[L. & M.
3.000—19-11-96.]

Local & Munl. (1896) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 1335 L

DATED 23rd July 1896

[Despatch Abstract.]

Establishment.

Declining with remarks, to modify paragraph 4 (5) of G.O.No.390 L dated 27" February 1896 reviewing the Kistna Local Fund Budget for 1896-97.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

---

[7]

Miscellaneous.

Local and Municipal Dept.

G.O., date 23-7-96.

No. 1335 L

INDEXED.

When given for copying.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Establishment

Declining, with remarks, to modify para. 4(5) of G.O. No. 390 L d/27-2-96 reviewing the Kistna L. F. budget for 1896-97.

✓

[illegible]

COLLECTOR'S O
MASULIPA
21 JUN. 96

Form No. 4.

Statement of Proposition for Revision of Establishment.

Order sanctioning present Establishment. Government of Department. No.	Order sanctioning present Establishment. Government of Department. Date.	Office to which the proposition refers.	NATURE OF CHARGE. Present Scale. No.	Present Scale. Designation.	Present Scale. Pay Minimum. RS. A. P.	Present Scale. Pay Maximum. RS. A. P.	Proposed Scale. No.	Proposed Scale. Designation.	Proposed Scale. Pay. Minimum. RS. A. P.	Proposed Scale. Pay. Maximum. RS. A. P.	PROPOSITION Permanent. Increase per month. Amount. RS. A. P.	Permanent. Decrease per month. Amount. RS. A. P.	Temporary. Increase per month. Period.	Temporary. Increase per month. Amount. RS. A. P.	Temporary. Decrease per month. Period.	Temporary. Decrease per month. Amount. RS. A. P.	Grounds of Proposition.	Local Board's recommendation.	ORDERS OF THE GOVERNMENT. Department. Remarks.	Local and Municipal Department. Remarks.	Local and Municipal Department. Orders.
G. O. no 390L	27th February 1896.	Guntur Taluq Board Office	1	Head Clerk	-	30 - -	1	Head Clerk	-	30 - -							Vide Taluq Board President's letter Rl. no 2209/G.T.B dated 13-4-96.	Taluq Board Resolution no 47/15-6-96.			
			2	Second Clerk	-	25 - -	2	Second Clerk	-	25 - -											
			3	Third Clerk	-	15 - -	3	Third Clerk	-	15 - -											
				Peon	-	7 - -	4	Fourth Clerk	-	15 - -											
							5	Fifth Clerk	-	12 - -											
								1st peon	-	7 - -											
								2nd Peon	-	7 - -											
				Total		77 - -			-	111 - -	34 - -	-	-	-	-	-					

Guntur Taluq Board office
dated 17th June 1896

No.
The entries in the Column of "Present Scale" ha been compared with the Registers of this office, and are correct with the exception of the ite altered in red ink.

Treasury Deputy Collector.

Local
udit Clerk.

For

19-6
for President
Guntur Taluq B

Copy of letter Ref on C no $\frac{2209}{G.T.B}$ of 13-4-96 from the President Taluq Board Guntur to the President District Board Kistna.

I have the honour to acknowledge receipt of your Vice President's letter Ref on C no $\frac{536\ D.B}{3-4-96}$ calling upon me to reconsider the nomination to the posts in the new Guntur Taluq Board office contained in my Ref on C no $\frac{2209}{R.T.B}$ dated 19-3-96.

(2) Before doing so, I beg to express the opinion that the scale of Establishment, sanctioned for the office by Government in its order No 1896 L dated 27-2-96, will be entirely inadequate to cope with the Local Fund work of the four Taluqs of this Division. While the amalgamation of the two Boards will facilitate, and probably improve, administration, it will not effect any material reduction in the amount of work to be got through by my office. Though only one register will have to be kept now where two were kept formerly, yet, the number of entries in the new will be equal to those in the two old ones. And the business to be got through in regard to schools, hospitals, roads, Sanitation and Vaccination will be equal in amount to that formerly performed

(3) As a reason for reduction, it may perhaps have been thought that the former Establishments were not sufficiently worked. Such was not my experience during the six months that I was in charge of the Division last year, and I feel sure, Sir, that it cannot be yours either. I enclose two statements showing the work of the two Taluq Board offices during the past three years, which will I think serve to dispel any idea that the former establishments had not enough work and also prove that the work of the new Taluq Board will be more than three Clerks can manage.

(4) I sincerely hope that you will see fit to recommend to Government the retention of the existing Establishment of Clerks, as otherwise I cannot guarantee smooth working and prompt disposal of business. I need not point out that such recommendation, if made at all, should be made at once, before the present Establishments are broken up, and the lower Clerks, who are acquainted with the work, are gone elsewhere.

(5) Under present orders, of course, Dakshinamurty will go to your office and the services of the Rs 12 Clerk Y. Venkata Kristnayya and of the Junior peon Mahomed Ummar will have to be dispensed with. Mr Shipley in his letter Dis No $\frac{720}{G.T.B}$ of 23-11-95 concerning the budget, explained the necessity for having two peons in the office. I concur in his remarks and recommend that the two peons appointments may be sanctioned.

