

1,000-19-11-96.

~~Local~~ & Munl. (1896) Department.

PRINTED.

G.O., No. 1055 L

DATED 8th June 1896

[Despatch Abstract.]

Union.

Approving the proposal of the local boards to abolish the Krosur -- with effect from 1st April 1896, and ordering the publication of the necessary notification in the Fort St. George and Kistna District Gazettes.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[1]

PRESS.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

G.O., DATED 8-6-96

No. 1055 L.

INDEXED.

First copy sent to Press. 9/6/96

Returned for signature. 22/6/96

Despatched in print. 29/6/96

[Despatch Abstract.]

Union

Approving the proposal of the local boards to abolish the kiosks — with effect from 1st April 1896 & ordering the publication of the necessary notification in the Govt. St. George & Kistna St. Gazettes.

Despatch published 29/6/96

READ AS ORDER OF GOVERNMENT, DATE
13 No. 13

BACK PAPER, No.

FURTHER PAPER, No.

OF 15

ENCLOSURES

CURRENT No.

STATEMENT WITH DETAIL

ORDER, &c., OF GOVERNMENT—A.

PAPERS KEPT OUT OF FILE FOR DISPATCH IN ORIGINAL
(not paged).

NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED. { Order complete. 120
Letter only.
Order complete with Letter.

N.E.—

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.
R = Print, but not for monthly volume.

Notification.

682

In exercise of the powers conferred by
Under section 6 of the Madras Local Boards Act
V of 1884, the Honorable the Governor
in Council is pleased to declare that the
Villages of Krosur, Sattenapatti Taluq,
Kistna District shall be dissolved
for the purposes of the said Act from
1st April 1896.

Cancel with effect

from 1st April 1896.

So much of the notifi-

cation No. 45, published

at page 37 of Part II of

the Tamil Nadu

Gazette, dated 17th

February 1896, as

relates to the union

of Krosur in the

Kistna district.

Kistna District
Board's office
Masulipatam
22nd April 96

Capt S.M.
24/4

Comptd 25/4

Essey
for President

Proceedings of the Juntur Taluk Board
passed at a meeting held at Juntur on Saturday
the 30-3-94.

Present.

Officials

R. H. Campbell Esquire, Sub collector and
President Taluk Board Juntur.

1. Mr. R. Ry. V. Kamuswararow Pantulu garu Sub Assistant
Inspector of schools Bigwada Range.

Non-Officials

2. Mr. R. Ry. L. V. Rangayya garu

3. " Z. Venkatasubramanyam garu

4. " Khasim Khan Sahib garu

5. Rev. G. V. Rautgar

Grant III

Read statement from the Taluk Board office
extracted from the proposals of the Chairmen of
Unions regarding the additions of Villages within
2 miles to the respective three Unions (Krosur,
Sattenapalli and Mangalagiri).

Read also resolution No. ¹⁶_{21.7.93} resolving to join
the Villages to Unions and asking the Chairmen
to submit their proposals, for obtaining Government
sanction through the President District Board.

Resolution No. ²²₃₀₋₃₋₉₄

The Board is not in favor of extending the
limits of the existing Unions by including

agree. However
in consideration

small villages situated at a considerable distance from them. The Board considers that in view of the importance of Krosur, its small Revenue of Rs 86 as against an expenditure of Rs 300 it would be good policy to abolish the Union. There are several large villages under the Board which are much better situated than Krosur for Union purposes. For instance Phirangipuram, Tadikonda, Pedakurapadu and Prathipadu. All these villages have far larger population than Krosur. Krosur was formerly the Head quarters of a Taluq. It is no longer so and is now a small village of no importance. The people themselves are not anxious for the retention of the Union. There are no villages sufficiently near it to be included in the Union. The Board is desirous of extending Local Self Government as far as possible and it is its deliberate and well considered opinion that the Union of Krosur should be abolished and that new Unions should be started at Tadikonda in the Guntur Taluq and Phirangipuram in the Sattenapalli Taluq.

Government will be addressed in the terms of the above resolution after statistics have been obtained from the Tahsildars regarding the population and the number of houses in these two villages and the adjacent hamlets or villages.

(Ad) R. H. Campbell
"True Copy" President
Respectfully L. E. Buckley
President.

Proceedings of the Guntur Taluq Board
passed at a meeting held at Guntur on Tuesday
the 25-2-1896.

