to be realised under this head is Rs 4000 per year. The number of members to form the Panchayet may be fixed at 12.

3. A sketch showing the position of the villages and a draft notification for approval and publication are also enclosed.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedt. servant

Sivasankar
For President

From
The President,
District Board,
Madura.

CURRENT No. 1919 L

To
The Chief Secy. to Govt.,
Local and Municipal
Department,
Madras.

Dated 9th July 1896.
Received 11 JUL. 96

Reference on Current No. 738 of 1896

Enclosures. 1

SUBJECT.

Union. Submitting the information called for in Memorandum No 547/15-5-96 regarding the formation of a — at Kandamik.

(with order)

---

10 B

Cur. Nos. 1251 L & 1919 L

~~Hm~~
PS

Order No. 1435 L dt 13/8/96

~~The Government considers that the village of Velangudi, which is 2½ miles from the Union centre, should be excluded from the proposed Union, as it is not situated at a convenient distance from the Union centre. With this modification~~ Order, the Local Boards' proposals are approved, and the following notification will be published in the Fort Saint George and Madura District Gazettes.

(H.E. draft notification as amended by Govt.)

V.R. 16/7/96

22.7.96

(from orders)

10.8.96

Note: For a precedent please see G.O. No. 2245 L d/ 30-9-92

I consider that in this case the village should be included; the anticipated revenue is considerable and it is close to another village - the new Velangudi - which is to go into the union. 8/8/96

To 8/8/96

The President, District Board, Madura. 18
" Collector of Madura. 12
" Accountant General 7
" Director of Public Inst. 7
" Surgeon General 6
" Sanitary Commissioner 3
" Sanitary Engineer 3
A 16/7 " Superintendent. Govt. Press. 3
16/7 Editors' Ta
Gazette Ma

No.

Reference on Current No. 738 of 1896

MADURA DISTRICT BOARD OFFICE,
9th July 1896

G abstract

From

J. Twigg Esquire,
President, District Board,
Madura.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government,
Local and Municipal Department,
Madras.

Sir,

Adverting to Memorandum of Government No 547 dated 15th May 1896, I have the honor to enclose herewith a statement showing the population and the estimated receipts from house-tax of each of the villages proposed to be constituted into a Union. The Deputy Tahsildar of Tirupatur reports that the rivulet between Kandanúr and Puduvayal is passable at all times except during heavy freshes, and that the channel between Kottaiyúr and Vélangudi is always passable except for a few hours after heavy rain.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For President.

Statement showing the population and the estimated receipts from house-tax of each of the villages proposed to be constituted into a Union by name Kandanúr.

No	Names of villages	Population	Amount of tax leviable		
1	Kandanúr	2635	1132	-	-
2	Pálaiyúr	1494	281	-	.
3	Puduvayal	2509	1349	8	-
4	Sákótai	960	176	8	.
5	Kotaiyúr	1837	597	-	.
6	Alagápuri	817	253	-	.
7	Vélangudi	890	247	-	.
		11142	4036	.	.

or Rs 4000 in round numbers

For President

wp. 1435 L
13/8/96

1/1919/96

No. 1435 L., 13th August 1896.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following papers :—

From J. TWIGG, Esq., President, District Board, Madura, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated 28th April 1896, No. 51.

I have the honour to forward herewith copy of letter of the President of the Sivaganga Taluk Board and of resolutions of the Taluk and District Boards, No. 163, dated 17th August 1895, No. 23, dated 15th February 1896, and No. 239, dated 16th December 1895, and No. 55, dated 20th April 1896, respectively, for constituting the villages of Kandanúr, Pálayúr, Puduváyal, Sákótai, Alagápuri, Kottaiyúr and Vélangudi into a union, and to request that Government will be pleased to sanction the formation of the same.

