[L. & M.
9,000-8-3-96.]

Local & Munl. (1896) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 1240 M.

DATED 23rd July 1896

[Despatch Abstract.]

Petition.

Declining to interfere on behalf of Sultan Musa Ali Rajah in the matter of certain complaints brought by him against the Sanitary Commissioner and the Chairman, Municipal Council, Cannanore, and advising the Municipal Council to repeatedly prosecute persons committing nuisances until the nuisances cease.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

---

[7]

INDEXED.

Miscellaneous.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPT.

G.O., DATED 23-7-96
No. 1240 M.

When given for copying.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Petition

Declining to interfere on behalf of of Sultan Musa Ali Rajah in the matter of certain complaints brought by him against the Sanitary Commissioner and the Chairman Municipal Council, Cannanore, and advising the Munl Counl to repeatedly prosecute persons committing nuisances until the nuisances cease.

Read again Order of Government, dated 189 , No. , Miscellaneous.

Back Paper, No. of 189

Further Paper, No. of 189

Enclosures.

Current No.

Statement with ditto.

Order of Government

Statement with ditto.

Papers not filed up, being meant for dispatch in original (not paged).

N.B.—
A = Copy in full
B = Not to be copied
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

Copied by

Examined by

No. 774.

Cannanore 18th December 1895

To,

The Sanitary Commissioner of Madras.
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to state that in your Inspection Note on the Cannanore Municipality embodied in G. O. No. 1789. M. dated the 29th October last placed at the disposal of the public, you assert in para 2(a) as follows

"One of the most persistent offenders in this matter, notwithstanding frequent notices on the part of the Municipal Council, is the Sultan Rajah. The condition of the channel near a residence owned by him is inimical to public health, there being much faecal matter deposited direct from the latrine built across the channel".

2. I beg to enquire what the basis is of the emphatic assertion that I am one of the most persistent offenders and which is the latrine referred to by you. If the assertion is based on any document, I shall be thankful to you for a copy of it. If it is due to any oral information, I hope you will disclose to me the name of your informant and the nature of the intelligence given by him.

3. There is not a particle of truth in the information given you that I have had frequent notices from the Municipal Council, much less that I am a persistent offender. And I challenge the Municipal Council or its Chairman to produce evidence for a single instance in which notice of the

the kind stated has ever been given me. Indeed from the very nature of your appointment, you cannot be expected to know the local feeling in any particular town and must needs look to local officers for information. In the ordinary course of things, however, expressions so emphatically unequivocal and so offensive as those used by you are not adopted on ex parte information unless supported by overwhelming evidence. And it is for information as to such evidence as induced you to pronounce the verdict extracted above that I have ventured to address you this letter.

4. Mr. Rego's hostility to me is so notorious that Mr. Moberly the late District Magistrate of Malabar has had to instruct the Joint Magistrate, Tellicherry, to try himself any cases which the Municipality may launch against my men or dependants without leaving them for disposal by the local Magistracy. If your informant was Mr Rego or his underlings, it is necessary that the evil propensity should be curbed.

5. This perhaps is not the time nor the place to traverse some of the statements contained in Mr Rego's letter to Government. I am however struck with the audacious statement in para 2 that it was in regard to the insanitary condition of the drain that the Municipality was engaged in a Civil Suit, and with that advanced in the concluding para that your notes were required in evidence in the Suit and could not be submitted to Government before August last. The subject matter of the Suit was a malicious prosecution in respect of the alleged insanitary condition, on a specific date in September 1894, of a privy discharging foecal matter not into the drain but into tubs placed underneath which it was said had not been cleaned. The Suit was filed only on the 17th June 1895. How the result of your inspection made in November 1894 and embodied in a note in February 1895 could ever be relevant in the Suit or how Mr. Rego detained the note of February in anticipation of the institution of the Suit in June following, is more than I can say or care to discuss at present. These points will be touched upon in the representation I propose to make in the first instance to Government in vindication of the imputation gratuitously cast on me. But before doing so, I have thought it fit to ask for the information regarding the source of the damaging remarks made in your note under reference.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your Obedient Servant

Sd/. Ali Rajah of Cannanore.