Present
Officials.

L. E. Buckley Esquire Sub collector and President
Taluq Board Guntur.

Non-Officials

1. Mr. R. Ry. V. Amundarao Pantulu garu
2. " z. Venkatapaturu garu
3. " S. Venkayya garu
4. Rev. J. H. vande Westlake

Grant IV

Read papers concerned with the proposal to extend the limits of Krosur Union.

Resolution No $\frac{24}{25-2-96}$

The Board is averse to the extension of this Union. At present, the income from house-tax is Rs 210 and the cost of establishment is Rs 192. The Board therefore adheres to its opinion expressed in resolution No $\frac{22}{30-3-94}$ and recommends that the Union be abolished.

Dis No $\frac{1037}{9.5.96}$ of 95-96 (Ad) L. E. Buckley
President

Communicated to the President District Board Kistna for obtaining the necessary sanction of Government to the abolition of the Union from 1st April 1896. Copy of the Taluq Board

if Government do agree. However
consider
resolution No ²²/₃₀₋₃₋₉₄ is enclosed here in for his information.
Copy to the Tahsildar Sattenapalli.
Copy to the Chairman Krosur Union for information.
The Union establishment should at once be informed
of this.

(sd) L. E. Buckley
President

Proceedings of the District Board, Kistna
passed at a meeting held at Masulipatam
on Saturday the 11th April 1896 at 7.30 A. M.

Present

J. N. Atkinson Esquire President.

M. R. Ky. R. Jaya Raja Rao Jann General Deputy
Collector Vinukonda.

" T. V. Narayanaswami Iyer Avergal Treasury
Deputy Collector Kistna.

Surgeon Captain W. C. Vickers District
Medical and Sanitary Officer Kistna.

Non-Officials

J. W. Maiden Esquire

M. R. Ky. T. Anjaneyulu Naidu Garu

" P. Venkataswamy Pantulu Garu B. A.

" R. Venkata Subbayya Pantulu Garu

" K. Brahmanandam " "

" Saifder Hussain Khan Sahib Garu

" G. Mahomed Khasim " "

" S. Vazamma Naidu Garu

" Mir Shemsuddin Khan Sahib Garu

" M. Lakshminarasimham Garu

" Sowdager Mohadeen Pacha Sahib Garu

33. Read Junter Talug Board resolution
dated 25th February 1896 recommending
the abolition of the Krosur Union. X

Resolution.

The Union may be abolished.

(signed) J. N. Atkinson
President

Kistna District
Board's office
Masulipatam

E. S. M. J.
for President

Copd Sta
24/6

Copd Sta
25/6

if Government do not consider

resd
Co
Co
The
of

fr
or

Im 10557 8 876

Ref No. 475/96
D15/96

KISTNA DISTRICT BOARD'S OFFICE,
Camp Manipalatu
Dated the 22nd April 1896.

From

J. N. Atkinson Esquire
PRESIDENT, DISTRICT BOARD
KISTNA.

To

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT,
MADRAS.

SIR,

The Village of Krosur, Sattenapalli Talug,
Guntur Talug Board was constituted a Union and
first entered in the Budget for 1887-88.

2. The Talug Office for the Sattenapalli Talug was
at time at Krosur but was subsequently transferred
to Sattenapalli causing a consequent decrease in the
importance of the Village.

3. The Collection of house-tax at half rates in 1895-96
was Rs 204 whereas the Establishment charges including
contingencies were Rs 213.

4. The Guntur Talug Board passed two resolutions
one on the 30th March 1894 and the other on the 25th
February 1896 recommending the abolition of the Union

5. The District Board in its resolution no 33 dated
11th April 1896 resolved that the Union may be abolished.

x vide 1888-9. File
12-2-86

x 68 Union office
Establishment and
Contingencies alone
amounted to Rs 213,
the expenditure on
Sanitation & public
works having been
Rs 181 & Rs 162 respec-
tively. Vide Stat II. 15
submitted with the D.
Board's administration
report for 1895-96

2269/96
12/6/96

The

res. The Village is now small and of diminishing importance.
Its population according to the census of 1891 was only
2088 and there is an almost entire absence of educated
or public spirited men.

6. I have therefore the honor to request that Government
will be pleased to sanction the abolition of the Khasi
Union from 1st April 1896.