2. The above villages are in the Sivaganga zemindari, Kandanúr being the most important and central of them, and lie in a straight line with a distance from end to end of about 3½ miles. They possess altogether about 2,300 houses with a population of 11,142 consisting of rich Nattukótai Chetties. The sanitary condition of the villages is said to be any thing but satisfactory, and to effect any improvement in this direction, it is necessary that they should be constituted into a union. Of the seven villages, five possess separate headmen and of the two others, Puduváyal is a hamlet of Sákótai and Alagápuri of Kottaiyúr. House-tax is proposed to be levied in the union at the maximum rates of the schedule and the amount expected to be realised under this head is Rs. 4,000 per year. The number of members to form the panchayat may be fixed at twelve.

3. A sketch showing the position of the villages and a draft notification for approval and publication are also enclosed.

Enclosures.

From the President, Taluk Board, Sivaganga, to the President, District Board, Madura, dated 5th December 1895, No. 59.

In forwarding herewith a copy of the proceedings of the Sivaganga Taluk Board, No. 163, dated 17th August 1895, resolving that a union be formed, consisting of the villages of Kandanúr, Pálayúr, Puduváyal, Sákótai, Alagápuri, Kottaiyúr and Vélangudi, I have the honour to state that these villages lie in almost a straight line running east to west according to plan furnished by the Deputy Tahsildar of Tiruppattúr, the distance from end to end being about 3½ miles. Kandanúr appears to be the most important of the seven villages and is fairly central.

2. Cholera broke out in those villages in February last, and the hospital assistant of Káraikkudi reported the sanitary condition of same to be unsatisfactory. It is reported also that no tanks are conserved in these villages and the water of the uranies is used for bathing and washing as well as for drinking purposes. This has since been confirmed by the Deputy Tahsildar of Tiruppattúr in his No. 278, dated 20th September 1895. He also reports that the streets are in very bad order and that the Chetties, who own large houses on either side of the streets, are encroaching every where; that the approaches to the villages demand immediate attention, and that to carry out the improvements in the condition of the villages a union must be formed.

3. In the year 1886 the taluk board in its resolution No. 33, dated 10th December 1886, under the presidency of Mr. C. H. Mounsey, called its vice-president to lay before it suggestions for forming the Kandanúr village into a union and obtain an expression of the wishes of the villagers themselves and the necessary statistical details; and in resolution No. 13, dated 21st February 1887, resolved that the formation of village unions in Kandanúr and some others may be recommended to Government. For some reason or other these villages were not then made unions, and the taluk board has waited for so long a time to see whether the people would themselves attend to the sanitation of their villages. It is to be expected that at the outset people will protest against the formation of their villages into unions. The chief reasons

which they give against the proposal is that they will have to undergo prosecution for committing nuisance and for narrowing of streets, which, however, are occurrences that should be prevented in the interests of the general public. Holding such views the people are not likely to attend to the improvement of the sanitary condition of their villages. The Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department, in his letter, dated Simla, 24th September 1887, No. 132, observes that "it is only by making use of the village organisation that the sanitary needs of the country can be efficiently attended to." It will not be out of place to mention here that the villagers of Káraikkudi, who complained loudly against the formation of the Káraikkudi union, have of late in a mahazar to the taluk board stated that they now see the immense benefit they derive therefrom.

4. In the year 1887 a number of petitions were submitted to the Madras Government against the formation of village unions under Madras Act V of 1884 and the imposition of house-tax. Government then in its order No. 1115 L., dated 15th June 1887, expressed its wish to proceed in this matter tentatively and with caution; and while recognising the benefits which the organisation of union panchayats throughout the country must confer, to confine the measure at first to more populous villages or groups of villages in which sanitation and improvement are most urgently required. The villages of Kandanúr and others referred to in paragraph 1 *supra* consist of about 2,300 houses with a population of 11,142 as per census of 1891. They form a group of almost contiguous villages, the population being above the limit which has been laid down as the minimum for municipal towns.

5. I therefore request you to be good enough to move Government to sanction the formation of these villages into a union.

6. The statement hereto annexed will show the classification of houses as per schedule attached to Act V of 1884. It is reported that most of the houses are substantially built and that they will come under classes I and II of the schedule.