(6) In regard to the petition of R. Sreeramulu, late Head Clerk of the Guntur Taluq Board praying for the Head Clerk's post in the new arrangement, I enclose the Comparative statements of the services of R. Sreeramulu and I. Nagabhushanam called for by you in the last para of the letter under reference. The statements have been prepared by the Clerks themselves, and they have attached copies of their testimonials. Sreeramulu is the senior in service while Nagabhushanam has better examination qualifications. They both gave me satisfaction in the manner in which they performed their duties, when I was in the Division last year and either is fitted to hold the new post. Under the circumstances, I think that ~~seniority~~ Seniority must decide the question, and that Sreeramulu should be appointed.

(7) Under Section 478 of the Civil Service Regulations, three months notice of abolition of a post should be given to the incumbent. I am therefore retaining the services of the former Repalli and Guntur Taluq Board Establishment and shall continue to do so until the expiry of three months from the 8th instant, the date of receipt of your letter under reference communicating the orders of Government or until the receipt of orders to the contrary in the meantime.

I have the honor to be &c

(Signed) H. W. Gillman

President

For President

SM
25/6

25/6/96

Proceedings of the Guntur Taluq Board passed at a Meeting held at Guntur on Monday the 15th June 1896.

Present

Officials

H. W. Gillman Esquire President.

M. R. Ry. P. Narasimha Rao pantulu garu Tahsildar Satterapalli

" A. Lakshmana Rao pantulu garu, Do Bapatla.

" S. Vijia Saradhi Ayangar Averghal, Stationary Sub Magistrate Guntur.

" M. Venkayya pantulu garu, Sub Assistant Inspector of schools, Bapatla Range.

Non-Officials

" P. Venkata Kristnayya naidu garu, Chairman and Assistant, College Guntur.

" G. Chandrayya garu, Bandarupalli

" Jumukhan Sahib, Pallekoona.

Revd. L. L. Uhl

Read amalgamated Guntur Taluq Board resolution No $\frac{3}{20-11-95}$ resolving to retain the following establishment.

Head Clerk on Rs 35
Second Clerk on Rs 25
Two Clerks on Rs 15 each
One " on Rs 12
Two peons on Rs 7 each
Rs 116

Read Budget for 1896-97 bearing G. O. No $\frac{390 L}{27-2-96}$ Sanctioning only 3 Clerks and one peon on the following scale.

Head Clerk on Rs 30; 2nd Clerk on Rs 25; 3rd Clerk on Rs 15

Read letter C. No 2209/13-4-96 of the President, Guntur Taluq Board, to the District Board President, requesting that the Government may be addressed for the retention of 5 Clerks and 2 peons.

Read endorsement Dis No 734/D.B of 96 requesting Taluq Board President to send a resolution of the Taluq Board regarding the retention of the additional establishment of the Taluq Board office.

Resolution No 47/15-6-96

The Board entirely concurs in the representation made by the President, Taluq Board, in his letter R.C. No 2209/13-4-96 and is convinced that the present establishment of 5 Clerks will be barely sufficient to dispose of the work.

The Board believes that Government withheld its sanction to the original proposals of the President, SM 25/6 Taluq Board, because these were not supported by the President District Board, in his budget report (Vide Para 41 (2+) (a)).

The Board trusts that the President District Board, will forward the present proposals to Government and strongly advocate their sanction.

(Signed) H. W. Gillman
President

Endorsement

Communicated to the President, District Board, Kistna for favor of necessary action with reference to his office Dis No 734/D.B of 96, and reference C. No 1002/15-5-96. Copy of the amalgamated Taluq Board Resolution No 3/20-11-95 and a proposition statement are herein enclosed. The Taluq Board establishment charges, even as proposed by the amalgamated Taluq Board, are very low when compared with the receipts and office establishment charges of other Boards, and do not come to one per cent of the receipts. They amount to only 1 per cent on total charges of the Taluq Board.

(2) The whole establishment will be retained, pending the approval and sanction of Government. Otherwise, the work cannot be carried on. The Government may, if necessary, be wired to cancell the orders already passed, and to sanction the proposition Statement.

(Signed) S. Vijia Saradhi
for President
Guntur Taluq Board

Reference 1002/DB of 96

Local & Munic

(True Copy)

1819 L

Forwarded to Government, Local and Municipal Department, Madras, with reference to para 4(5) of G. O. No 390 L dated 27th February 1896- Budget for 1896-97.

2. It is requested that Government will be pleased to sanction the proposed establishment for the amalgamated Guntur Taluq Board's office as the sanctioned Establishment cannot cope with the work.

3. A copy of the Taluq Board President's letter No $\frac{2209}{13\text{-}4\text{-}96}$ and the usual proposition statement are enclosed.