7. Copies of the Tabing Board's resolutions referred to
in para 4 supra, a copy of the District Board's
resolution referred to in para 5 supra, and a notification
are enclosed.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most Obedient Servt.

E. S. Lloyd
for President.

Cd. La
24/4

Cd. W. L.
25/4

RECEIVED
27 APR 1896

The local Board, proposed
is approved and the following
notification will be published in
the local Board's report, and the
District Board's report.
—
The local Board's report will be published in
the local Board's report, and the
District Board's report.

The District Board, proposed
is approved and the following
notification will be published in
the local Board's report, and the
District Board's report.

From

THE PRESIDENT,

District Board,
Kistna.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government,
Local and Municipal Department,
MADRAS

Dated 22nd April

Despatched 27th -

Received

1 MAY. 96

Refonc No. 472
273 286

Enclosure. 2

Subject.

Requesting to sanction the
abolition of Krosur Union
from 1st April 1896.

(with order)

To The President, District Board, Kistna
" Collector of Kistna.
" Director of Public Instruction.
" Surgeon-General.
" Sanitary Commissioner.
" Engineer.
" Accountant-General.
" Superintendent of Public Press.
Gazette 30-6-96
Drpd. 29/6/96

No. 1055 L, 8TH JUNE 1896.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper:—

From J. N. ATKINSON, Esq., President, District Board, Kistna, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Masulipatam, 22nd April 1896, Ref. on C. No. 472-D.B.

The village of Krosur, Sattenapalle taluk, Guntur Taluk Board, was constituted a union and first entered in the budget for 1887-88.

2. The taluk office for the Sattenapalle taluk was at that time at Krosur, but was subsequently transferred to Sattenapalle, causing a consequent decrease in the importance of the village.

3. The collection of house-tax at half rates in 1894-95 was Rs. 204, whereas the establishment charges including contingencies were Rs. 213.

4. The Guntur Taluk Board passed two resolutions—one on the 30th March 1894 and the other on the 25th February 1896—recommending the abolition of the union.

5. The district board, in its resolution, No. 33, dated 11th April 1896, resolved that the union may be abolished. The village is now small and of diminishing importance. Its population according to the census of 1891 was only 2,088 and there is an almost entire absence of educated or public-spirited men.

6. I have, therefore, the honour to request that Government will be pleased to sanction the abolition of the Krosur Union from 1st April 1896.

7. Copies of the taluk board's resolutions referred to in paragraph 4 *supra*, a copy of the district board's resolution referred to in paragraph 5 *supra*, and a notification, are enclosed.

ENCLOSURES.

PROCEEDINGS of the Guntur Taluk Board passed at a meeting held at Guntur, on Saturday, the 30th March 1894.

Grant III.

22. Read statement from the taluk board office extracted from the proposals of the Chairmen of Unions regarding the additions of villages within two miles to the respective three unions (Krosur, Sattenapalle and Mangalagiri).

Read also resolution, No. 16, dated 21st July 1893, resolving to join the villages to unions, and asking the Chairmen to submit their proposals for obtaining Government sanction through the President, District Board.

Resolution.—The board is not in favour of extending the limits of the existing unions by including small villages situated at a considerable distance from them. The board considers that, in view of the importance of Krosur, its small revenue of Rs. 86 as against an expenditure of Rs. 300, it would be good policy to abolish the union. There are several large villages under the board which are much better situated than Krosur for union purposes, for instance, Phirangipuram, Tadikonda, Pedakurapadu and Prattipadu. All these villages have far larger population than Krosur. Krosur was formerly the head-quarters of a taluk. It is no longer so, and is now a small village of no importance. The people themselves are not anxious for the retention of the union. There are no villages sufficiently near it to be included in the union. The board is well-desirous of extending local self-government as far as possible, and it is its deliberate and well-considered opinion that the union of Krosur should be abolished and that new unions should be started at Tadikonda in the Guntur taluk and Phirangipuram in the Sattenapalle taluk.

Government will be addressed in the terms of the above resolution after statistics have been obtained from the Tahsildars regarding the population and the number of houses in these two villages and the adjacent hamlets or villages.

PROCEEDINGS of the Guntūr Taluk Board passed at a meeting held at Guntūr, on Tuesday, the 25th February 1896.

Grant IV.

