

Local & Munl. (1896) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 1562 L Mis

DATED 28-8-96

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Establishment

Recording, with remarks, letter from the Sanitary Commissioner, submitting a copy of his proceedings suspending for 6 months M. Viswanatha Mudali 1st Class Dy. Inspector of Vaccination, Trichinopoly Range and reducing him to the 2nd Class.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Proceedings of the Sanitary Commissioner
for Madras dated 18th June 1896 N^o 1015.V.

Read charges by the Inspector of Vaccination and Deputy Sanitary Commissioner against 1st class Deputy Inspector of Vaccination, M. Viswanatha Mudaliy, of the Trichinopoly Range and the Deputy Inspector's reply thereto contained in letter dated 12th March 1896.

The Deputy Inspector's residence without special sanction at a locality other than his head quarters, in view of the explicit direction contained in para 13, Section II, of the Vaccination Code, was a breach of discipline. Similarly, his failure to ascertain, after six months residence within his district, that certain of the Vaccinators lived elsewhere than their recognised head quarters, argues official carelessness. His denial that he was aware that the Vaccinators continued to use pencil note books, instead of entering their cases direct into registers, cannot be accepted, in view of Surgeon Major Mallins's evidence that on his enquiring as to cause of delay of certain books being handed to him, he was informed by the Deputy Inspector himself that it was due to the fact that the Vaccinators were busy "making up their

their registers" - a statement that shows clearly he was aware of the practice. Neither is it possible to admit that he is making a truthful representation when he states that discrepancies between his own verification and that of the vaccinators depended simply upon mistakes in entry. Comparison of his supposed verification with the vaccinators' registers proves that all he attempted to do was to ascertain the total numbers. These totals are correctly given; but when the distribution of items is analysed, it is found that the Deputy Inspector had at all times to draw upon his imagination - if not for successful and unsuccessful primary cases - certainly as to the number of re-vaccinations.

Taking this fact into consideration, it is unnecessary to enter into the Deputy Inspector's claims as to the feasibility of correctly verifying 462 cases a day in villages where the Inspector of Vaccination found it difficult, with the Deputy Inspector's aid, to verify 30.

2. In regard to verification of the nature required by the Vaccination Code, that is, that each case exhibited in the Vaccination registers shall be inspected by the inspecting officer and be initialled (para 20, Section II, repeated in Departmental Circular N^o 3 dated 21st March 1895), there has occurred a complete neglect of duty. Granting that he has proceeded to villages, and has verified cases as he maintains, as a result of his

his conduct in this respect, there exists no evidence to show that the purpose of such inspection has been fulfilled. Of Vaccinator's work which he claims to have inspected - amounting to 2943 cases - a careful examination of the registers shows that not in a single instance has the fact of verification having been accomplished been attested by his signature. In reply to this charge, the Deputy Inspector of Vaccination has pleaded that the system inaugurated by the District Medical and Sanitary Officer precluded his using the registers of the vaccinators. Considering that the Deputy Inspector has 22 years experience in the Department, he must have been aware that, in the event of his receiving orders that he knows would seriously interfere with his work of verification, it was his duty to at once have acquainted the Inspector of Vaccination with the fact officially, as required under para 8, Section II, of the Vaccination Code. But, notwithstanding the influence of this order, it is shown that ultimately all registers are received by the Deputy Inspector; yet, in spite of his supposed laborious inspection of results and the existence of a note book - albeit conveniently maintained by the Deputy Inspector in pencil - in no case has this been actually utilized.

3. It is impossible to conceive that the Deputy Inspector was not aware that such conduct stultified the function he is paid for fulfilling, namely, inspection. Indeed, the following statement of his defence

defence shows that he was fully aware of his duty in this respect.

"[The registers] were received by me only sometime before your honor visited this district and after repeated reminders, and before I could compare them with the nominal lists furnished to me and initial, I had to go out into camp with your honor."

This statement is, however, a deliberate falsehood, as the following Vaccinators registers were in his office, and were supposed to have been verified, on the dates given opposite each:-

Name	Date of receipt by Deputy Inspector.	Date of verification by Deputy Inspector.	Date of inspection by Inspector of Vaccination.
L. Karasappa Rao	13 th April 1895	from 15 th to 22/3/95.	
Parthasarathy Naidu	16 th - do -	" 10 th to 25/3/95.	from 26 th January to
J. V. Govindaswami	5 th June 1895	" 15 to 22/3/95.	14 th February 1896.
Krishnaswami Naidu	24 th - do -	" 9 July to 9/8/95.	

4. First class Deputy Inspector of Vaccination M. Visvamatha Mudali will be suspended from rank, pay and allowances for a period of 6 months dating from 2nd March 1896 and, on rejoining, will be placed at the bottom of the list of 2nd class Deputy Inspectors.

To, M. Visvamatha Mudali,
Dy. Insp. of Vaccination,
Trichinopoly Range.
Thru the District M.C. & S. Office
Copy to President, District Board, Trichinopoly.

[sd] W. G. King
Surgeon Lieut. Col.
Sanitary Commissioner for Madras

[True copy] [Signature]

Surgeon Lieut. Col.
Sanitary Commissioner for Madras

No. 1335
V

OFFICE OF SANITARY COMMISSIONER,
Fort St. George, 5th August 1896.
Recd 6

From

SURGEON LIEUT.-COL. W. G. KING, M.B., C.M., D.P.H.,

SANITARY COMMISSIONER FOR MADRAS,

To

THE

Chief Secretary to Government,
Local & Municipal Department,
Fort Saint George.

Enclosure.

SIR,

With reference to para 2 of G.O. No. 674 L dated 7th April 1896, I have the honor to submit herewith a copy of my Proceedings dealing with the case in question for your information.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

[Signature]

Surgeon Lieut. Col.
Sanitary Commissioner for Madras

Local and Municipal DEPARTMENT.

From CURRENT No. 2174 L

SURN.-LT. Col. W. G. KING, M.B., C.M., D.P.H.,
SANITARY COMMISSIONER FOR MADRAS,

To
THE Chief Secretary
to Government
Local & Municipal

No. 1335
V

Dated 5th
Despatched 6th August 1896.
Received 6 AUG 26

ENCLOSURES. one.

Subject.

Submitting with reference to
para 2 of G.O. No. 674 L dated 7th
April 1896 Copy of Proceedings
dealing with the Case of 1st class
Deputy Inspector of Vaccination
Trichinopoly, for information.

C.S.
misc

1.8
13/8/96

Order No 1562 dated 28/8/96
Recorded with the remark
W.D. that there were appearing
sufficient grounds for
17. D.C. taking criminal proceedings
under Section 177 I.P.C. against
the Deputy Inspector.
2. With reference to the observation
the attention of the Sanitary
Comm. will be invited to the
matters reported in Madras H.
C & R Vol V, appendix to
XVIII. A copy of the book
can be obtained on loan
from the Chief Secretary's Office
at Madras.

10
25/8/96

W.D.
25/8/96

To
The Sanitary Commissioner
Pondicherry, Trichinopoly, Madras

Signed W.G.K.

When given for copying
When copied 8/8/96
When examined 3/9
When signed 3/9 } 189.

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

(with order)

Aug
10/8