7. I subjoin below a statement of what will probably be the yearly receipts and expenditure if Kandanúr and the adjoining villages be made a union:—

Receipts.							Expenditure.										Balance.
House-tax.	Pandal fees.	Other fees and fines.	Avenues.	Sundries.	Contribution from taluk fund.	Total.	Improvement of water-supply.	Improvement of village-site.	Scavengering establishment.	Arrangements at fairs and festivals.	Other sanitary outlay.	Lighting charges.	Union office establishment.	Contingencies.	Total.		
RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.	RS.		RS.
4,000	50	10	20	20	..	4,100	500	1,000	2,210	..	20	..	180	50	3,960		140

8. It is proposed to levy full rates of house-tax in the villages as in Káraikkudi as resolved in the taluk board's resolution No. 21, dated 21st March 1887, as the inhabitants are wealthy Nattukótai Chetties who are well able to pay the same and as the cost of the necessary improvements will be high Each of the villages that it is proposed to include in the union is large and a considerable scavengering establishment will be required. A separate tank should be conserved for drinking purposes of the inhabitants in each village. Roads should be made between the villages and the streets levelled and sectioned. It is proposed to entertain a sanitary inspector on Rs. 10 per mensem as in other unions and four sanitary maistries on Rs. 7 each per mensem to supervise the work done by the sweepers. Two night-soil carts, two sewage carts, four rubbish carts, and five hand-carts with fifteen sweepers are allowed for. The office establishment will consist of a clerk on Rs. 10 and a bill collector on Rs. 5 as in other unions.

Proceedings of the Taluk Board, Sivaganga, dated 17th August 1895.

* * * * *

No. 163.—Read Report of the Medical Officer of Káraikkudi stating that the sanitation of Kandanúr and the adjoining villages is very bad; report of the Deputy Tahsildar of Tiruppattúr stating that there seems to be no objection to form these villages into a union; report of the Acting Deputy Tahsildar of Tiruppattúr stating that the people fear the introduction of a union or a sanitary association there; and that they are willing to better the sanitary condition themselves.

Resolution.—Resolved to form a union consisting of the following villages: Kandanúr, Pálayúr, Puduváyal, Sákótai, Alagápuri, Kottaiyúr and Vélangudi. The President, Taluk Board, is requested to submit the necessary proposals to Government for sanction.

Proceedings of the District Board, Madura, dated 16th December 1895.

* * * * *

No. 239.—Read letter from the President of the Sivaganga Taluk Board, No. 59, dated 5th December 1895, submitting proposals for constituting a union at Kandanúr.

Resolution.—Recommended.

Proceedings of the Taluk Board, Sivaganga, dated 15th February 1896.

* * * * *

No. 23.—Read Proceedings of the District Board, dated 16th December 1895, No. 239, recommending the proposal to constitute a union at Kandanúr, and the endorsement of the President of that board thereon requesting to propose the rate of house-tax to be levied in the union.

Resolution.—Full tax might be levied.

Proceedings of the District Board, Madura, dated 20th April 1896.

* * * * *

No. 55.—Read District Board's Resolution, No. 239, dated 16th December 1895, approving of the Sivaganga Taluk Board's proposal to constitute a union at Kandanúr.

Also Sivaganga Taluk Board's Resolution, No. 23, dated 15th February 1896, to the effect that full rates of house-tax may be levied at the union.

Resolution.—Proposal to levy full rates approved.

From the President, District Board, Madura, dated 9th July 1896, Ref. on C. No. 738.

ABSTRACT.—Submitting the information called for by Government in memorandum No. 547, dated 15th May 1896, regarding the formation of a union at Kandanúr.

ORDER—No. 1435 L., dated 13th August 1896.

The Local Boards' proposals are approved, and the following notification will be published in the Fort St. George and Madura District Gazettes:—

NOTIFICATION.

Under sections 6 and 122 of the Madras Local Boards Act V of 1884, His Excellency the Governor in Council is pleased to declare that the villages of Kandanúr, Pálayúr, Puduváyal, Vélangudi, Sákótai, Alagápuri and Kottaiyúr in the Madura district shall be a union for the purposes of the said Act from the date of this notification and that the maximum number of members to be appointed for the panchayat of the said union shall, for the time being, be twelve.