True Copy

Sultan Mussa Ali Rajah of Cannanore.

Office of Sanitary Commissioner
Camp Panyam 4 - 1 - 1896
Desp^d. 6 - 1 - 96

No. 24/S.

From

Surgeon-Lieut-Col. W. G. King
M. B., C. M., D. P. H.,

Sanitary Commissioner
For Madras.

To

The Ali Rajah of
Cannanore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter dated 18 - 12 - 9 No. 774, I have the honor to state that as you intend to make a representation to Government on the subject therein alluded to, it is my intent to await any instructions Government may wish to convey to me as to explaining my position in the matter referred to.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servan
Sd/ W. G. King
Surgeon Lt. Col.
Sanitary Commissioner

(True Copy)

Sull [illegible] Mussa Ali Rajah of Cannan

1/726 M of 96

726 M of 96

No. 82.

Cannanore 15th February 1896.

Local & Municipal Dept.
CURRENT No. 726 M

To

The Chief Secretary to Government
Local and Municipal Department
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to bring the following circumstance to the notice of Government and to request that they will be pleased to protect me against official attacks from behind my back.

2. In the Inspection Note of the Sanitary Commissioner, Madras, on the Cannanore Municipality recorded in G. O. No. 1789 M, dated the 29th October last, the following remark occurs.

"One of the most persistent offenders in this matter (i. e. the insanitary condition of the Ikara channel or drain) notwithstanding frequent notices on the part of the Municipal Council is the Sultan Rajah".

3. I knew the imputation on receipt of a copy of the G. O. when it was placed at the disposal of the public.

4. Upon this I requested the Sanitary Commissioner on the 18th December last (enclosure I) to

to favour me with the source of the information on which the charge levelled at me was founded. I acquainted him with the fact of the Municipal Chairman's hostility to me. I informed him that I proposed to address Government in the first instance, as I felt sure that Dr. King could have no motive in making an allegation calculated to wound my feelings.

5. But unfortunately Dr. King was not pleased to comply with my request. He informed me in his letter dated the 4th January last (enclosure II) that he would await any instructions which Government might convey to him as to explaining his position in the matter. The result is I can only make an appeal in general terms to Government without spotting the individual who misled the Sanitary Commissioner into the belief that I was a persistent offender despite frequent notices.

6. I do not know if it is the intention of Dr. King to take the responsibility upon himself. Whatever position he might take up, the fact remains that a reflection has been cast on an innocent party unheard, on exparte information.

7. On the gravity of the disparaging remark complained of, I need not, I think, expatiate. It not only produces an unfavourable impression upon Government, but lays me open to public ridicule.

8. The Government will, I trust, take due notice of the conduct of the party responsible for the imputation and direct it to be withdrawn, as it is I maintain wholly groundless. I have, it is true, my legal remedy, but I am sure I have only to approach Government to be spared that painful duty.

9. In this connection, as furnishing additional proof of Mr. Rego's hostile attitude, I beg to draw the attention of Government to para 10 of the Administration Report of the Cannanore Municipality for 1894/95, reviewed in G. O. No. 1759 M dated the 25th October last. There he refers to me as "the Arakal Mappilla Raja". The contempt lurking in the expression is too obvious to require comment. It cannot be that Mr. Rego, a tenant of mine born and bred up in Cannanore is ignorant of my title which is conspicuous in the Municipal Registers. I have no doubt Government will set their face against such opprobrious and irritating expressions being deliberately indulged in, in official reports with impunity.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your obedient Servant,

Sultan Mussa Ali Rajah of Cannan

Local & Municipal Dept.
CURRENT No. 726 M

From

Sultan Mussa Ali Rajah

Cannanore.

To,

The Chief Secretary to Government

Local and Municipal Department

Madras

No. 82

Dated the 15th } February 1896.
Recd. 26th }

Encls. 2.

Inspection Note of the Sanitary Commissioner — Complains about a disparaging remark made in the, relating to the Cannanore Municipality).

