[L. & M.
8.000—19-11-96.]

Local & Munl. (1896) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 1333 L

DATED 23rd july 1896

[Despatch Abstract.]

Nandyal Drainage scheme.

Stating with remarks that the District Board Kurnool must provide the necessary funds if it wishes to carry out the ----.

BACK PAPER, No. of 189 .

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED 189 , No. , MISCELLANEOUS.

FURTHER PAPER, No. of 189 .

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

N.B.—
A = Copy in full
B = Not to be copied
P = Copy abstract only
Q = Copy heading only

Copied by L.A.

Examined by

Statement showing the wet and dry value of the survey numbers in the ditch round [illegible], and some other survey numbers

Numbers	Extent		Inam Govt or Poramboke	Wet value	Dry value
1	2		3	4	5
√848	3	95	Govt	400 Rupees an acre	50 Rupees an acre
849	3	15	– do –	– do –	– do –
√859	2	86	– do –	– do –	– do –
√860	1	35	– do –	– do –	– do –
√865	–	52	– do –	– do –	– do –
√866	2	55	– do –	– do –	– do –
√868	–	59	– do –	– do –	– do –
√869 A	1	8	– do –	– do –	– do –
√869 B	1	82	– do –	– do –	– do –
√869 C	–	74	– do –	– do –	– do –
874	–	78	– do –	– do –	– do –
√875	2	21	– do –	350 Rupees – do	– do –
√832	–	46	Poramboke	Poramboke	Poramboke
√835 A	1	6	Govt	200 Rs an acre	50 Rs an acre
√837 A	–	90	– do –	– do –	– do –
√814	2	13	Poramboke	Poramboke	Poramboke
√829	2	67	Inam	350 Rs an acre	50 Rs an acre
√864	1	24	– do –	400 Rs an acre	– do –
√834	1	7	– do –	– do –	– do –
√839	1	97	– do –	– do –	– do –
√1906	1	92	– do –	– do –	– do –
	35	2			
Poramboke	2	59			
Govt	23	56			
Inam enfranchised	6	20			
Inam unenfranchised	2	67	Free		

Assessment as per Sett: Register 78-10-6.

Assessment as per Adangal 72-9-1
Water rate 188-4-0

Dry quit-rent	Wet quit-rent
16-7-0	21-11-3

Total amount of compensation to be paid	11056-8-0
Water rate to be remitted	188-4-0
Wet quit rent to be remitted	21-11-3
Total	11266-7-3

(True copy)

(Signed) V. Venugopaul Chetty
Ag Dep: Asst Collr

Collector

18.6 18.6.6

From

C. M. Mullaly Esqr

Collector of

Kurnool

Enclosure No. I to letter

Tour Dis No 6/Eng d. 18. 6. 96.

Subject

Copy of a statement showing the wet and dry value of the survey numbers in the ditch round Chandyal and some other survey numbers.

1745 L.R.6

Statement showing the wet and garden value of the survey numbers in the ditch around Chandgal + some other survey numbers

Survey Number	Extent A.	Extent C.	Wet value per acre Rs	Garden value per acre Rs	Difference		Remarks.
			Garden Government				
848	3	95	400	200.	790	-	
849	3	15	- Do -	- Do -	630	-	
859	2	86	- Do -	- Do -	572		
860	1	35	- Do -	- Do -	270	-	
865		52	- Do -	- Do -	104	-	
866	2	55	- Do -	- Do -	510	-	
868		59	- Do -	- Do -	118	-	
869A	1	8	- Do -	- Do -	216	-	
869B.	1	82	- Do -	- Do -	364	-	
869C.		74	- Do -	- Do -	148	-	
874		78	- Do -	- Do -	156	-	
875	2	21	350	- Do -	331	8	
835A	1	6	200	100	106	-	
837A		90	200	100	90	-	
	23	56	-	-	4405	8	
			Inam unenfranchised				
829	2	67	350	200	400	8	
			Inam Enfranchised				
864	1	24	400	200	248	-	
834	1	7	- Do -	- Do -	214		
839	1	97	- Do -	- Do -	394		
1906	1	92	- Do -	- Do -	384		
	6	20			1240		
	32	43			6046		
Poromboke	2	59			-	-	
Grand Total	35	2			6046	-	

(Signed) V. Venugopaul Chetty
Ag: Hd Asst Collr
8. 5. 96.

(True copy)

Camp Dhone } J. M. for Collector.
18. 6. 96.

From

C. M. Mullaly Esqr
Collector of
Kurnool.

Enclosure No. II to letter
Tour dis No. 6/Eng dt. 18.6.96.