(True Extract.)

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the President, District Board, Madura.
" Collector of Madura.
" Accountant-General.
" Director of Public Instruction.
" Surgeon-General.
" Sanitary Commissioner.
" Sanitary Engineer.
" Superintendent, Government Press.
Editors' Table.

Rxd. C. M. Thumbuswamy.

Govt. of {1896} Madras.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL.

Issued

Recd. Encl.

G.O., No. 1435 L., 13th Aug. 1896.

AUGUST.

Union.

Approving the proposals of the Local Boards to constitute Kandanúr and its adjacent villages into a — and to levy house-tax therein at the maximum rates, and ordering the publication of the necessary notification in the Fort St. George and Madura District Gazettes.

---

[7]

Miscellaneous.

Local and Municipal Dept.

G.O., dated 12-8-96.
No. 1434 L.

INDEXED.

When given for copying.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Government Order

Furnishing the President Dt Bd Kistna with a copy of G.O. No. 10 L d/ 5th January 1892.

[illegible] 17/8

Back Paper, No. of 189

Read again Order of Government, dated 189 , No , Miscellaneous.

Further Paper, No. of 189

Enclosures.

Current No.

Statement with ditto.

Order of Government

Statement with ditto.

Papers not filed up, being meant for despatch in original (not paged).

N.B.—
A — Copy in full
B — Not to be copied
P — Copy abstract only.
Q — Copy heading only.

Copied by
Examined by

L& & Municipal Dept.
From CURRENT No. 2132 L

The President
District Board
Kistna

To
The Chief Secretary to Government
Local and Municipal Department
Madras.

Dated 22nd July
despatched 28th do } 1896

Received 3 AUG. 96

Ref one No $\frac{1509}{DBS}$ of 96

Enclosures– nil.

Subject.

Requesting that the Govern-
the ill be pleased to ask
ment wintendent of Govern-
the Supers, Madras to send
ment Prespy of G.O. no 10 L
a spare C 92
dated 5-1

(with order)

N/Mis Order No. 1434 Lmis dated 12/8/96

The Government order referred to by the President not having been printed, a manuscript copy will be furnished ~~to him~~

V.R.
6/8/96

6-8-96

To
The President, District Board, Kistna,
with copy of G.O. No 10 L dated 5-1-9
L&D
Desp. 17/8/96

No.

Kistna District Board's Office,
Camp Masulipatam
Dated the 22nd July 1896.

From M.R.Ry C. Krishnaswami Nayudu Garu,
Head Quarters Dy Collector
for President, District Board
Kistna.

To
The Chief Secretary to Government,
Local and Municipal Department,
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to request that Government will be pleased to ask the Superintendent of Government Press, Madra to send a spare copy of G. O. N dated 5th January 1892.

I have the h
Sir
Your Most O

[illegible]
For Pres

DESPATCHED

Su
24/7

Miscellaneous.

Local and Municipal Dept.
G.O., dated 12-8-96
No. 1433 L.

INDEXED.

When given for copying.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Works.

Referring to the Presidents of certain district boards for verification and report, statement showing the amounts of estimates L 7 — scrutinized by ment wo. W.D. for the quarter the superiy 30th June 1896.

- ment Pre
a spare C
dated 5-

[illegible] orders for Ackg 17/8

6

Read again Order of Government, dated
189 , No. , Miscellaneous.

Back Paper, No. of 189

Further Paper, No. of 189

Enclosures.

Current No.

Statement with ditto.

Order of Government

Statement with ditto.

Papers not filed up, being meant for despatch in original (not paged).

N.B.—

A — Copy in full
B — Not to be copied
P — Copy abstract only
Q — Copy heading only

Copied by

Examined by

No.

Order.

The statement will be refe
to the President of the District Bo
[illegible] for consideration and early
report with reference to G.O. No. 17
dated 29th April 1897, received
No. 136 L, dated 15th January 1897

ments to
ttee Super
ment Pre
spare C
ated 5-

[9]

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Local & Municipal Dept.
CURRENT No. 2105 L

From

P. W. D

No. 2938 C

Dated 28/7
Received 30/7
Enclosures 1

SUBJECT.