(with an endt.)

1789 M 29-10-95
1709 M 25 10

OFFICE OF SANITARY COMMISSIONER WITH THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
No. 10345
9 APR. 96

S/

G.V. 7th 6

No. 435

Referred to the Sanitary Commissioner for remarks at an early date

(By order)

W. W. Phillips
Ag. Asstt. Secretary

V.R.
1/4/96

Fort St. George
8th April 96 }

To

The Sanitary Commissioner.

6/3 a/8/4

No. 877/S

OFFICE OF SANITARY COMMISSIONER,

Fort St. George, 25th april 1896.

Local & Municipal Dept.
CURRENT No. 1290 M

From

SURGEON LIEUT.-COL. W. G. KING, M.B., C.M., D.P.H.,

SANITARY COMMISSIONER FOR MADRAS,

To

THE Chief Secretary to Government,
Local and Municipal Deptt.

Enclosures Four

SIR,

With reference to your endorsement No. 435 dated 8th April 1896, I have the honour to state that para 2 of my Report embodied in G.O. No. 1789 M, dated 29th October 1895, correctly describes the facts as gathered from my own observations, and as officially reported to me by the Chairman, Municipal Council, Cannanore. On the date of my inspection, I found that from both the latrines of the Rajah and his son foecal matter had passed direct from the latrines to the surface of a drain. The latrines were built purposely to effect this.

× in original

2. The accompanying ×copy of letter and of documents supplied by the Chairman of the Municipality, show that the term "persistent

Local & Municipal Dept.
CURRENT No. 726 M

From

Sultan Mussa Ali Rajah
Cannanore.

To,

The Chief Secretary to Government
Local and Municipal Department
Madras

No. 82

Dated the 15th } February 1896.
Recd. 26th }

Encl. 2.

Inspection Note of the Sanitary Commissioner — Complains about a disparaging remark made in the, relating to the Cannanore Municipality).

(with an endt.)

1789 M 29-10-95
1709 M 25 10

OFFICE OF SANITARY COMMISSIONER WITH THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
No. 10345
9 APR. 96

TO BE RETURNED WITH REPLY

S/

E.V. 7th 4. 6

No. 435

Referred to the Sanitary Commissioner for remarks at an early date

(By order)

W W Phillips
Ag. Asstt. Secretary

V.R.
1/4/96

Fort St. George }
8th April 96 }

To

The Sanitary Commissioner.

6/3 a/1/4

No. 877/S

OFFICE OF SANITARY COMMISSIONER,
Fort St. George, 25th April 1896.

Local & Municipal Dept.
CURRENT No. 1290 M

From

SURGEON LIEUT.-COL. W. G. KING, M.B., C.M., D.P.H.,
SANITARY COMMISSIONER FOR MADRAS,

To

THE Chief Secretary to Government,
Local and Municipal Deptt.

Enclosures Four

SIR,

With reference to your endorsement No. 435 dated 8th April 1896, I have the honour to state that para 2 of my Report embodied in G.O. No. 1789 M, date 29th October 1895, correctly describes the facts as gathered from my own observations, and as officially reporte to me by the Chairman, Municipal Council, Cannanore. On the date o my inspection, I found that from both the latrines of the Rajah and his son faecal matter had passed direct from the latrines to the surface of a drain. The latrines we built purposely to effect this.

2. The accompanying copy^x of letter and of docume[illegible] suppl by the Chairman of the [illegible]municipality, show that the term "persist

x in original

"persistent offender" is well merited, in that although the Rajah was prosecuted for this offence by the Municipality in January 1893, he had not at date of my visit in November 1894 taken steps to remedy the highly insanitary and disgusting state of affairs complained of.

3. In reference to the Chairman's allusion to failure of prosecution of the Rajah's son ~~[illegible]~~ in para 3 of his letter No. 44 dated 25th April 1896, I would point out that not only did the prosecution fail on account of technical points but the evidence for the prosecution seems to have been weakly prepared, in that the case was made to revolve round the question as to whether one of the witnesses could, or did, see over a wall; whereas, as a matter of fact, any looking over the wall was unnecessary to view the m[illegible] of excreta found in the drain open to the public view.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your Most Obedt. Servant,
W G King
Surgn. Lt. Col.,
Sanitary Commissioner.