Subject

Copy of the statement showing the wet and garden value of the survey numbers in the ditch around Nandyal and some other survey numbers

———

2/1745 L/96

Tour disposal No. 6/Eng of 96

ENCLOSURES. 2.

KURNOOL COLLECTOR'S OFFICE,
KURNOOL,
Dated 18th June 1896.
Camp: Dhone

FROM
C. M. Mullaly Esqr
COLLECTOR OF KURNOOL,

Local & Municipal Dept.
CURRENT No. 1745 L

TO
THE Chief SECRETARY TO Government
Local and Municipal Dept
Madras.

SIR,

With reference to Government order No. 1669 L. Mis dated 12th September 1895, I have the honor to report that the amount of compensation that will have to be paid for the stoppage of wet cultivation on the lands in the fort ditch at Nandyal varies as follows, according to the class of cultivation that will be subsequently permitted.

2. If dry cultivation is to be allowed, the compensation will be the difference between the wet and the dry values of the lands, and the amount comes to Rupees 10714—8—. A copy of the Head Assistant Collector's valuation statement is enclosed, in which the figure shown is Rupees 11056—8—, but this is evidently a mistake.

3. The Head Assistant Collector has furnished another valuation statement based on the garden value of the lands which he has calculated as half the wet value. A copy of it also is enclosed, from which it will be seen that the compensation, if calculated on the difference between the garden and wet values, comes to Rupees 6046. I do not consider that the Head Assistant Collector has rightly estimated the value of garden crops. As a matter of fact, garden crops such as, tobacco, onions, chillies &c are generally considered to be quite as valuable as wet ones if not more so. Mr. Crole when reporting as to the compensation which should be paid in consequence of the prohibition of wet cultivation in Kurnool Municipality stated:-

G. O. 1132/22 5-79 Rev.

Government order under reply, I beg respectfully to invite their attention to Government order No. 1132 Revenue dated 22nd May 1879 wherein Government decided that the question of the prohibition of wet cultivation within specified limits, at Kurnool, as injurious to health, should be left to the Magisterial and Municipal authorities to deal with. Subsequent to this at a meeting held on the 10th December 1880, the Municipal Council resolved to prohibit paddy or other wet crops within Municipal limits. On a reference to Government, it was ordered in Government order No. 801 dated 19th November 1881, that

the action of the Municipal Council did not call for the interference of Government. Suits were brought against the Council and finally the matter went up to the High Court on 2nd appeal when their Lordships in confirming the decision of the Lower Courts remarked—
"The water which was constantly "retained in the plaintiffs fields "was stagnant; whether or not it was "injurious to health, it appeared to "the commissioners to be so after "making due enquiry. Their action "was in discharge of the duty "imposed on them by law and "was not actionable". Vide Government order No. 2367 Financial dated 6th

October 1884.

7. Thus in Kurnool, it will be seen, that wet cultivation was stopped by the municipality and no compensation whatever was paid.

The case now under reference seems exactly similar, except that Nandyal is a "Union" and less able to afford to pay compensation than a municipality. If the wet cultivation in the fore ditch is found to be noxious to the public health, I submit that it can be prohibited like any other occupation which is injurious to health. If it is not noxious to health then there is no need of stopping it or paying compensation.

I have the honor to be,

Sir

Your most obedient servt

Collector.

Lo[illegible] Municipal Dept 1896
CORRESPONDENCE DEPARTMENT.
C[illegible]T No. 1745 L

6

FROM
C. A. Murray Esq
~~THE~~ COLLECTOR OF
KURNOOL,

TO
Chief
THE SECRETARY TO Government
Local & Municipal
Department
Madras

Dated 18th
Received 22 JUN. 96 } June 1896.

Tour dispose No. 6/Eng of 96

ENCLOSURES. 2.

SUBJECT.

Reporting the amount of compensation payable for prohibiting wet cultivation on the Handegal Fore ditch lands & the loss of Revenue to Government in consequence

---

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Again G.O., dated 12th September 1895, No. 1669 L
" 2nd December " 2326 L
Current No. 1745 L of 1896, dated 18th June 1896 from the Collector of Kurnool
Current No. of 189 , dated from the

FOR ORDERS.