Forwg: with remarks Statt Showing the amounts of estimates for L-F Works Scrutinized by the P. W. D for the Qr ending 30-6-96.

(with order)

N
Mis

Order No 1433 L mis d/ 12/

The statement will be referr to the Presidents of the District Boa concerned for verification and early report, with reference to G.O No 1137 W, dated 29th April 1889, recorded in G.O No 1360 L, dated 15th June 1889.

V.R
7/8/96

10. 8. 96

pto

To
The Presidents of the District Boards of North Arcot, Godavari, Kistna, Madura, Malabar and Nilgiris, with extracts from the statement.
Despd. 17/8/96

Recd 4-5d

Ab/8
1/5

Statt dispatched with
SO 2221 L/96 on 5/11/

[7]

Miscellaneous.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPT.
G.O. DATED 11. 8. 96
No. [illegible]30 L

INDEXED.

When given for copying.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Card

Recording explanation of the Collector of Madura for the delay in submitting the report called for in Govt. andum No 160 d/ 7. 3. 94

L. ading the piece of — uired for a latrine in Nattam Union.

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED
189 , No. , MISCELLANEOUS.

BACK PAPER, NO.
OF 189

FURTHER PAPER, NO.
OF 189

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT NO.

ATEMENT WITH DITTO.

OF GOVERNMENT

ENT WITH DITTO.

OT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
ORIGINAL (not paged).

A = Copy in full
B = Not to be copied
P = Copy abstract only
Q = Copy heading only

Copied by

Examined by

Dsbn 2551 of 96

Madura Collector's office
28th July 1896

CURRENT No. 2116 7

From

J. Twigg Esquire,
Collector of
Madura.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government,
Local and Municipal Department,
Madras.

Sir,

With reference to Government Order no. 1280 mis. L, dated 16th July 1896; calling for explanation as to the delay of more than two years in furnishing the report, I have the honour to submit as follows:—

2. The Government Order referred to was received in this office on the 14th March 1894, and on the 24th idem, the President Taluk Board was asked to furnish the information as to why so large an extent of land as 1 acre 34 cents was required for a latrine in the village. The reply of the Chairman of the Natham Union was received through the usual channels of communication, on the 26th April 1894, explaining that it was proposed to adopt the system of trench latrines, recommended by Surgeon Major. W. G. King M. B., C. M., D. P. H., . Further question was asked by Mr. Miller, and this fact was reported to Government in Ronb. no. 95, dated 14th June 1894. The further information called for was receiv

and with it then came another proposal to acquire an additional extent of 20 cents of land for a pathway. Draft declaration was called for, and when this was received there was no information as to whether the owner was consulted. The owner was in Madura, and his statement objecting to the proposal was secured only in February 1895. The Deputy Collector, Melur Division, who forwarded the statement remarked, that this site seemed objectionable and he would report on it finally after personal inspection. This took some time. The Deputy Collector finally reported that the site was objectionable, and he had to select another. Then the District Medical and Sanitary Officer was consulted in November 1895. After personal inspection, this officer condemned the sites proposed for both the latrine and the pathway, and this was brought to the notice of this office at the close of April 1896 by the Deputy Collector Melur Division who promised to select another site and report.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient servant,

Collector

Local & Municipal Dept.
CURRENT No. 2116 L

From

The Collector of
Madura

Dated 28th }
Received 1 AUG. 96 } July 1896.

Dis. 2550 of 96

Encls: nil

30-7-96.

Subject

Submitting explanation for the delay in furnishing the report called for in Government Memorandum No. 160 dated 7th March 1894

N / Min: Order No. 1430 Local 11/8/96

Recorded.

A 578

Miscellaneous.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPT.
G.O., DATED 11-8-96
No. 1429-L.

INDEXED.

When given for copying.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Preliminary Account of Provincial Services.

Recording G.O. Financial reviewing the — for 1895-96