24. Read papers concerned with the proposal to extend the limits of Krosar Union.

Resolution.—The board is averse to the extension of this union. At present, the income from house-tax is Rs. 210 and the cost of establishment is Rs. 192. The board, therefore, adheres to its opinion expressed in resolution, No. 22, dated 30th March 1894, and recommends that the union be abolished.

Dis. No. 1037-G.T.B.

Communicated to the President, District Board, Kistna, for obtaining the necessary sanction of Government to the abolition of the union from 1st April 1896. Copy of the taluk board's resolution, No. 22, dated 30th March 1894, is enclosed herein for his information. Copy to the Tahsildar, Sattenapalle.

Copy to the Chairman, Krosur Union, for information. The union establishment should at once be informed of this.

(Signed) L. E. BUCKLEY,
President.

PROCEEDINGS of the District Board, Kistna, passed at a meeting held at Masulipatam on Saturday, the 11th April 1896, at 7-30 A.M.

33. Read Guntūr Taluk Board resolution, dated 25th February 1896, recommending the abolition of the Krosur Union.

Resolution.—The union may be abolished.

ORDER—No. 1055 L., dated 8th June 1896.

The local boards' proposal is approved, and the following notification will be published in the Fort St. George and the Kistna District Gazettes:—

NOTIFICATION.

Under section 6 of the Madras Local Boards Act, V of 1884, the Governor in Council is pleased to cancel, with effect from 1st April 1896, so much of the notification, No. 45, published at page 87 of Part I-A of the *Fort St. George Gazette*, dated 17th February 1886, as relates to the Union of Krosur, in the Kistna district.

(True Extract.)

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the President, District Board, Kistna.
" Collector of Kistna.
" Director of Public Instruction.
" Surgeon-General.
" Sanitary Commissioner.
" Sanitary Engineer.
" Accountant-General.
" Superintendent, Government Press.
Gazette.

Gobt. of {1896} Madras.
LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., No. 1055 L., 8th June 1896.

JUNE.

Union.

Approving the proposal of the local boards to abolish the Krosur — with effect from 1st April 1896, and ordering the publication of the necessary notification in the Fort St. George and the Kistna District Gazettes.

No. 1055 L., 8th JUNE 1896.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper:—

From J. N. ATKINSON, Esq., President, District Board, Kistna, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Masulipatam, 22nd April 1896, Ref. on C. No. 472-D.B.

The village of Krosur, Sattenapalle taluk, Guntur Taluk Board, was constituted a union and first entered in the budget for 1887-88.

2. The taluk office for the Sattenapalle taluk was at that time at Krosur, but was subsequently transferred to Sattenapalle, causing a consequent decrease in the importance of the village.

3. The collection of house-tax at half rates in 1894-95 was Rs. 204, whereas the establishment charges including contingencies were Rs. 213.

4. The Guntur Taluk Board passed two resolutions—one on the 30th March 1894 and the other on the 25th February 1896—recommending the abolition of the union.

5. The district board, in its resolution, No. 33, dated 11th April 1896, resolved that the union may be abolished. The village is now small and of diminishing importance. Its population according to the census of 1891 was only 2,088 and there is an almost entire absence of educated or public-spirited men.

6. I have, therefore, the honour to request that Government will be pleased to sanction the abolition of the Krosur Union from 1st April 1896.

7. Copies of the taluk board's resolutions referred to in paragraph 4 *supra*, a copy of the district board's resolution referred to in paragraph 5 *supra*, and a notification, are enclosed.

ENCLOSURES.

PROCEEDINGS of the Guntur Taluk Board passed at a meeting held at Guntur, on Saturday, the 30th March 1894.

Grant III.

22. Read statement from the taluk board office extracted from the proposals of the Chairmen of Unions regarding the additions of villages within two miles to the respective three unions (Krosur, Sattenapalle and Mangalagiri).

Read also resolution, No. 16, dated 21st July 1893, resolving to join the villages to unions, and asking the Chairmen to submit their proposals for obtaining Government sanction through the President, District Board.