Kistna District
Board's Office
Masulipatam

for President

25/6

25/6/96

DESPATCHED
26 JUN 96

29 JUN 96

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Local & Municipal Dept.
No. 1819 L

From

The Presd. D.Bd. Kistna

No. 1002

Dated 25/6

Received 29/6.

Enclosures. 2

SUBJECT.

~~Stating~~ Requesting sanction of that Govt ~~will~~ may be pleased to sanction for the proposed establishment for the Amalgamated Guntur Dy Bd. office as the ~~Sanctd~~ establishment sanctioned in the Budget cannot cope with the work

---

(Form No. 66.) **SOUTH INDIAN RAILWAY.**

Class. XP

Local No.

To (Station) Madras Saidapet

From (Station) Masulipatam

No.	Words.	Day.	Hour.	Minute.	Official Instructions.
	32	17	11	36	urgent

To (Person) Chief Secretary Govt Local and Municipal Department Brahmin Street

From (Person) President District Board Kistna

Pray wire reply reference 1002 June 26 recommending Guntur board additional establishment

× C No. 1819 L/96 sent to-day on the 4th

RECD. FROM L. L.
AT Hrs. Mts., on the
BY

SENT TO L. L.
AT Hrs. Mts., on the
BY

Date 17-7-96

Signaller in charge.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Local [illegible] Dept.
C
1979 L

From

The Presdt. Dt. Bd.
Kistna.

E

No.

Dated 17/7

Received 18/7

Enclosures

SUBJECT.

Reg. reply by wire to his letter No. 1002 of 26-6-96. reg. the addl. establishment for the Guntur Tq. Bd.

NOTE FOR THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY.

(~~Not for Record.~~)

Cur. No. 1979 L

This may be read with current number 1819 L sent up to Coty on the 4th instant and the order thereon communicated to the President by wire from that place.

V. R.
18/7/96

C. S.

A draft for orders was sent up to C. S. two or three days ago. The estt: was not sanctioned. The following wire may be sent, (Deferred)

Additional establishment not sanctioned – order follows

W.S.
20/7/96

21/7

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Main G.O., dated 189 , No.

Current No. 1819 L of 1896, dated 25th June 1896 from the President, Dt. Board Kistna

Current No. of 189 , dated from the

FOR ORDERS.

In the current year's budget an establishment consisting of 5 clerks and 2 peons costing Rs. 116 was proposed for the office of the new Guntur Taluk Board, but the Government was not satisfied as to the necessity for such a costly establishment and sanctioned only 3 clerks and 1 peon costing Rs. 77. Vide para. 4(5) of G. O. No. 390 L dated 27th February 1896. In the current read above sanction is requested for the entertainment of 5 clerks and 2 peons costing Rs. 111. It will be observed that in the other Taluk Boards in the District the cost of the office establishment ranges from Rs. 46 to Rs. 57, 2 clerks and 1 peon being allowed to the Masulipatam and Vinukonda Taluk Boards and 3 clerks and 1 peon to the Bezwada Taluk Board and in the District of Godavari which is one of the heaviest Districts in the Presidency the Taluk Boards office

establ

establishments consist of only 3 clerks ~~and~~ 1 attender and 1 peon costing between Rs. ~~60~~ 65 and Rs. ~~60~~ 69 vide G.O. No. ~~1507~~ 483 L dated ~~10th July 1893~~ 13th March 1895. As no satisfactory grounds have been shown for the proposed establishment it is submitted that the following order may be issued:—

Order

The Government sees no reason to modify para. 4(5) of its order No. 390 L dated 27th February 1896, until experience has proved that the sanctioned Estt. is inadequate—

W.W.D.
7.7.96

14/7/96

Also add a comparison as in the note with other Taluk Bds.

16/7/96

Order: No. 1335 Local 23/7/96

The Govt. sees no reason to modify para. 4(5) of its order No. 390 L of 27.2.96 [until experience has proved that the sanctioned establishment is inadequate].

2. It is observed that the cost of the office establishment of the other Tk. Bds. in the district varies from Rs 46 to Rs 57, two clerks & one peon being allowed to each of the Masulipatam & Nandigama Tk. bds., & three clerks & one peon to the Bezwada Tk. bd. ~~while~~ other in the Godavari Dt., one of the heaviest local fund districts in the Presidency, each of the Tk. bds.' establishments consists of only three clerks ~~&~~ one attender and one peon ~~[illegible]~~ costing from Rs ~~58~~ 65 to Rs ~~60~~ 69, while the Estt. already sanctioned for the Guntur Tk. bd. consists of three clerks & one peon costing Rs 77.

(for orders)

W.W.D.
17.7.96

21/7/96

To
The President, Dt. Board,
Kistna.

P.T.O.

Despd. 28/7/96

Revision of establishment of the Guntur Taluk Board's office

(Circ 1819 K)

~~Joint~~

~~FOR~~ ORDERS.

~~K.M.~~

C.S.

1335 ~~K~~

When given for copying }
When copied } 189
When examined }
When signed }

READ AGAIN G.O., No. DATED 189

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. .. A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only