Local & Municipal Dept.
~~U.S.~~ ~~N.S.~~ CURRENT No. 1290 M

Local and Municipal DEPARTMENT.

From

SURN.-LT.-COL. W. G. KING, M.B., C.M., D.P.H.
SANITARY COMMISSIONER FOR MADRAS,

To

THE Chief Secretary
to Government
Local & Municipal

No. 877/S

Dated 28th
Despatched 28th } April 1896.
Received

28 APR. 96

ENCLOSURES. ~~Four~~ 7 [illegible]
C. No. 726 M. with

Subject.

Offering certain remarks with reference to Endorsement of Government No 435 dated 8. 4. 1896 regarding Complaint of Sultan Umasa Elia Rajah of Cannanore on certain remarks made in the Inspection Notes of the Sanitary Commissioner on the Cannanore Municipality.

200—16-11-95.

I. t. 28/4

N.

Refce 554/18/5/96

The Chairman is requested to report at an early date what was the result of the prosecution of Sultan Ali Rajah by the Municipal Council in January 1893, & whether any & if so, what notices were served on ~~him~~ the Rajah himself for causing a nuisance, or whether they were only served on members of his family—

(from orders)

W.W.D.
13. 5-96

Copy & docket & papers of the [illegible]
S.B.
15/5/96

To
The Chairman M.C. Cannanore
Despd 18/5/96

1/5

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Again G.O., dated 189 , No.
Current No. 726 M. of 1896, dated 15th February from ~~the~~ Sultan Ali Rajah Cannanore
Current No. 1290 M. of 1896, dated 28th April from the Sanitary Commissioner

FOR ORDERS.

In the first current read above Sultan Ali Rajah of Cannanore Complains of the remarks of the Sanitary Commissioner in para (2) (a) of his Inspection notes on the Cannanore Municipality recorded in G.O. No. 1789 M. dated 29-10-95. The Sanitary Commissioner wrote as follows:—

"One of the most persistent offenders in this matter, notwithstanding frequent notices on the part of the Municipal Council, is the Sultan Ali Rajah. The condition of the channel near a residence owned by him is inimical to public health, there being much fecal matter deposited direct from the latrine built across the channel." The Rajah states that these remarks are unwarranted and tries to make out that they were made by Dr. King on wrong

wrong information given to him by the Municipal Chairman, who is hostile to the Rajah. The Rajah also complains of the Chairman's reference to him in the administration report of the Municipality for 1894-95 (in G.O. No 1759 M. dated 25-10-95) as the Arakal — Moppila Rajah, instead of Sultan Ali Rajah — his proper title.

2. In the second current the Sanitary Commissioner to — whom the Rajah's letter was referred for remarks states that para (2) of his Inspection notes correctly describes the facts as gathered from his own observations and the information given to him officially by the Chairman. On the date of his inspection he found that from the latrines of both the Rajah & his son faecal matter had passed direct into a drain and that the latrines had been built purposely to effect this.

3. It is not clear from the Chairman's letter and its enclosures submitted by the Sanitary — Commissioner whether at any time the Municipal Council drew the attention of the Rajahs to this matter.

8

His prosecution appears to have bee once ordered by the Chairman, in January 1893; but whether as the Sanitary Commissioner states he was actually prosecuted and with what result does not appear. Notices seem to have been issued to his son and his wives who evidently live in the same compound but in differen houses, but there is nothing to show that any notice was served on the Rajah himself. It is, however, — remarkable that neither in his lette to the Sanitary Commissioner nor in that to Government does the Raja deny that faecal matter from his latrine used to find its way direct into the drain. In both letters, he appears to lay stress only on the fact that the Municipal Council never gave him any notice about the fouling of the drain and to contend that the — Sanitary Commissioner was not justified in referring to him as a persistent offender

