

Local & Munl. (1896) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS

G.O., No. 1469 L

DATED 15-8-96

[Despatch Abstract.]

Pension.

Informing the President, District Board, Chingleput, with remark, that the sanction of Government is not necessary for payment of pensionary contribution on behalf of the clerks referred to in his letter.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

CHINGLEPUT DISTRICT BOARD'S OFFICE

Saidapet, 20th July 1896

Ref No. 600 of 96

From

G. S. Sankar Reddy,

President of the District Board,

Chingleput.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government

Local and municipal depart

Madras

When addressing Government (

letter of 15th October 1895 in G O no 2033 of,

dated 28th October 1895 2 and 3) on the

subject of making the services of the local

fund clerks in the district pensionable,

there was an assistant clerk on Rs 10 at

Chingleput whose post was omitted to be

shown in the letter to government since

he was held not to be eligible for

pension under para 4 (2) of G O no

dated 15th March 1890. His pay was

1st April 1896 raised to Rs 15. There

so an assistant clerk on Rs 12 since
sanctioned for the District medical and
sanitary officers office from the
same date. Drawing more than

so they are in superior service and
it was considered that the whole
loss of local fund clerks of such
service was covered by the sanction
granted in the G.O. no 20632 dated
October 1895, L and M; no separate
sanction to Government to declare
them pensionable and to permit them

to contribute was deemed necessary.
The Treasury Deputy collector, however,
objects and requires the specific sanction
of Government on the ground that they
are not specifically included in
the list given in Mr. Mouney's letter in
the G.O. This sanction I have now
to solicit.

There is an assistant clerk in the
alluvial taluk board office whose
is still only Rs 10, but as he is

thus in "inferior service" it has been
presumed in this office that he is
precluded from contributing under
article 434 of the Civil Service Regulations,
notwithstanding the rule in para 4(3)
of G.O. no 6312, dated 15th March 1890
which contemplates pensionary contri-
bution being allowed only for "classes
of servants". I am to inquire if this
presumption is right.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

J. B. B. B.

President, District Board

When given for copying
 When copied
 When examined
 When signed

189

AGAIN G.O., No. , DATED
 189

MENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILLED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
 A = Copy in full.
 B = Not to be copied
 P = Copy abstract only.
 Q = Copy heading only.

189

FROM

THE PRESIDENT OF THE
 DISTRICT BOARD,
 CHINGLEPUT

TO

THE Chief Secretary to
 Government, Local
 and Municipal
 Department

Dated 20th July 1896.
 ENCLOSURE. and

Regn No. 600 496
 SUBJECT.

Requesting to declare
 the post of certain clerks
 pensionable and to permit
 enquiring whether his presumption that a
 clerk on Rs 10 p.m is precluded from con-
 tributing for pension, is correct.

CS *Order No. 1469 Dated 7/15/96*
 The sanction of Government
 is not necessary for payment of
 pensionary contribution on behalf
 of the clerks referred to in para. 1
 of the President's letter
 2. The *Presidential* presumption
 in para. 2 is correct.

V.R. 21/7/96 *W.D. 4.8.96* *D. 13/8/96*

*Note As regards para. 1 of the
 draft plan of 1902 dated 5-3-95.*

To
 The President, Dist

(With order)

27 July