Resolution.—The board is not in favour of extending the limits of the existing unions by including small villages situated at a considerable distance from them. The board considers that, in view of the importance of Krosur, its small revenue of Rs. 86 as against an expenditure of Rs. 300, it would be good policy to abolish the union. There are several large villages under the board which are much better situated than Krosur for union purposes, for instance, Phirangipuram, Tadikonda, Pedakurapadu and Prattipadu. All these villages have far larger population than Krosur. Krosur was formerly the head-quarters of a taluk. It is no longer so, and is now a small village of no importance. The people themselves are not anxious for the retention of the union. There are no villages sufficiently near it to be included in the union. The board is desirous of extending local self-government as far as possible, and it is its deliberate and well-considered opinion that the union of Krosur should be abolished and that new unions should be started at Tadikonda in the Guntur taluk and Phirangipuram in the Sattenapalle taluk.

Government will be addressed in the term of the above resolution after statistics have been obtained from the Tahsildars regarding the population and the number of houses in these two villages and the adjacent hamlets or villages.

PROCEEDINGS of the Guntur Taluk Board passed at a meeting held at Guntur, on Tuesday, the 25th February 1896.

Grant IV.

24. Read papers concerned with the proposal to extend the limits of Krosur Union.

Resolution.—The board is averse to the extension of this union. At present, the income from house-tax is Rs. 210 and the cost of establishment is Rs. 192. The board, therefore, adheres to its opinion expressed in resolution, No. 22, dated 30th March 1894, and recommends that the union be abolished.

Dis. No. 1037-G.T.B.

Communicated to the President, District Board, Kistna, for obtaining the necessary sanction of Government to the abolition of the union from 1st April 1896. Copy of the taluk board's resolution, No. 22, dated 30th March 1894, is enclosed herein for his information. Copy to the Tahsildar, Sattenapalle.

Copy to the Chairman, Krosur Union, for information. The union establishment should at once be informed of this.

(Signed) L. E. BUCKLEY,
President.

PROCEEDINGS of the District Board, Kistna, passed at a meeting held at Masulipatam on Saturday, the 11th April 1896, at 7-30 A.M.

33. Read Guntur Taluk Board resolution, dated 25th February 1896, recommending the abolition of the Krosur Union.

Resolution.—The union may be abolished.

ORDER—No. 1055 L., dated 8th June 1896.

The local boards' proposal is approved, and the following notification will be published in the Fort St. George and the Kistna District Gazettes:—

NOTIFICATION.

Under section 6 of the Madras Local Boards Act, V of 1884, the Governor in Council is pleased to cancel, with effect from 1st April 1896, so much of the notification, No. 45, published at page 87 of Part I-A of the Fort St. George Gazette, dated 17th February 1886, as relates to the Union of Krosur, in the Kistna district.

(True Extract.)

Under Secy., P.W.D.,
for Chief Secretary.

To the President, District Board, Kistna.

- " Collector of Kistna.
- " Director of Public Instruction.
- " Surgeon-General.
- " Sanitary Commissioner.
- " Sanitary Engineer.
- " Accountant-General.
- " Superintendent, Government Press.

Gazette.

Govt. of {1896} Madras.
LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL.

For A.S.

Proof for approval.

hmf
18/6
20/6

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., No. 1055 L., 8th June 1896.

Pres
Please correct the
connection with the strike W.
24/6
20/6
JUNE.

Union.

Approving the proposal of the local boards to abolish the Krosur — with effect from 1st April 1896, and ordering the publication of the necessary notification in the Fort St. George and the Kistna District Gazettes.

No. 1055 L., 8th JUNE 1896.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper:—

From J. N. ATKINSON, Esq., President, District Board, Kistna, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Masulipatam, 22nd April 1896, Ref. on C. No. 472-D.B.

The village of Krosur, Sattenapalle taluk, Guntur Taluk Board, was constituted a union and first entered in the budget for 1887-88.

2. The taluk office for the Sattenapalle taluk was at that time at Krosur, but was subsequently transferred to Sattenapalle, causing a consequent decrease in the importance of the village.

3. The collection of house-tax at half rates in 1894-95 was Rs. 204, whereas the establishment charges including contingencies were Rs. 213.

4. The Guntur Taluk Board passed two resolutions—one on the 30th March 1894 and the other on the 25th February 1896—recommending the abolition of the union.

5. The district board, in its resolution, No. 33, dated 11th April 1896, resolved that the union may be abolished. The village is now small and of diminishing importance. Its population according to the census of 1891 was only 2,088 and there is an almost entire absence of educated or public-spirited men.

6. I have, therefore, the honour to request that Government will be pleased to sanction the abolition of the Krosur Union from 1st April 1896.