S. D. 7/5/96 4. As to his complaint that the chairman's use of the term Arakal Mappila Rajah was an insult please see G.O. No 71 Pol d/ 29.1.96 & G.Os 113.114 Pol / 15.2.95 allowing him the title of Sultan. Thi order was passed subsequent to the etter of the Chairman complained of

5. Neither of the complaints appear to be well grounded, as there is apparently no doubt that the Rajah was an offender against the laws of sanitation, as also his wife & son. As to the term Arakal Mappila Rajah, it may be a contemptuous title but to the ordinary public it does not on the face of it appear to be so, but perhaps it is to inhabitants of Malabar. In para 8 of his letter the Rajah states that he has his legal remedy, but hopes that Govt. will not oblige him to make use of it. It is submitted that he may be referred to it.

WKD
9.5.96

Re the remarks of the Sanitary Commissioner in his Inspection notes on the Cannanore Municipality

FOR ORDERS.

1290m

Madras office
Please issue ref. within
13-5-96.

No.137.

From the Municipal Office,
Cannanore.

Copy of a notice served on Sultan Ali Raja of Cannanore.

It is understood that there is a privy erected over the public drain passing through a place belonging to you. As the privy is not provided with a tub the faecal matter is discharged directly into the drain. The accumulated excrement~~s~~ emits a smell highly injurious to public health.

You are therefore given notice to, immediately, alter the privy in such a manner as not to allow faecal matter to deposit in the drain.

(Signed) L.B.Rego
Chairman.
22nd June 1893.

(True translation)

Wm.

Re the remarks of the Sanitary Commissioner in his Inspection notes on the Cannanore Municipality

FOR ORDERS.

1290m

Madras Office

Please issue ref. within

13-5-96

No.137.

From the Municipal Office,
Cannanore.

Copy of a notice served on Sultan Ali Raja of Cannanore.

It is understood that there is a privy erected over the public drain passing through a place belonging to you. As the privy is not provided with a tub the faecal matter is discharged directly into the drain. The accumulated excrements emits a smell highly injurious to public health.

You are therefore given notice to, immediately, alter the privy in such a manner as not to allow faecal matter to deposit in the drain.

6

(Signed) L.B.Rego
Chairman.
22nd June 1893.

(True translation)

നമ്പ്ര
137

കണ്ണൂര [illegible]

[illegible]

നൊട്ടിസ —

[illegible]

[illegible] — 1893 ജൂൺ 22ന —

Sd/: L. B. Rego
Chairman

(True copy)

Chairman

Cannanore Municipality

Enclosure to letter No. 152/13.6.96

1/1791 M 06/96

M. 1783/296

No. 152

Municipal Office
Cannanore 13 June 1896

CURRENT No. 1791 M

From
The Chairman
Cannanore Municipality

To,
The Chief Secretary
to Government.
Madras.

Through
The Sub Collector
of Malabar

Sir,

With reference to Memorandum ~~dated~~ dated 18th May 1896 No. 554 I have the honor to report for your information that the prosecution by the Municipality against M.R.Ry. O. Koyoma Ilaya Avergal son of Sultan Aly Rajah and Municipal Councillor, of this Board for allowing excreta to accumulate in the public drain which is discharged from his private latrine, resulted in a conviction by the Town Magistrate and he was ordered to pay a fine of Rs. 20/- but he having appealed against the judgement

on

on technical point of Law and ordered a refund of the fine. The Joint Magistrate would appear to have made some uncalled for remark in his judgement upon which the Rajah's son filed a suit against the Council in the District Munsiff's Court for damages amounting to 2000 Rs. This suit was disposed of in Council's favor and an appeal is still pending disposal before the Sub. Judge of Tellicherry.

2 Besides several notices that have been served on the Members of the Rajah's family The Rajah himself would appear to have been served with Notice No. $\frac{137}{22.6.93}$ with little or no result.