Please see the notes and orders in the first of the G.Os read above. With reference to para. 3 of that order, the Collector of Kurnool now reports (Cur. No. 1745 L) that a compensation of Rs 10,714-8-0 will have to be paid to the owners of the lands for the prohibition of wet cultivation. If, however, instead of dry crops, garden crops such as tobacco, onions and chillies, are permitted to be raised on the lands in question, the Collector thinks that no compensation is at all necessary, as garden crops are generally considered to be quite as valuable as wet crops, if not more so. In this matter, the Collector has adopted the opinion expressed by Mr. Crole in 1878, when reporting as to the compensation which should be paid

When given for copying

6 paid for the prohibition of wet cultivation in Kurnool municipality, and disagrees with the Head Assistant Collector who has put the value of garden lands at half the value of wet lands and calculated the amount of compensation at Rs 6,046. The amount of revenue that would be lost to Government, if wet cultivation is prohibited, is stated to be Rs 193-8-0 per annum; but if garden cultivation is to be allowed, the Government will be put to no loss, because the ryot will have the advantage of water, as usual.×

~~It is submitted that before proceeding any further, the Sanitary Board may be asked to report whether there is any objection, on sanitary grounds, to the cultivation of garden crops on the lands in question.~~

2. The facts noted by Mr. [illegible] in paras. 6 and 7 of his letter, were duly considered by Government before the orders in para. 2 of G.O. No 1669 L dated 12th September 1895 were issued.

3. The grounds upon which the Sanitary Board considered that the stretch of wet cultivation immediately between the town and the tank should be prohibited were held by Government to be ~~as~~ insufficient – vide the notes and orders in G.O. No 2326 L dated 2nd December 1895, and no orders on this point were therefore at present called for.

× please see Hon. Mr. Carmichael's notes ~~order~~ (marked with red tape) in G.O. 1132 Rev / 22.5.79 about Mr. Cole's contention that garden land is as valuable as paddy land.

E.L.
1-7-96

It seems hardly worth while referring the question of whether garden crops are injurious to health to the Sanitary board, as these crops do not cause stagnant water to lie about as in the case of paddy & may be considered to be harmless. The question of cost will therefore have to be considered. The drain is estimated at Rs 5,000, & the compensation may be put at the Hd Asst's figure of Rs 6,046, if ~~for~~ garden crops are allowed, making a total of Rs 11,046 for the work necessary. The Tk board in Kurnool have no balance or reserve, & the Dt. Bd has a reserve of only Rs 2980, & no balance available for general purposes (vide G.O. 572 L / 21-3-96). If therefore the work is to be carried out

~~it~~ the cost will have to be met by a grant or loan, or both, from Govt.
If the Colr's contention that garden crops are as valuable as paddy crops, & that no compensation will be necessary is considered tenable, the only cost to be met will be the Rs 5,000 for the drain.

WWD
6-7-96

~~[illegible]~~

I submit that it is of no use making two bites of a cherry and that if wet cultivation is to be stopped and compensation paid it is better to stop it entirely and to allow no compromise as regards using water.
The cost of the scheme will be Rs 5000 + 10716 - + an annual loss of revenue to Govt. of Rs 193 -
The Local Bd is practically on the verge of insolvency.

[illegible]
11/7/96

I should not mind the annual loss of Rs 193, but I am not prepared to go further. The Dt. Bd must find the money if it wants to do the work.
The list of lands does not agree in all respects, by the way, with the plan

BS
13/7/96

Nandyal drainage Scheme

FOR ORDERS.

1745 L.

H M

No 15,714

C B/7

When given

DEPARTMENT.

[G. / 24.]
200—5-10-95.

Papers to be read in proceedings :—

G.O., dated 12th September 1895, No. 1669 L
Again G.O., dated 2nd December 1895, No. 2326 L
Again G.O., dated ..... 189 , No. .....
Current No. 1745 L of 1896, dated 18th June, from Collector, Kurnool.
" " ..... " 189 , " ..... , "
" " ..... " 189 , " ..... , "

ORDER. No 1333 Rev., 23-7-96

The Govt. is willing to incur the annual loss of ~~of~~ revenue, amounting to Rs 193-8-3, which will be caused by the prohibition of wet cultivation, but ~~cannot~~ is not prepared to make any contribution towards compensation or the cost of cutting the drain referred to in para 1 of G.O. No 1669 L of 12.9.95. If the Dt. Board wishes to carry out this work, it must itself provide the necessary funds.

2. It is observed that the list of lands now ~~submitted~~ ~~[illegible]~~ furnished by the Collector does not agree in all respects with the plan previously submitted.

(for orders)

WWD
17.7-96

[illegible]
21/7/96

To The Collector of Kurnool
" President, Dt. Bd. Kurnool
" Sanitary Board

pto
pto
G.O. No 1669 L, 2326 L + C. 1745 L pto

Despd 28/7/96

Kudgal Drainage Scheme

(From orders)

C. S.

13332

When given for copying ______
When copied ______
When examined ______
When signed ______ } 189

READ AGAIN G.O., No. DATED 189 ,							
CURRENT No.							
ENCLOSURES TO C. No.							
ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT							
STATEMENT WITH DITTO							
PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.							

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only