

Local & Munl. (1896) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 100 M.

DATED 20th January 1896

[Despatch Abstract.]

Bill to amend Act IV of 1884 (The Madras District Municipalities Act)

Recording G O., Legislative Department, communicating to the Legislative Council proposal of certain councillors of the Madura Municipal Council regarding the provision newly inserted in the ----- placing a disqualification on the class of persons referred to in clause 2 of section 10-A.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Local 84 M
CURRENT

Govt. of {1895} Madras.
LEGISLATIVE.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

[G.O., No. 138, 20th December 1895.]

DECEMBER.

Bill to amend Act IV of 1884
(The Madras District Municipalities
Act).

Communicating to the Legislative Council
proposal of certain councillors of the Madura
Municipal Council regarding the provision newly
inserted in the — placing a disqualification on the
class of persons referred to in clause 2 of section
10-A.

Mis.

Order 1000 mis. 20th/12/95

Recorded

18/1/96

21/1/96

18.1.96

Copy to the Chairman, M. C. Madura

21/1/96

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
LEGISLATIVE DEPARTMENT.

READ--the following paper:—

From R. FISCHER, Esq., Chairman, Municipal Council, Madura, to the Chief Secretary to Government (through the Collector of Madura), dated 25th November 1895, No. 77.

In forwarding herewith copy of proposal of some of the councillors and of the resolution of the municipal council, No. 322, dated 2nd November 1895, which was passed thereon, I have the honour to request that Government will be pleased to take such action as it may deem fit.

ENCLOSURES.

Proposal.

We consider that the insertion of a new provision in the Bill to amend the Madras Act IV of 1884, placing a disqualification on the class of persons referred to in clause 2 of section 10 A, seems to be not only undesirable, but a retrograde step in a progressive legislation. The electors are the best judges of the persons to be elected to represent their interests, and any interference on the part of Government with their choice will be inconsistent with the avowed principle of local self-government accorded to the people of India. We are not aware that any complaint has up to date ever risen in any municipality owing to the electors having abused their right of suffrages in an improper or injudicious manner and propose therefore that Government be moved to omit the provision in question.

(Signed) R. MUTHUSAWMY PILLAI and others.

EXTRACT from the Proceedings of the Municipal Council, Madura, passed at the special meeting held on the 2nd November 1895.

322. Read proposal of M.R.Ry. R. Muthusawmi Pillai Avargal and ten others, requesting that Government be moved to omit the new provision in the Bill to amend the Madras Act IV of 1884, placing a disqualification on the class of persons referred to in clause 2 of section 10 A.

Resolution.—Resolved that the proposal be forwarded to Government—M.R.Ry. Sriraman Chettiar Avargal and Mr. Macmichael dissenting.

E. C. No. 5129-Genl. of 1895.

Submitted.

MADURA,
5th December 1895.

(Signed) J. TWIGG,
Ag. Collector.

No. 1768.

Transferred to the Legislative Department.

FORT ST. GEORGE,
14th December 1895.

(Signed) W. W. PHILLIPS,
Ag. Assistant Secretary.

2

No. 138, LEGISLATIVE, 20TH DECEMBER 1895.

ORDER—No. 138, Legislative, dated 20th December 1895.

Communicated to the Legislative Council.

(True Extract.)

James Davidson
for Chief Secretary.

To the Hon'ble Members of the Legislative Council.
,, Local and Municipal Department.

Exd. A. Raitt.

Given for copying _____
Copied _____
Examined _____
Signed _____ } 189

AGAIN G.O., No.
189

DATED

NT No.

OSURES TO C. No.

ER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

TER OF GOVERNMENT.

TEMENT WITH DITTO.

ERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.