I regret to have to observe that the family is one persistently opposing Municipal Matters, the Council has been very lenient in carrying out the

the provisions of the Municipal Act. The drain with the latrines over it is still a nuisance to the public.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most Obdt. Servt.,

Chairman

Local & Municipal De

1915. CURRENT No. 1791 M

~~Cannanore Municipality~~

MALABAR 15 JUN. 96 SUB-COLLECTOR'S OFFICE

From

The Chairman
Cannanore Municipality

To,

The Chief Secretary
to Government. Madras

Through
The Sub. Collector
of Malabar

No.

Dated 13th June 1896

24 JUN. 96

Enclosures. 1

Reporting certain information
called for regarding Abyooma
Elay's Case.

Endt 554/96 &c.

En. No. 209/m 9/6

Endt on No. 1783/R96

Forwarded

Ag Sub Collector
15. 6. 96

Endt on No. 209/Mg 9/6.
Forwarded

J. Hewetson

ag. Collector

Calicut
20. 6. 96

20. 6. 96

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Again G.O., dated 189 , No.

Current No. 726 M of 1896, dated 15th Feby from ~~the~~ Sultan Ali Rajah

Current No. 1290 M of 1896, dated 28th April from the Sanitary Commr.

1791 M — 13th June — Chairman M.C. Cannanore.

FOR ORDERS.

Please see notes on the first two of the letters read above. The information called for in Govt. Memorandum No 554 dated 18th May 1896 has been furnished in the third letter.

WWD
3. 7. 96

1/7/96

As to the title "Arakal Mappila Raja" there is nothing in the papers in the Political Department, which would show whether it is an offensive title or not. In his letter in G.O. No 12 Pol. 9.1.94 the Collector calls the Rajah the "Arakal Raja". No mention of any title like this is found in the District Manual. I thought it desirable as Sultan Ali Raja is a very troublesome individual to have further information and I have now succeeded in getting a copy of a notice which was served on

WWD
3.7.96

the Rajah in 1893.

Why he was not prosecuted for inattention to this does not appear — he certainly should have been. The Municipal authorities were probably afraid to attack him so took up the case against the son instead.

There is ample proof that the statements of Dr. King were fully warranted and that the statement of the Rajah it is now shown that no notice either as regards the nuisance committed by him was ever given is false.

There is but one way of dealing with this gentleman — serve him a ~~[illegible]~~ notice and if he does not obey it promptly prosecuting him taking care that the case is perfectly put together and a conviction ensured. He has in consequence of what has taken place regarding the Laccadives and his claim to the title of Sultan lost his head and requires being taught that he cannot do what he pleases.

As regards his complaint of the alleged insult offered to him by the Chairman in styling him the "Arakal Moplah Rajah". I have

Shd. tell the Raja that Govt. sees no reason to interfere & should point out to the M.C. that so long as a nuisance continues they should prosecute over & over again, regardless of the social position of the offender.

BS
13/7/96

throw any light on the question.

I had an idea that I saw some where or the other alluded to by this term but cannot trace anything. The Collector in an official communication has spoken of him as the "Arakal Rajah" which I believe is the name by which he is commonly known in Malabar. "Arakal" is the name of the house in which he resides: what the meaning of it is I do not quite know but I think Mr. Tingle once told me that it had reference to the Rajahs of Cannanore being sea kings in olden days.

I don't think that the Rajah is likely to get anything out of either Criminal or Civil proceedings against the Chairman for having alluded to him as he did in an official communication. It seems hardly desirable for Govt. to meddle in a matter such as this: ~~xx~~ the Rajah can it is submitted be left to fight this grievance out in the established Courts if so disposed. He is a most pugnacious and ill disposed person.

Wd
11/7/96

C.S.

726, 1290 & 1791/M

No. 1240 Mis. 23-7-96

Order.

~~H~~ Rajah Musa Sultan Ali ~~of Cannanore~~ will be informed that Govt. sees no reason to interfere.

