's Court at Sholingur against the boy. In the meantime the present Chairman took charge in October 1894. At a meeting held on 15th December 1894, the Chairman placed before the Council a notice received a few months back from W. Murugesa Mudaly threatening to sue the Council for wrongful dismissal if he was not restored within one month from the receipt thereof. This was referred to a Committee of three Councillors of whom I was one.

The Committee met once when we found that we could not agree. Mr. Rama Chundra Rao, the Police Inspector, who was one of us suggested that we might send in separate reports. Mr. Rama Chundra Rao accordingly kept the records with him and sent in his report to me in July 1895. In the meantime the teacher's suit in the District Munsiff's Court was dismissed with costs. I sent up my report disagreeing with Mr. Rama Chundra Rao. In my report I had to speak about Mr. Rama Chundra Rao's report and point out where his conclusions were fallacious &c. It was just after I submitted my report that the censure was passed on me in the brick-kiln affair. The two reports came for final disposal in January 1896, and at a meeting held on the 28th January W. Murugesa Mudaly was restored to office, mine being one of two dessenting votes. Here again another minute is recorded against me *to the effect* (*vide* Enclosure I) that I had used objectionable language in my report, which was said to have been written in a carping spirit. I beg to assure you that if you will be pleased to send for and peruse both the reports they will not fail to bring home to your mind the conviction that the minute is unjust and only the outcome of personal malice; during the reading of some records of W. Murugesa Mudaly's case the Chairman observed that there were some words in my report which he considered objectionable. I said I did not know and added that if there were any that might have escaped me, I would gladly withdraw such. On the strength of this the Chairman has thought fit to word a minute which was recorded *after the Council dissolved.* That the minute is not the expression of the opinions of the Councillors present on the day will be seen from a sight of the original of my report in the Municipal Office in the margin of which the Chairman had, a few days at least before that day drafted a minute in the name of the Council in very strong language clearly showing an unshakeable belief and foregone conclusion on the Chairman's part as to the result of the Council's deleberations and the certainty of his minute being quietly adopted by the Council.

Another instance of such consciousness of absolute control over the Council was exhibited quite recently the other day when the Chairman telegraphed for and got Mr. L. A. Govinda Ragava Ayer, B. A., B. L., High Court Vakil in the town and then convened a meeting at a few hour's notice on the morning of the 13th August last ~~1st instant~~ and got a resolution of the Council passed for the retention of the above Vakil to represent "Chairman and Council" at the enquiry to be held the same day by the Head Assistant Collector into the matter of the complaints against the Chairman. I was the only Councillor present that expressed an opinion that the retention of a Pleader and that of such an expensive one as the High Court Vakil in question was unnecessary. If the Chairman had thought that the two minutes, (strictures on me) rendered my retention as Councillor inconsistent with ~~the~~ good Municipal Administration why he did not then and there take it to the notice of Government in view to my removal, I must leave the Chairman himself to explain. The first minute was passed on the 14th September 1895 and the 2nd on the 29th January 1896.

With reference to the brick-kiln affair I beg to add that since the passing of the above minute two Councillors laid themselves liable to prosecution by keeping *dyeing-yards* without license. It was resolved at a meeting of the Council to prosecute them when ~~these~~ their names came along with others who had also ~~simply~~ similarly erred. They were accordingly prosecuted, and during the pendency of their cases before the Sub-Magistrate, the Chairman, of his own accord and in utter disregard of the Council's resolution, first prayed for and obtained a few adjournments, and finally, after the other cases of the same batch had been disposed of, withdrew both these cases. W. Murugesa Mudaly's case was then before the Council pending final disposal and these Councillors' views on the same had not been known as mine had been, as they had yet to vote.

In his report on the Mahazar petition of Thananjayam and others, the Chairman has explained this by saying that such concession must be shown to Councillors, why not such concession was shown me is yet another matter for speculation.

Ths cases of these Councillors, M. R. Ry. Kalappa Chinnasawmy Chetty Garu and Narayanasawmy Chetty Garu were at their best as bad as, if not worse, than mine and Mr. Chinnasawmy Chetty did not take out license even till long after the withdrawal of his case.