7. Copies of the taluk board's resolutions referred to in paragraph 4 *supra*, a copy of the district board's resolution referred to in paragraph 5 *supra*, and a notification, are enclosed.

ENCLOSURES.

PROCEEDINGS of the Guntur Taluk Board passed at a meeting held at Guntur, on Saturday, the 30th March 1894.

Grant III.

22. Read statement from the taluk board office extracted from the proposals of the Chairmen of Unions regarding the additions of villages within two miles to the respective three unions (Krosur, Sattenapalle and Mangalagiri).

Read also resolution, No. 16, dated 21st July 1893, resolving to join the villages to unions, and asking the Chairmen to submit their proposals for obtaining Government sanction through the President, District Board.

Resolution.—The board is not in favour of extending the limits of the existing unions by including small villages situated at a considerable distance from them. The board considers that, in view of the importance of Krosur, its small revenue of Rs. 86 as against an expenditure of Rs. 300, it would be good policy to abolish the union. There are several large villages under the board which are much better situated than Krosur for union purposes, for instance, Phirangipuram, Tadikonda, Pedakurapadu and Prattipadu. All these villages have far larger population than Krosur. Krosur was formerly the head-quarters of a taluk. It is no longer so, and is now a small village of no importance. The people themselves are not anxious for the retention of the union. There are no villages sufficiently near it to be included in the union. The board is desirous of extending local self-government as far as possible, and it is its deliberate and well-considered opinion that the union of Krosur should be abolished and that new unions should be started at Tadikonda in the Guntur taluk and Phirangipuram in the Sattenapalle taluk.

Government will be addressed in the terms of the above resolution after statistics have been obtained from the Tahsildars regarding the population and the number of houses in these two villages and the adjacent hamlets or villages.

PROCEEDINGS of the Guntūr Taluk Board passed at a meeting held at Guntūr, on Tuesday, the 25th February 1896.

Grant IV.

24. Read papers concerned with the proposal to extend the limits of Krosar Union.

Resolution.—The board is averse to the extension of this union. At present, the income from house-tax is Rs. 210 and the cost of establishment is Rs. 192. The board, therefore, adheres to its opinion expressed in resolution, No. 22, dated 30th March 1894, and recommends that the union be abolished.

Dis. No. 1037-G.T.B.

Communicated to the President, District Board, Kistna, for obtaining the necessary sanction of Government to the abolition of the union from 1st April 1896. Copy of the taluk board's resolution, No. 22, dated 30th March 1894, is enclosed herein for his information. Copy to the Tahsildar, Sattenapalle.

Copy to the Chairman, Krosar Union, for information. The union establishment should at once be informed of this.

(Signed) L. E. BUCKLEY,
President.

PROCEEDINGS of the District Board, Kistna, passed at a meeting held at Masulipatam on Saturday, the 11th April 1896, at 7-30 A.M.

33. Read Guntūr Taluk Board resolution, dated 25th February 1896, recommending the abolition of the Krosur Union.

Resolution.—The union may be abolished.

ORDER—No. 1055 L., dated 8th June 1896.

The local boards' proposal is approved, and the following notification will be published in the Fort St. George and the Kistna District Gazettes:—

NOTIFICATION.

Under section 6 of the Madras Local Boards Act, V of 1884, the Governor in Council is pleased to cancel, with effect from 1st April 1896, so much of the notification, No. 45, published at page 87 of Part I-A of the *Fort St. George Gazette*, dated 17th February 1886, as relates to the Union of Krosur, in the Kistna district.

(True Extract.)

J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

To the President, District Board, Kistna.

- " Collector of Kistna.
- " Director of Public Instruction.
- " Surgeon-General.
- " Sanitary Commissioner.
- " Sanitary Engineer.
- " Accountant-General.
- " Superintendent, Government Press.

Gazette.

Govt. of {1896} Madras.
LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., No. 1055 L., 8th June 1896.

JUNE.

Union.

Approving the proposal of the local boards to abolish the Krosur — with effect from 1st April 1896, and ordering the publication of the necessary notification in the Fort St. George and the Kistna District Gazettes.

L & M.

First copy sent to Press.

Letter of signature.

Despatched in print.

HEAD AGAIN G.O., No.
189

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

NOT FILED UP BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.:-

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.