

# Local & Munl. (1896) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 1192 *m*

DATED 16<sup>th</sup> July 1896

---

[Despatch Abstract.]

Budget.

Recording with remark, letter from the Director of Public Instruction submitting his remarks on the educational portion of the Tiruvannamalai municipal ----- for 1896-97.

# BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |      |     |             |       |

# FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |      |     |             |       |

INDEXED.

Miscellaneous.

LOCAL-AND MUNICIPAL DEPT.

G.O., DATE 16-7-96.  
No. 11922M

When given for copying.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Budget

Recording, with remarks,  
letter from the D. P. I.  
submitting his remarks  
on the educational por-  
tion of the Tiruvanna-  
malai Muni — for  
1896-97.

For 600-1000 from 1897-98

BACK PAPER, No.

189

READ AGAIN ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATED  
189 , No. , MISCELLANEOUS.

FURTHER PAPER, No.

OF 189

ENCLOSURES.

CURRENT No.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DISPATCH  
IN ORIGINAL (not paged).

N.B.—

- A — Copy in full
- B — Not to be copied
- P — Copy abstract only
- Q — Copy heading only

Copied by *LA*

Examined by

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF  
PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,

Madras, 29<sup>th</sup> June 1896

No. 6755

From

THE HONORABLE MR. D. DUNCAN, M.A., D.Sc., LL.D.,  
DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,

Madras,

To

THE HONORABLE THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

FORT ST. GEORGE.

SIR,

I have the honour to submit the following  
remarks on the Educational portion of the Budget Estimate of  
the Tiruvannaralai Municipality for 1896-97.

2. (i) The proposal of the Municipality to reduce the pay of  
the teachers of the Middle School under its management does  
not meet with my approval. The reductions proposed are heavy  
and they would entail a great hardship on the teachers,  
especially the Head Master and his first two Assistants who  
are reported to have pensionary claims. According to the  
scale adopted by the Council, the Head Master has to forego  
Rs. 12 per mensem, the first Assistant Rs. 10, the second Assistant  
Rs. 7 and the third Assistant Rs. 3. With such large reductions in  
their salaries, the teachers are not likely to work with  
interest and the school will suffer in consequence. Further

Further, net expenditure proposed by the Municipality on account of Education is only 5 per cent of its income from taxation rents and markets. I would therefore strongly recommend that, in the interest of the school, the salaries of the teachers be not reduced at all. If, however, Government is inclined to think that a reduction in their salaries is necessary on financial grounds, I would recommend the following scale in lieu of the scale adopted by the Council.

|                           | Salary per mensem. |
|---------------------------|--------------------|
|                           | Rs.                |
| Head Master-----          | 35                 |
| First Assistant-----      | 25                 |
| Second Assistant-----     | 20                 |
| Third Assistant-----      | 15                 |
| Gymnastic Instructor----- | 10                 |
| Peon-----                 | 5                  |
| <hr/>                     |                    |
| Total per mensem          | 110                |
| Total per annum           | 1320               |
| Contingencies             | 50                 |
| Total                     | 1370               |

(ii) The Assistant Inspector recommends that Rs. 100 be provided for building grants and a similar sum for furniture grants. This recommendation has my support.

(iii) The provision made under other heads is sufficient and calls for no remarks.

3. With the modifications above suggested the net expenditure under Grant II will be Rs. 1270 (Rs. 2270-1000) which is only 7 per cent of its income from taxation markets and rents.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

*J. D. M. M.*

Director of Public Instruction.

189

Educational Department

Local & Municipal Dept

From

THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,

Madras,

To

THE HONORABLE THE CHIEF SECRETARY

TO GOVERNMENT,

Fort St. George.



No. 6785

Dated 29<sup>th</sup> June 1896  
Despatched  
Received 30 JUN 96

No.

ENCLOSURES.

Subject.

Submitting remarks on the  
Educational portion of the Budget  
Estimate of the Tiruvannamalai  
Municipality for 1896-97.

To  
The Chairman, Tiruvannamalai  
Director of Public Instruction  
Capt. 20/7/96

Order No. 1192 Mmis 8/16/7/96  
Recorded with reference to G.O.  
No. 897 in dated 27<sup>th</sup> May 1896.

2. The Director's recommendations  
in para. 2 of his letter read above will  
be commended to the Municipal Council  
for careful consideration.

V.H. 10-7-96  
11/7/96  
14/7/96

When given for copying  
When copied  
When examined  
When signed

189

READ AGAIN G.O., No.  
189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DIET.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH  
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.

A = Copy in full.  
B = Not to be copied.  
P = Copy abstract only.  
Q = Copy heading only.