Two other Gentlemen of the town Messrs. Gurram Chinniah Chetty and Oothundasawmy Aiyer were reported against for infringement of the law in not having reported the completion of their houses. Both the prosecutions were instituted without the Councils and Chairmans' knowledge. In the case of G. Chinniah Chetty the Chairman got his prosecution ratified at a subsequent meeting when the case was pending, while the other case was withdrawn by the Chairman himself independent of the Council. This latter is not a Councillor to deserve this consideration, though he was once recommended by this Chairman for a Councillorship which was rejected by the Collector.

Ever since my appointment as Councillor six years ago I have been taking very active interest in all affairs Municipal and have been one of the very few who did much in the executive branch of the administration as will be shown by the records of the office. I was for sometime the Chairman-Delegate, having been so chosen by Mr. D. Vadagiri Iyer the late Tahsildar-Chairman. I have been on almost all the Sub-Committees appointed since my advent into the Council. I am one of the only three English knowing Non-official Councillors. I have passed the Matriculation Examination and I have also undergone the full course of study for the F. A. Examination. I belong to a respectable and old family of this town my father having been an influential merchant and land-holder and for over 20 years a Municipal Councillor. I own house and other landed property in the town and adjoining villages. I have been among those Councillors who were regular in attendance and I have attended almost double the number of meetings attended by M. R. Ry. T. Kuppusawmy Chettiar, ~~one~~ whose ~~turn~~ term also expired with mine and who was recommended by the Chairman for renomination. I was also deputed by Mr. Grimley during his Chairmanship to the task of revising house and land-tax. This Chairman appointed me on several occasions on several Committees even after the passing of the above strictures and also referred a number of important matters to me for report. The conduct of all sales and other fiscal transactions of the Chairman have almost invariably been entrusted to me by this Chairman himself even after the passing of the minutes.

In the light of the facts above brought to your notice, I submit, the Chairman's motive for not bringing to your notice, my misconduct and getting me removed from the Council, as soon as it was noticed by the Council in their minute, and for refusing to grant me copies of the records, can be seen through; I learn, however, that the Chairman has, quite recently in his report on the Mahazars animadverted on my case by insinuating, not quite relevently, a few lines against me after the report had passed through the Council.

I can only conclude that this was done only to avoid the ~~portable~~ probable necessity of my being called upon to explain why I should not be removed, which would be obviated by my renomination being prevented in the way he has now attempted to do.

I fear I have already taken up more of your time than I had at first intended to do. I shall therefore conclude my representation though not for want of patent materials to prove the justness of my cause and the vagories of the Chairman.

Thanking you for your kind patience and hoping you will be pleased to forward this to the Collector and Government with such recommendation as your well known love of justice and fair play and your local knowledge of men and affairs may ~~dictate~~. Suggest

I beg to remain,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
M. Sundareswara aiyar
Late Municipal Councillor
Walajapet

Walajapet
11th September 1896

ENCLOSURE No. I.

28th January 1896.

PRESENT.

M. R. Ry. C. Venkatasubrayalu Reddy Garu.
„ C. Ramachundra Rao Garu.
„ W. Vijiyaragava Moodely.
„ R. M. Thanthoni Pillai.
„ S. Coopuswamy Chetty.
„ P. Alwar Chetty.
„ M. Sundaresa Iyer.
„ M. ~~Ramanada~~ Visvanada Ayer.

* * * * * *

The Council wishes to place on record their disapprobation of the tone of M. R. Ry. M. Sunderasa Iyer's report and of the intolerant and vituperative language it bristles with and the carping spirit it displays and regrets that he did not take a dispassionate view of the facts of the case as was acknowledged by him when he said that he wrote it in anger. The Council however notes with satisfaction that he now withdraws the objectionable remarks made therein, the Chairman is requested to put up draft proceedings embodying the discussion fully for adoption.

Meeting closes at 7 P. M.

(Signed) C. VENKATASUBRAYALU REDDIAR,
Chairman.

ENCLOSURE No. II.

14th September 1895.

PRESENT.

M. R. Ry. C. Venkatasubrayalu Reddy Garu, Chairman,
„ C. Ramachendra Rao Garu.
„ C. Kothandarama Naidu Garu.
„ R. M. Thanthoni Pillai Avergal.
„ C. Tiruvengada Mudaliar Avergal.
„ T. Kuppusami Chettiar Avergal.
„ M. Viswanatha Iyer Avergal.
„ M. Sundaresa Iyer Avergal.