2. It appears that a notice was served by the Muni. Chairman on Musa Ali Raja in June 1893 for not keeping his premises in a proper state, but ~~that no prosecution~~ there is nothing to show that any prosecution was ~~has since been~~ instituted against him although it is clear from ~~in~~ para 2(c) of the ~~his~~ inspection notes of the Sanitary Commissioner recorded in G.O. No 1789 M of 29th October 1895 that no attention was paid to this notice ~~alluded to him as a persistent offender against sanitary principles~~. The Muni. Council ~~will be~~ informed that the Govt. consider that, so long as a nuisance continues, the offender should be prosecuted over & over again, regardless of his social position, until the nuisance is abated.

(for orders) 21/7/96

Submd.
15-7-96

Sec

Mst

To Ali Rajah (para. 1 only) as per ✓
Muni. Chairman Cannanore. L of 726 & 1290 & 1791 M.O.
San. Commr – L of 726, 1290 & 1791 M.O.
Despd. 28/7/96 (with 7 encl.)

C 13/7 R 14/7

the remark of the Sanitary Comm on his inspection notes on the Cannanore Municipality.

FOR ORDERS.

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed
189

726, 1290 & 1791/M

READ AGAIN G.O., No. DATED 189 ,

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. .. A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

~~the remarks of the Sanitary Comm~~
in his inspection notes the Comm
municipality

C. S.

726, 1290 & 1791/M

FOR ORDERS.

No. 1240 Mun. 23-7-96

Order. ~~The~~ Musa Sultan Ali Rajah ~~of Cannanore~~ will be informed that Govt. sees no reason to interfere.

2. It appears that a notice was served by the Munl. Chairman on Musa Ali Raja in June 1893 for not keeping his premises in a proper state, but there is nothing to show that any prosecution was ~~has since been~~ instituted against him although it is clear from para [illegible] of the ~~his~~ inspection notes of the Sanitary Commissioner recorded in G.O. No 1789 M. of 29th October 1895 that no attention was paid to this notice ~~alluded to him as a persistent offender against sanitary principles~~. The Munl. Council ~~[illegible]~~ will be informed that the Govt. consider that, so long as a nuisance continues, the offender should be prosecuted over & over again, regardless of his social position, until the nuisance is abated.

(From orders) 21/7/96

Colwd.
15-7-96

To Ali Rajah (para. 1 only) ✓
Munl. Chairman Cannanore ✓
San. Commr. — P of 726, 1290 & 1791 M & O

Despd. 28/7/96 (with 7 [illegible])

c 13/7 R 14/7

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

189 , No.

Again G.O., dated

Current No. 726 M of 1896, dated 15th Feby from ~~the~~ Sultan Ali Rajah

Current No. 1290 M of 1896, dated 28th April from the Sanitary Commr.

– 1791 M — 13th June — Chairman M.C. Cannanore.

FOR ORDERS.

Please see notes on the first two of the letters read above. The information called for in Govt. Memorandum No 554 dated 18th May 1896 has been furnished in the third letter.

WWD
3.7.96

Emy
1/7/96

As to the title "Arakal Mappila Raja" there is nothing in the papers in the Political Department, which would show whether it is an offensive title or not. In his letter in G.O. No 12 Pol. / 9.1.94 the Collector calls the Rajah "the Arakal Raja". No mention of any title like this is found in the District Manual. I thought it desirable as Sultan Ali Raja is a very troublesome individual ~~person~~ to have further information and I have now succeeded in getting a copy of a letter which was issued on

WWD
3.7.96

Local & Municipal Dep
CURRENT No. 1791 M

~~Cannanore Municipality~~

MALABAR SUB-COLLECTOR'S OFFICE
15 JUN. 96

Endt 554/96 Pr

From

The Chairman
Cannanore Municipality

To,

The Chief Secretary
to Government. Madras

Through

The Sub. Collector
of Malabar

No.

Dated 13th June 1896

24 JUN. 96

Enclosures. 1

Reporting certain information called for regarding Koyamma Elaya's Case.

Endt No 209/M 9/6

Endt on Cur No. 1783/R 96

Forwarded

Ag. Sub Collector
15. 6. 96

Endt on Cur 209/M 9/6.
Forwarded

J Hewetson
ag. collector

Calicut
20.6.96

20.6.96

30/6