Read the Sanitary Peon Kuppusamy's statement and other papers about failure on the part of M. R. Ry. Sundaresa Iyer Avergal to get his brick-kiln licensed.

The Council are unanimously of opinion that Mr. Sundaresa Aiyer, one of our Councillors and sometime a Chairman-Delegate, had not conducted himself in the manner befitting a Councillor in the matter of the brick-kiln opend by him without license admittedly

within Municipal limits. He first denied his responsibility and liability and subsequently prevaricated and contradicted his own statements and eventually admitted his liability and responsibility. The Council views with great displeasure and disapprobation his conduct in trying to throw his responsibility on an innocent person and paying the fees for burning the kiln through the latter and in his name without a word of explanation which cannot but be considered as an aggrevation of his conduct. He did not take out license for the earth used for the bricks as required in section 229. The fee for it, if any, may not be collected now considering the length of time that has elapsed. The Council regret that one of their body should have rendered himself liable to a prosecution; but the Council would be satisfied with a vote of censure on the present occasion.

(Sd.) C. VENKATASUBRAYALU REDDIAR,
Chairman.

ENCLOSURE No. III.

14th October 1895.

PRESENT.

M. R. Ry. C. Venkatasubrayalu Reddy Garu, Chairman.
„ W. Vijiaragava Mudaliar Avergal.
„ C. Teruvengada Mudaliar Avergal.
„ C. Kodandarama Naidu Garu.
„ P. Alwar Chetty Garu.
„ R. M. Thanthoni Pillai Avergal.
„ M. Viswanatha Aiyer Avergal.

Read letter dated 4th October from M. R. Ry. M. Sundaresa Aiyer requesting to be furnished with copies of the papers, Minutes of Proceedings, &c., relating to the vote of censure passed on him with a reminder thereon.

There is no need for granting copies.

(Sd.) C. VENKATASUBRAYALU REDDIAR,
Chairman.

True Extracts
M. Sundaresa Aiyar

From

M. Sundareswara Iyer
late Municipal Councillor
Walajapet

To

The Head Assistant Collector
of North Arcot

Dated 11th September 1896.

Subject

Bringing to notice the Conduct of the Chairman of the Municipal Council Walajapet, in attempting to keep the petitioner out of the Municipal Council,

True copy respectfully submitted to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras Local and Municipal Department Fort St. George, for kind Consideration -

M. Sundareswara Aiyar
late Municipal Councillor
Walajapet
11-9-96

NORTH ARCOT COLLECTOR'S OFFICE,
1896.

Local & Munl. (1896) Department.

CURRENT No. 2613

From The Collector of North Arcot

Order

Dis No. 1638/Genl of 96

Dated 18-9-96

Received 19 SEP 96

Registered

Enclosures 1.

Spare copies.

SUBJECT.

Forwarding to Government the petition presented to the Head Assistant Collector by the ex Councillor of the Walajapet Municipality, M.R.Ry. M. Sundaresvara Aiyar explaining certain circumstances for his not being recommended for reappointment.

5A 1913

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

No. of 189 .

North Arcot, Collector's Office,
Chittur 18th September 1896.

Dis No $\frac{1638}{\text{Recy}}$ of 96

DESPATCHED
18 SEP. 96

Local & Municipal Dept.
North Arcot,
2603

From
S. H. Wynne Esquire,
Collector and District Magistrate,
North Arcot,

To
The Chief Secretary to Government
Local and Municipal
Department Madras.

Sir,

In continuation of my letter Dis No $\frac{1604}{\text{Eng}}$ of 96, dated 13th Instant, I have the honor to forward herewith the petition from Mr. M. Sundareswara Aiyar, ex-councillor of the Walkaja Municipality. It was received from the Head Assistant Collector, with his endorsement thereon, on the 17th Instant.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

18/9
Fr Collector

Compared by Col
Copied by [illegible]

When given for copying
When copied [illegible]-11-10
When examined HS 12/10
When signed 12/10
1896

READ AGAIN G.O., No. DATED
189 ,

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. .. A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.